

MUHAMMAD

The Messenger of God

And the Law of Blasphemy

In Islam and the West

MUHAMMAD ISMAIL QURESHY

Nuqoosh

Urdu Bazar Lahore - Pakistan

The present book is a comparative study of Islam and other religions, particularly Christianity and Judaism. The Author has ably dealt with the message of these religions to humanity and the common points therein on the basis of which, amity, peace and harmony amongst people of different faiths can triumph.

This book will also contribute in removing misgivings and misconceptions of the western society about Islam, prophet of Islam (PBUH) and the blasphemy law. The work is well timed in the global perspective of clash of civilizations by true understanding of Islam and the objectives, which it upholds and would thus promote peace in the world.

It is a significant research work for scholars as a reference book of great value and a guide for those who are interested to learn divine law.

Mr. Muhammad Ismail Qureshi has authored several books, but the present work is clearly outstanding and provides ample proof of his juristic eminence. It is the result of his untiring efforts and hard work put in the service of Muslims and for the world peace.

.Justice (r) Khalil-ur-Rehman Khan Ex. Rector Islamic International University, Islamabad

The remarkable work “Muhammad, the Messenger of God and the Law of Blasphemy in Islam and the West” is valuable for all sections of people, scholars, lawyers, journalists, university students, teachers, common citizens, and inquisitive sceptics. It is also very useful for non-Muslims who respect and practice their own religions, and especially for our Christian compatriots who seek to safeguard themselves and the members of their community from the .foreign-inspired schemes designed to mislead them into confrontation with Muslims

Mr. Qureshi has done a great service both to the status of the Prophet, PBUH and to the sentiments of the Muslims, by reference to historical precedents and case law. Study of this book by the Muslims would, on the one hand, enrich their knowledge and understanding that draw a Muslim closer to the Holy Prophet, PBUH and on the other, educate them better to deal with the .conspiracies against the status of the noble Prophet

Tariq Majeed (r) Commodore

MUHAMMAD

The Messenger of God

And the Law of Blasphemy

In Islam and the West

MUHAMMAD ISMAIL QURESHY Senior Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan and Chairman,

World Association of Muslim Jurists

:Published by

Nuqoosh

Urdu Bazar Lahore - Pakistan

Ch has rel poi am pe

Th re mi ab an w ch un of th

It se v in

M a v

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior

may be reproduced, in any form or by any means of the publisher or in any form of binding or and without a
similar subsequent purchaser

st

March 2008

s. 400.00

10.00

ISBN 16516

Shers s.com - Pakistan

DEDICATED

To all those who love, respect and uphold the Dignity of Muhammad, the Last Messenger of God
and all the Preceding Prophets peace be upon them

CONTENTS

	Page
Foreword: Justice Saiduzzaman Siddiqui	v •
.Chief Justice of Pakistan (R)	
Introduction: Dr. Mahmood Ahmed	vii •
Preface: Muhammad Ismail Qureshy	ix •

PART-1 THE DIGNITY OF MUHAMMAD

The Messenger of God

	Page
Introduction : The Need for Constructive	1-3 •
Dialogue	

Chapter I:	Page
Muhammad in the Bible	4 •
In the Ancient Religious Books	14 •
References	16 •

Chapter II:	Page
Muhammad in the eyes of western luminaries	17-31 •
References	32 •

Chapter III – Islam	Page
Impact of Islam on universally recognized leaders of the world	37 •
Tolerance and Mediation of Islam.	42-45 •
References	46 •

Chapter IV – The Qur'an	Page
An uncreated and immutable book	50 •
The Bible, The Qur'an and Science	54 •
References	56 •

PART 2 - THE LAW OF BLASPHEMY IN ISLAM

AND THE WEST

Chapter V Page

A Soul Inspiring Dream 58 •

Baseless Objections 62 •

Chapter VI - Blasphemy Law And Human Rights Page

Plato's Republic - Magna Carta 71-73 •

Rousseau's Social Contract 74 •

Farewell Sermon 76 •

U.N, Charter and its Role in global affairs 79 •

Chapter VII - Blasphemy Law in Europe Page

U.K Common Law 85 •

Rushdie Affair and Superior Courts 90 •

European Court of Human Rights 91 •

Blasphemy Law In USA 93 •

Salahuddin and Reginald blasphemers 99 •

Huntington's Clash of Civilizations 104 •

Chapter VIII Page

Muslim Spain 107 •

Emergence of A New Civilization

Mosque of Qurtubah and Taj Mahal 111 •

Blasphemy Movement in Spain 115 •

Council of Bishops to prevent blasphemy. 119 •

Chapter IX - Blasphemy Law in Muslim Countries

Arabian Peninsula, Egypt, Syria 122-3 •

Afghanistan, Iran 124-5 •

During Muslim Rule in Spain 125 •

During Muslim Rule in India 126 •

Chapter X - A Zealous Apolo

Rushdie

World Constitutions and •

Expression

Rushdie and Lady Diana •

Chapter XI

Blasphemous Cartoons and F

Aamir Cheema Shaheed •

Inter-Faith Dialogue wit •

References •

PART 3 - ANCIENT AND 20

STAND POINT REGAR

Chapter XII

Ancient Ulema (Muslim •

Fadhl Qadhi Ayadh, Ch

Sheikhul Islam Imam Ib •

Justification for blasphe •

Chapter XIII

20th Century Ulema's s •

regarding Blasphemy's

Edict of Grand Mufti o •

Abul A'ala Moududi a

Imam Khomeini's Dec •

'Ayatullah Khamana E

Abul Hasan Nadvi •

Naseef

Ghazi Ilmuddin and Al •

Shaheed

General Conclusion •

References •

T BLASPHEMY IN ISLAM HE WEST

Page am 58 62

aw And Human Rights Page

agna Carta 71-73

ontract 74

76 •

Role in global affairs 79

aw in Europe Page

85

perior Courts 90

man Rights 91

SA 93

ald blasphemmer 99

Civilizations 104

Page

107

Civilization

nd Taj Mahal 111

in Spain 115

prevent blasphemy. 119

w in Muslim Countries

ypt, Syria 122-3

124-5

Spain 125

India 126

iii

Chapter X - A Zealous Apologist For Satanic Verses of

Rushdie Page

World Constitutions and Freedom of 134 •

Expression

Rushdie and Lady Diana 144 •

Chapter XI Page

Blasphemous Cartoons and Freedom of Press 145

Aamir Cheema Shaheed 150 •

Inter-Faith Dialogue with Pope Benedict 152 •

References 155 •

PART 3 - ANCIENT AND 20TH CENTURY ULEMA'S

STAND POINT REGARDING BLASPHEMY

Chapter XII Page

Ancient Ulema (Muslim Scholars), Abul 160 •

Fadhl Qadhi Ayadh, Chief Justice of Spain

Sheikhul Islam Imam Ibn-e-Taymiah 165 •

Justification for blasphemer's execution 166 •

Chapter XIII Page

20th Century Ulema's standpoint 173 •

.regarding Blasphemy's punishment

Edict of Grand Mufti of Saudi Arabia - 174-84 •

.Abul A'ala Moududi and other scholars

Imam Khomeini's Declaration - 188 •

.Ayatullah Khamana E's announcement

Abul Hasan Nadvi - Abdulha Umar 190 •

Naseef

Ghazi Ilmuddin and Abdul Qaiyum 191 •

Shaheed

General Conclusion 197 •

References 201 •

APPENDIXES

Important Judgements of Blasphemy Cases

Page Appendix-A: Judgement of Federal Shariat 198-232 Court of Pakistan in Mohammad Ismail Qureshy's case PLD-1991-FSC-10. Appendix-A/1: Supreme Court of Pakistan 233-235 Judgement in Dr. Mohammad Amin's case. Appendix-B: Passage from House of Lords 236 Judgement in Lemon case. Appendix-C: Abstract of judgement of 237-242 European Court of Human Rights in re Wingrove case. Appendix-D: Excerpt from the judgement of 243-2246 Supreme Court of USA. Appendix-E: Judgement of the High Court 2247-251 Judicature of Lahore. Appendix-F: Relevant portions from the 252-253 article of Sunday Times and Reader's Digest of January 2000 against the author and The Law of Blasphemy. Appendix-G: Letter of .appreciation to the 254 author from Harvard's law School dated March 21, 2000

General Index 255-261 •

Table of Blasphemy Cases 262 •

About the Author 263 •

Published Work 264 •

FORE

Justice Saiduzza (r) Chief Justi

Muhammad, the Me Blasphemy in Islam and Mohammad Ismail Qureshy subject. The Book is" likely to the researchers, religious sch legal community. The author and researched material has c the West about Islamic practi of Islam. The book is divided the discussion about pr comparative study of differ Islamic code and practices religions. The author has quo opinion of well known we .historians, critics and writers and the impact of Islam an western society

In Part-II of the Bo Blasphemy Laws with refere with the Human Rights in g has traced the classical con existed before and after the a other countries of the Worl human rights conferred by without discrimination. The UK, European countries, USA world has been discussed in reference to leading cases on book useful for practicing law

FOREWORD

Justice Saiduzzaman Siddiqui

Chief Justice of Pakistan (r)

Muhammad, the Messenger of God and Law of Blasphemy in Islam and the West" written by" Mr. Mohammad Ismail Qureshy is a valuable addition on the subject. The Book is likely to inspire great interest amongst the researchers, religious scholars, social scientist and the legal community. The author through his well documented and researched material has countered the biased opinion in the West about Islamic practices and laws and the Prophet of Islam. The book is divided in three Parts. Part-I contain the discussion about prophethood of Mohammad, comparative study of different religions, the impact of Islamic code and practices on the followers of other religions. The author has quoted in this part of the book the opinion of well known western leaders. Philosophers, historians, critics and writers about the Prophet of Islam and the .impact of Islam and Islamic civilization on the western society

In Part-II of the Book the author has discussed Blasphemy Laws with reference to its so called conflict with the Human Rights in global perspective. The author has traced the classical concept of human rights as it existed before and after the advent of Islam in Europe and other countries of the World and compared it with the human rights conferred by Islam on the human beings without discrimination. The Blasphemy laws as it exist in UK, European countries, USA and Islamic

Countries of the world has been discussed in this part of the book with reference to leading cases
.on the subject which makes this book useful for practicing lawyers

In the last Part-III of the book the author has reproduced the opinions of Muslim scholars on offence of Blasphemy and punishment prescribed for it. There appears to be near consensus amongst the scholars of different school of thoughts in Islam about the punishment prescribed for the offence of Blasphemy. By incorporating the judgment of Lahore High Court in the famous case of Ilamdin Vs. King Emperor and relevant text and extracts from leading judgments on Blasphemy rendered by the Courts in Pakistan, England, USA and European Court of Human Rights in the appendices the intrinsic value of the book has been greatly enhanced for ordinary reader as well legal professional for use as a reference book

INTRODUCTION

Dr. Mahmood Ahmed

Chairman of Faculty of Shariah and law, International Islamic University Islamabad, Former
.Minister of Religions Affairs, Pakistan

The Muslim Ummah owes its unity and integrity to its strong belief in Islam and commitments to the message of the Prophet of Islam (peace be upon him). The entire body of religious and social of Islam rests on a deep rooted love and veneration for the personality of the Prophet (peace be upon him). According to the Qur'an the Divine Law, represented by the word of Qur'an and model example of the Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him), must always be above each and every decision. This unconditional and unqualified submission to the verdict given by the Prophet (peace be upon him) is the primary pre-requisite of being a Muslim. This necessitates that the honour and dignity of the Prophet of Islam must be protected at all costs and no effort to put his sublime personality into dispute or disrespect should be tolerated. Any move to revile his sacred name or to insult his beloved conduct is considered High Treason. That is why from the days of the First Caliph upto our own times, it has almost unanimously been held by the Muslims that any blasphemous act or utterance towards the Prophet of Islam (peace be upon him) is liable to capital punishment. Throughout Muslim history, the law of land provided death punishment for the perpetrators of this crime. Even during the days of so-called liberal and enlightened Mughal .Emperor, Jalauddin Akbar, the law provided death as the only punishment for this serious offence

Unfortunately, under the influence of the secular liberal democracy of the west, a small minority
in our

country has been raising voices against the provision of blasphemy in our law. It is conveniently ignored by this secular minority that the punishment of death for the heinous crime of the insult of the Prophet of Islam (peace be upon him) was provided in pursuance of the unanimous resolution .of the Senate of Pakistan and the judgement of the Federal Shariat Court

Mr. Muhammad Ismail Qureshy, a relentless advocate for the cause of Islam and a sincere fighter for the supremacy of Shariah in Pakistan has been in the forefront of defending this law. To him goes the credit of several initiatives which ultimately resulted in bringing this law of blasphemy on the Statute Book of Pakistan. It is painful to note that some elements have been trying to create misgivings and misunderstandings about this Islamic principle. Mr. Ismail Qureshy has been doing his best to dispel such misgivings and to explain the true Islamic position to his readers. He has authored a number of articles and publications on various aspects of the law of blasphemy, mostly in Urdu, in addition to many speeches and presentations on different fora. Now he has condensed his findings in the present volume which has been prepared in English. The book is well researched and is quite comprehensive. Running into twelve chapters, it deals with the problem of blasphemy from different perspectives. He, not only, throws light on Islamic position with its rationale but also compares the Islamic position with different laws of similar nature in the .western world

I am confident that this publication of Mr. Ismail Qureshy will be widely appreciated and read both in the legal circles as well as by general educated readers. I congratulate the learned author .on the production of this comprehensive work and pray for its success

PREFACE

The Urdu edition of the author's book "Namoos - e - Rasool" was first published in the year 1994. It attracted a large readership as it discussed in detail Islamic law of blasphemy, which was enforced for the first time in Pakistan on a petition of the author filed in Federal Shariat Court of Pakistan. Some of the portions of the book were translated into English and published in UK and USA. Harvard Law School and Chicago University of USA showed great academic interest in this newly promulgated law in Pakistan. They sent their scholars to contact the author for further .research on the subject

The wide spread appreciation of the readers of the book encouraged me to publish more editions which were exhausted soon after their publication. The third edition was remodified and was more comprehensive than the previous editions. During my visit to Europe and USA, my Muslim brethren and even some non - Muslim friends and scholars who had gone through the translated portions of my book urged me to publish the English version of the book. Despite my professional preoccupations, an immense amount of work was done to equip the book with historical details and judicial aspects of law of blasphemy in Islam and other religions: Christianity and Judaism. This book is meant largely for English speaking readers and especially addressed to the readers in .the West and also for those interested in Islamic laws

I have stated the law and punishment of blasphemy as prescribed in the Holy Bible and its implementation in European countries. But omitted to state Islamic Law with reference to the

Noble Qur'an, because the same has been urged before the Federal Shariat Court which is cited in
.the judgement annexed as Appendix-A

I express my gratitude, in no perfunctory sense, to my publisher Mr. Javed Tufail who carried out the ideal mission of his worthy father, renowned for publication of Islamic literature. Mr. Abu Khiyal of Maktaba Darussalam deserves gratefulness for editing the first part of this book. I am thankful to Maulana Abdul Malik learned member of Maktaba who extended his active co-operation in this regard.

I am indebted to my learned friend and Islamic scholar Syed Hamid Hasan Shah advocate, who took pains in going through the entire manuscript and offered useful suggestions. He was generous enough to place his valuable library at my disposal. I am thankful to my brother Mr. Hafeez R Khan, Fulbright scholar and researcher at the University of Chicago and Australian National University Canberra, for revising several chapters of the book.

I would like to record the indispensable assistance of my brother London based Barrister Saleem Qureshi who provided substantial material for this book. I am highly grateful to Mr. I.H. Raashed, President Pakistan Federal union of journalists and special correspondent of Daily dawn, who helped me a great deal in correcting the proof of entire manuscript.

My thankful prayers to my parents and late wife Fouzia Qureshi, who had devoted herself for the noble cause of Islamic work. My eldest daughter, Attia Asad collected material from different libraries of Canada. My grand daughter Fatima Asad sent articles published in Reader's Digest and Sunday Times New York, containing offensive comments against me and Islamic law of blasphemy. My grand son Hamza Salahuddin provided me relevant text of the Hebrew Bible from

England. My daughter Sofia Mustafa and Dr. Samia Salahuddin and my daughters in law,
Shabana Taha and Dr. Saima Waseem corrected typographical mistakes after re reading the

no perfunctory sense, to have carried out the ideal vision for publication of of Maktaba Darussalam the first part of this book. A learned member of the co-operation in this edited friend and Islamic vocate, who took pains to place his valuable help to my brother Mr. and researcher at the National University of the book dispensable assistance of Saleem Qureshi who is book. I am highly indebted to Pakistan Federal correspondent of Daily correcting the proof of parents and late wife herself for the noble laughter, Attia Asad aries of Canada. My articles published in New York, containing Islamic law of Shahuddin provided me from England. My late Salahuddin and my Dr. Saima Waseem after re-reading the manuscript. They were assisted by my grand son Jauwad and grand daughter Humaira in this tiresome task. I wish to mention the names of my computer staff, Gulzar Ahmed and Shahid Mughal who typed the manuscript with commitment and superb efficiency. I have a deep debt of gratitude to great writers, judges, jurists, and historians, whose profound knowledge and wisdom immensely helped me during writing this book.

PART 1

THE DIGNITY OF MUHAMMAD

THE MESSENGER OF GOD

(PEACE BE UPON HIM)

THE DIGNITY OF MUHAMM

MESSENGER OF GOD AND TH

BLASPHEMY

The Need For Constructive Di

The Islamic law of Blasphem properly understood in its true per predominantly Christian West. Since the western civilization, the attitude of Ch Islam was not one of constructive misunderstanding between the West an Muslim world has resulted in ongoing against Islam. Ever since the year 1991 w on the writer's well known case, M Qureshy vs Federal Government of announced, directing the Government Islamic law of Blasphemy in Pakistan devastating campaign

of disinformation internet, electronic and print media, b human rights reports, claiming that th
inhumane and a threat to the minorities l The judgement of the Federal Shariat Co above titled
case is Appendix A. There is, need today than ever before, to remo misgivings about Islam and its

law of Blas

In order to understand the law o Islam, one has to set aside polemics and rule of objective research
in view. In t attempted to study the subject disp reference to the universally accepted statu the

Prophet of Islam, his role as benefacto complete code of conduct for humanity, n

THE DIGNITY OF MUHAMMAD, THE MESSENGER OF GOD AND THE LAW OF BLASPHEMY

The Need For Constructive Dialogue

The Islamic law of Blasphemy has not been properly understood in its true perspective by the predominantly Christian West. Since the rise of medieval western civilization, the attitude of Christianity towards Islam was not one of constructive dialogue. The misunderstanding between the West and the rest of the Muslim world has resulted in ongoing media onslaught against Islam. Ever since the year 1991 when the judgment on the writer's well known case, Muhammad Ismail Qureshy vs Federal Government of Pakistan, was announced, directing the Government to enforce the Islamic law of Blasphemy in Pakistan, there has been devastating campaign of disinformation through the internet, electronic and print media, besides subjective human rights reports, claiming that this law is unjust, inhumane and a threat to the minorities living in Pakistan. The judgement of the Federal Shariat Court of Pakistan, in above titled case is Appendix A. There is, therefore, greater need today than ever before, to remove these serious misgivings about Islam and its law of

.Blasphemy

In order to understand the law of Blasphemy and Islam, one has to set aside polemics and keep the simple rule of objective research in view. In this work, I have attempted to study the subject dispassionately with reference to the universally accepted status of Muhammad, the Prophet of Islam, his role as benefactor of mankind, his complete code of conduct for humanity, namely the ,Quran

CHAPTER-1

Muhammad Peace Be Upon Him In The Bible

Muslims have always shown reverence and respect for all the Prophets of the Scriptures. They have never ridiculed the leaders of other religions and their logos, as it would be violation of Islamic Law. The Christian West has a direct source of knowledge and information with respect to dignified life of the Prophet of Islam, and his teachings, but due to a long history of prejudices, most educated people of western societies could not appreciate the truth about Islam and the Prophet of Islam. Even if they study their own Scriptures, or if they were to read the writings of the great western scholars, like Goethe, Carlyle, Tolstoy and other thinkers, philosophers, scholars, scientists and statesmen of the past and present, it would have certainly clarified their distorted .image of Islam and the Prophet of Islam

At first we would like to refer to Biblical evidence of Muhammad's Prophethood. There are clear prophecies of the advent of Muhammad as the last prophet of God in the Old Testament (Torah) and the New Testament (Injeel). In prophetic cycle it is an established tradition that every prophet foretells the appearance of his successor as a Messenger of God to carry on his mission. The prophecies contain some details about the important events of the prophetic role of the coming .Messenger

The Old Testament

-: Progeny of Abraham

The first book of the Bible, Genesis referring to creation of the world, describes the life stories of Abraham, Ishmael, Isaac and other Prophets. Chapter XVI of Genesis tells us about the birth of Ishmael, elder son of Abraham. In chapter XXI verse 16 to 21 of Genesis describe desperate efforts of Hagar (Hajra) in search of some water in the desert of Paran (Faran - Makkah), to save the life of his infant son Ishmael. The Angel of God comforts Hagar (Hajra) and says to her: "Arise, lift up the lad (Ishmael) in thine hand and I will make him a great nation".¹ This prediction is not about the nation from the lineage of Isaac (Israel), but it is about the progeny of Ishmael, ancestor of Muhammad, the last prophet of God

-: Prophecy of Moses

In Deuteronomy - (The fifth book of old Testament of the Bible) Moses foretelling the coming of the Prophet said to his followers with reference to words of God spoken unto him "The Lord thy God will raise up unto thee a prophet from the midst of thee, of thy Brethren, like unto me, unto him ye shall hear;..... and the Lord said unto me, they have well spoken which they have spoken. I will raise them up a prophet from among their brethren, like unto thee, and will put my words into his mouth; and he shall speak unto them all that I shall command him."²

According to the above prophecy, it is the Prophet of Islam who fulfills the entire prerequisite conditions and qualifications of that prophet like unto Moses. He was a powerful and strong prophet like Moses and established God's sovereign authority on the earth. Both of them brought new laws for the mankind. There is no other prophet after Moses who can claim to have brought a new law for their people to live a peaceful life in the world. Nor

anyone from amongst the decedents of Abraham (Israelites) ever claimed to be prophet like Moses except the prophet of Islam. Ishmael and Isaac are sons of Abraham. The children of Isaac are called the Jews and those of Ishmael are known as Arabs, so they are brethren to one another. The Bible affirms the meaning ".....and he (Ishmael) shall dwell (in Arabia) in presence of all his brethren."3

The children of Isaac are brethren of the Ishmaelites. In the like manner Muhammad is from among the brethren of the Israelites because he was a descendant of Ishmael, the son of Abraham. The prophesy proceeds further as God says; "And I will put my words unto his (Muhammad's) mouth" No one claimed except the noble Prophet that words were put unto his mouth by God and he uttered them. This prophecy has also been affirmed by Quran in Surah Al-Najam. "And neither does he speak out of his own desire: that (which he conveys to you) is (but a divine) inspiration with which he is being inspired- something that a very mighty one has imparted to him."4

There is more explicit prophecy by Moses in the book of Deuteronomy "And he said, The Lord came form Sinai and rose up from Seir unto them; he shined forth from mount Paran (Faran / within Makkah) and he came with ten thousand of saints; from his right hand went a fiery law for them. Yea, he loved the people."5

This is the prophecy of prophet Moses just before the time he passed away from this world. In this prophecy there is reference to two events of historical importance. In the first incident at Sinai the prophet Moses was equipped with the necessary power that enabled him to destroy the evil forces of Pharaoh. The other unique event in the history of mankind refers to the prophet of Islam,

when he marched with ten thousand of his companions to the Mount of Paran (Faran) and conquered the holy city of Makkah without any bloodshed. The concluding clause of this prediction "from his right evil forces) refers to the P revealed to the Prophet of I prediction speak themselves unprecedented events with history with human strength. They are no other person than the prophet Muhammad mission.

Some Christians promised prophet according to "The Lord God will raise your brethren". This prophecy Jesus, because he is unlike were born in the normal and mother while according to "She (Mary) was found Ghost" - he was not Muhammad married and he bachelor all his life. The earth and brought new Law but Jesus declared: "My said so in his defence with of Roman Governor, Pontius Pilate. He clarified his not of this world, If my would my servants fight, the Jews; but now is my kingdom.

Beside this Jesus himself to be like Moses and common man Jesus is of the Christian scholars the Jews, believe that Jesus Paula Fredriksen Aurelio University writes: "Like

f Abraham (Israelites) except the prophet of s of Abraham. The and those of Ishmael thren to one another. and he (Ishmael) all his brethren.”³

e brethren of the mmad is from among he was a descendant e prophesy proceeds t my words unto his med except the noble mouth by God and he so been affirmed by er does he speak out veys to you) is (but a is being inspired- mparted to him.”⁴

cy by Moses in the The Lord came form he shined forth from and he came with ten went a fiery law for

et Moses just before rld. In this prophecy orical importance. In Moses was equipped d him to destroy the unique event in the et of Islam, when he panions to the Mount holy city of Makkah ding clause of this

prediction “from his right hand went a Fiery Law” (against evil forces) refers to the Holy Quran which is a divine law revealed to the Prophetof God. The facts referred to in this prediction speak themselves about the central figure of the unprecedented events which had changed the course of history with human strength according to the will of God. They are no other persons except the prophet Moses and the prophet Muhammad, who accomplished this divine mission

Some Christian scholars believe that Jesus is the promised prophet according to the prophecy of Moses that “The Lord God will raise up for you a prophet like me from your brethren”. This prophecy of Moses is not applicable to Jesus, because he is unlike Moses and Muhammad who were born in the normal and natural course and had father and mother while according to Gospel

of St. Mathew 1:18 “She (Mary) was found with child (Jesus) by the holy Ghost” — he was not a human being. Moses and Muhammad married and begot children, but Jesus remained bachelor all his life. They established their kingdoms on earth and brought new Law for the people and enforced it, but Jesus declared: “My Kingdom is not this world” He said so in his defence when he was brought before the court of Roman Governor, Pontinus Pilate and was charged with sedition. He clarified his position stating; “My kingdom is not of this world, If my kingdom were of this world, then would my servants fight. That I should not be delivered to the Jews; but now is my kingdom not from hence.”⁶

Beside this Jesus never and no where claimed himself to be like Moses. According to Christian scholars and common man Jesus is Christ and their God. Even some of the Christian scholars, with reference to expectation of the Jews, believe that Jesus was not the promised Messiah. Paula Fredriken Aurelio, professor of scripture of Boston University writes: “Like David, esteemed by tradition, the

Messiah will be someone in whom are combined the traits of courage, piety, military powers, justice, wisdom and knowledge of the Torah. The Prince of Peace must first be a man of war: his duty is to inflict final defeat on the forces of evil."7

Almost all the qualities stated above are applicable to the Prophet of Islam. But all the Jews do not accept Jesus even as a messenger. According to them he is not the promised Messiah, whereas .Jesus is revered by the Muslims as the Messenger of God

All these facts and circumstances indicate that Jesus was not like the Prophet Moses. Finally Quran confirms this prophecy that Muhammad is like unto Moses. It says: "Behold, (O men) We have sent unto you an apostle who shall bear witness to the truth before you, even as We sent an apostle unto Pharaoh."8

The Christians are aware of the fact that prophet Moses had been sent to Pharaoh. Reverend James L. Dow admits in Collins Dictionary of the Bible: "As statesman and law giver Moses is the greater of Jewish People. The only man of history who can be compared even remotely to him is Mahomet".9

The readers of scriptures were not feeling comfortable with the words "from among your brethren" so they have completely deleted these words in the later translations of the Bible in 1996 because the true import of these words denotes the coming of the Prophet from among the "Ishmaelites" .who is no other person than Muhammad

There is another reference in the Old Testament of the Bible which says: "God came from Teman and the Holy one from Mount Paran (Faran of Makkah). Se'lah. His glory covered the heavens, and the earth was full of praise (Hamd).10

- :Psalms

Blessed are they th be still be praising thee. S strength is in thee; in whose passing through the" valle well; the rain also filleth th

: Song of Solomon

King Solomon cle Noble Prophet in one of words of the song in He learned teacher/professo Gilani,head of department in his book Nabi-ul-Kh reference form song of original Hebrew; that co .prophet Muhammad

hikko mamittaqim wiku zehrai baynot yerushalayim'

His mouth is most swe praiseworthy, altogether l this my friend, O daughter'

The plural form fo respect and majesty for t Arabic Muhammad has th One". Singing the praise description: "My belove among ten thousands.13

Kindly note the thousands. It is used in ord Prophet Muhammad amon him during his march to M

-:Psalms

Blessed are they that dwell in thy house; they will be still be praising thee. Se'lah. Blessed is the " man whose strength is in thee; in whose heart are the ways of them who passing through the valley of Baca (Makkah) make it a well; the rain also filleth the pools."11

:Song of Solomon

King Solomon clearly describes the name of the Noble Prophet in one of his celebrated songs, original words of the song in Hebrew have been quoted by my learned teacher/professor Maulana Manazir Ahsan Gilani,head of department of Islamiyat, Osmania university, in his book Nabi-ul-Khatim. There is an appropriate reference form song of Solomon in the Bible, in the original .Hebrew; that contains the name of the noble prophet Muhammad

'hikko mamittaqim wikullo muhammadim zehdudi wa zehrai baynot yerushalayim'

His mouth is most sweet; yea, he is Muhammad (the praiseworthy, altogether lovely). This is my' beloved, and this my friend, O daughters of Jerusalem.'12

The plural form for Muhammad is used to denote respect and majesty for the praised one. In Hebrew and Arabic Muhammad has the same meaning of "The Praised One". Singing the praise of Muhammad he gives further description: "My beloved is white and ruddy, chiefest among ten thousands.13

Kindly note the words chiefest among ten thousands. It is used in order to distinguish the figure of .the Prophet Muhammad among his companions who were with him during his march to Makkah

The New Testament

-:Prophecies of Jesus Christ

We have quoted several passages from the Bible in regard to the predictions of the prophets of the Old Testament. Here we reproduce the prophecy of Jesus whom Muslims honour as Messiah and believe that the Gospel (Injeel) was revealed to him. But one thing is to be noted about the present Bible as pointed out by the authors of Encyclopedia Britannica under the caption Bible (1973 edition): It is not, however, difficult for the reader of Scriptures to know the real meaning of the words spoken for the future guide of humanity as a “Comforter”. The last speech of Jesus was translated into Greek by St. John from Aramic widely spoken in the days of Christ. Before his ascension, he gave a message to the whole world in Syriac language spoken by Jesus and the Palestinians. It remained as such for several centuries. The actual Greek word for the one who was to come after Jesus was “Parikilytos” which is synonymous of syriac word “Munhamanna” meaning “Hamad” in Hebrew and “Muhammad” in Arabic (The much praised one). This meaning is supported by Ibn-e- Hisham on the authority of Ibn-e-Ishaq who was conversant with syriac language which was the language of Palestine in his days as well. ”¹⁴

But later on, the translators of St. John's Gospel made some variations by substituting another Greek word “Peracletius” for “Pariclytos” as the redactors were feeling the latter word against their personal interpretation. The word “Peracletius” has several meanings. According to Encyclopedia of Biblical literature it is translated from Greek into English as consoler, comforter,

advocate and teacher but in all authorized versions of the Bible, commonly known as the King James Version (KJV) the word “Comforter” is translated for “Peracletius” or “Paraclete”.

Assuming the meaning of this word is comforter even then it is no Comforter was no other Muhammad according to o by St. John in his :Gospel

Chapter 14 of Gospel of St. J

Verse 16: “And I will give you an abide with yo

Verse 26: “But the C Ghost, whon name, he sh bring all th whatsoever I

Chapter 16 of Gospel of St. J

.Verse 7: Nevertheless expedient for not away, the you; but if I you

Verse 12: I have yet m ye cannot bea

Verse 13: Howbeit wh come, he wil shall not spe he shall hear, shew you thin

Verse 14: He shall glor mine, and sha

Verse 16: Addressing ascension Jes give you an abide with yo

comforter even then it is not difficult to ascertain that the Comforter was no other noble soul than :the Prophet Muhammad according to own words of Jesus as narrated by St. John in his Gospel

Chapter 14 of Gospel of St. John (KJV Bible)

Verse 16: "And I will pray the Father, and He shall give you another Comforter, that he may "abide with you for ever

Verse 26: "But the Comforter, which is the Holy Ghost, whom the Father will send in my name, he shall teach you all things, and bring all things to your remembrance, whatsoever I have said : "unto you

Chapter 16 of Gospel of St. John

Verse 7: Nevertheless I tell you the truth; it is expedient for you that I go away: for if I go not .away, the Comforter will not come unto you; but if I depart, I will send him unto you

.Verse 12: I have yet many things to say unto you, but ye cannot bear them now

Verse 13: Howbeit when he, the Spirit of truth, is come, he will guide you unto all truth: for he shall not speak of himself; but whatsoever he shall hear, that shall he speak: and he will shew you .things to come

.Verse 14: He shall glorify me: for, he shall receive of mine, and shall shew it unto you

Verse 16: Addressing his disciples before his ascension Jesus says: "And He (God) shall give .you another Comforter", that he may abide with you forever

Another Comforter” means other than Jesus because the first comforter according to Christian’s “belief is Jesus himself. Jamal Badawi, a well known scholar says: “all the criteria of coming Comforter or Paraclete according to the Prophecy of Christ is fulfilled only by the Prophet Muhammad such as: he testified Jesus, talked new things which could not be known at Jesus time, he spoke what he heard (Revelation) and he shall abide with you for ever means he will dwell physically throughout his life with his believers and thereafter through his well preserved teachings, that is, the Quran and Sunnah which are real guide in all walks of life of men and women throughout the world.”¹⁵

In verse 26, chapter 14 of St. John’s Gospel, the word “Comforter” means the “Holy Ghost” whom the father will send in my name. Here the Greek Word “Pneuma” is translated into English as the Holy Ghost. The word Ghost according to the “New Oxford Dictionary of English means “Image of a person appearing to haunt the living but not actually existing.” So the word “Ghost” appears absurd to the reader when attributed to the first comforter (Jesus) and to the coming one. Therefore the modern translators of the Bible changed the word “Ghost” and replaced it with the new word “Spirit”. However the word “Holy Ghost” or “Holy Spirit” is interpolation on the very face of it. Mr. Maurice Bucaille, the learned author of “The Bible, The Quran and Science” has :interpreted the word “Ghost” in his book as under

If the words ‘Holy Spirit’ (to pneuma to agion) are omitted from the passage, the complete text “of John then conveys a meaning which is perfectly clear”..... According to John, when Jesus says in chapter 14, Verse 16: “And I will pray the Father, and He will give you another

Paraclete”, what he is saying is that another intercessor will be sent to my side on man’s behalf during

According to the r brought to see in John’s “ Jesus, possessing the facu formally implied in“ John’s predicts that God will later take up the role defined by hears God’s word and repea the logical interpretation of attributes to the words their

As a matter of fact witness account. The earlie down from 65 to 70 A.D a four Gospels will find changes in the text, a Encyclopadia referred to a changes Muslims believe Testaments as revealed bo for the coming Prophet because he appeared as characteristics described i which is testified by noble

We would like t remarkable observation of Andrae in his book “M studied the Bible and also of Islam. After analytica says: “If we would be fa not forget that consciously are inclined to compare M and exalted figures whom

intercessor will be sent to man, as He Himself was at God's side on man's behalf during His
".earthly life

According to the rules of logic therefore, one is brought to see in John's "Paraclete" a human" being like Jesus, possessing the faculties of hearing and speech formally implied in John's Greek text. Jesus therefore predicts that God will later send a human being to Earth to take up the role defined by John, i.e. to be a prophet who hears God's word and repeats His message to man. This is the logical interpretation of St. John's text arrived at if one attributes to the words their proper meaning."¹⁶

As a matter of fact all the four Gospels are not eye witness account. The earliest Gospel of Mark was handed down from 65 to 70 A.D of Christian era. A reader of all four Gospels will find contradictions, variations and changes in the text, as stated by the authors of Encyclopadia referred to above. Excluding variations and changes Muslims believe in both the Old and New Testaments as revealed books. So the prophecy of Christ for the coming Prophet Muhammad is to be accepted because he appeared as the living embodiment of all characteristics described in the predictions of
.the Bible which is testified by noble Quran

We would like to conclude this chapter with remarkable observation of a learned Christian scholar Tor Andrae in his book "Mohammaed", who had deeply studied the Bible and also had read the life of the Prophet of Islam. After analytical study of Christian psyche, he says: "If we would be fair to him (Mohammad) we must not forget that consciously or unconsciously, we Christians are

inclined to compare Mohammad with the unsurpassed and exalted figures whom we meet in the
Gospels."¹⁷

MUHAMMAD PEACE BE UPON HIM

:In Ancient Religious Books other than the Bible

-:Zoroaster's Prophecy In Pazand

There are similar prophecies like Judo-Christian scriptures in ancient books of other religions with respect to coming of the last Prophet Muhammad. One of the ancient religious book is Avasta. In the new language it is called Pazand which is stated to have been revealed to Zoroaster (628BC — ".551BC) who is acclaimed as Prophet by the Parsis

:So Says Zoroaster

In clear terms, Zoroaster says in Avesta: "I have not perfected the religion. Another Prophet will come after me and he will perfect this religion; his name will be "Mercy for the entire universe".¹⁸ This reminds one of the Qur'anic verses in which Muhammad has been so called (Al .Qur'an 21:107)

:In Hindu's Religious Books

In India, Hindus believe in Vedas, Puranas and Upanishads which are their oldest holy books. In one of ten Puranas it is stated: "In the final epoch a man will be born in a desert. His mother's name will be reliable (Amina), his fathers name will be slave of God (Abdullah). He will be obliged to move north from his country and settle there. He will then conquer his own country

(from where he was forced to migrate) with the help of ten thousand men, several-fold. In the battle his chariot will be drawn by camels and they will be so swift that they will soar to the .skies.¹⁹ This refers to ascension of Holy Prophet, which is known as 'Mairaj

Owing to the passage of time, there may be some variations in translations of the aforesaid books .from time to time

E BE UPON HIM

-: other than the Bible: d

hecies like Judo-Christian er religions with respect to ummad. One of the ancient new language it

is called een revealed to Zoroaster aimed as Prophet by the

ays in Avesta: "I have not rophet will come after me his name will be "Mercy minds one of the

Qur'anic been so called (Al Qur'an

in Vedas, Puranas and holy books. In one of ten epoch a man will be born l be reliable (Amina),

his d (Abdullah). He will be untry and settle there. He ry (from where he was of ten thousand

men, ariot will be drawn by hat they will soar to the f Holy Prophet, which is

me, there may be some resaid books from time

All the above passages from prophecies in all the great religious books of the world indicate that

.they refer to the coming of the last Prophet Muhammad

In this chapter, most of the references have been given from the direct source, that is, from the

Bible and from English version of religious books of other religions, which may be verified

conveniently for the sake of satisfaction if one desires so. If those prophecies found to be true then

.honesty, good faith and fair play demand from the believers of those books to accept the truth

References Chapter I

Mohammad in the Bible

Genesis, 21:18 .1

Deuteronomy, 18: 15-17-18 .2

Genesis, 16:12 .3

The Message of the Quran by Mohammad Asad, 53:3 to 5 .4

Deuteronomy, 33:2-3 .5

St. John, 18:36 .6

Newsweek March 28, 2005-page 46 .7

The Message of the Quran by Mohammad Asad, 73:15 .8

Collins Dictionary of the Bible. Moses .9

Habakkuk, 111:3 of the Holy Bible (K.J.V) .10

Psalms, 84:4 to 6 .11

Song of Solomon From original Bible Tanakh, page 37 .12

Song of Solomon, 5:10 of the Holy Bible (K.J.V) .13

The Meaning of Quran By S.Abul A'ala Maududi Vol 5, Page 502 .14

Renaissance June 2005 Publication of Mawrid, page 51 .15

The Bible The Quran And Science by Maurice Bucaille, page 105-106 .16

Mohammad by To Andrea, page 269 .17

The Emergence of Islam by Dr. Muhammad Hamidullah, page 5 .18

Ibid, page 5 .19

CHAPTER II

Muhammad, Peace Be Upon Him

In The Eyes of Non-Muslim Luminaries of The World

Followers of Islam have such immense respect, love and affection for their Noble Prophet, that their reverence to 5 for him can hardly be described in words. Whatever they say or write about him may be deemed by some non Muslims to be an exaggeration. But, if the evidence in regard to his person and his life, is found in statements of the learned and distinguished personalities of other religions, then this could be considered worthy testimony, in the opinion of people of the same religious order. We, therefore, present the universal personage of the Prophet of Islam, as depicted by renowned non Muslim intellectuals, historians, authors, philosophers, generals, .spiritual and temporal leaders of the world

i, Page 502 About 200 years ago Thomas Carlyle, political philosopher and unbiased historian of England, was page 105-106 courageous enough to frankly admit the fault of Europe for its contemptuous attitude towards the Prophet, in his famous book On Heroes, Hero-Worship, and the .Heroic in . page 5 History

He had not only dispelled the misgivings and medieval prejudices of his people about the Prophet .of Islam, but highlighted his dignified person as a hero Prophet

Here we reproduce a brief life sketch of the Noble Prophet from the title Islam the Misunderstood

:Religion, written by the eminent author, Mr. James A. Michener. He writes

Muhammad, the inspired man who founded IslamO, was born about A.D. 570 into an Arabian“

tribe that worshipped

The prese of Islam an Christiani has ably d religions t points the amity, pe people of t

This boo removi misconcep about Isla and the t well time clash o understa objectives thus prom

It is a st scholars a value and interested

Mr. Muh authored work is cl ample pro is the res hard work and for the

Justice (r Ex. Recto Universit

idols. Orphaned at birth, he was always particularly solicitous of the poor and needy, the widow and the orphan, the slave and the downtrodden. At twenty, he was already a successful businessman, and soon became director of camel caravans for a wealthy widow. When he reached twenty-five, his employer, recognizing his merit, proposed marriage. Even though she was fifteen years older, he married her, and as

They were wild men, bursting ever and anon into quarrel, into all kinds of fierce sincerity;" without right worth and manhood, no man could have commanded them. They called him Prophet, you say? Why, he stood there face to face with them; bare, not enshrined in any mystery; visibly clouting his own cloak, cobbling his own shoes; fighting, counselling, ordering in the midst of them: they must have seen what kind of a man he was, let him be called what you like! No emperor with his tiaras was obeyed as this man in a cloak of his own clouting. During three-and-twenty years of rough actual trial. I find something of a veritable Hero necessary for that, of
:itself...." He further says

In one of Goethe's Delineations, in Meister's Travels it is, the hero comes upon a Society of..." men with very strange ways, one of which was this: "We require," says the Master, "that each of our people shall restrict himself in one direction," shall go right against his desire in one matter, and make himself do the thing he does not wish, "should we allow him the greater latitude on all other sides." There seems to me a great justness in this. Enjoying things which are pleasant; that is not the evil: it is the reducing of our moral self to slavery by them that is. Let a man assert withal that he is king over his habitudes; that he could and would shake them off, on cause
shown: this is an excellent law."²

**Gibbon: "He brought down upon earth, the Kingdom of
"Heaven**

:Edward Gibbon (1737-1794), an English historian of the 18th century, writes

I believe in one God and Mahomet, the Apostle of God: is the simple and invariable profession " of Islam. The intellectual image of the Deity has never been degraded by any visible idol; the honour of the prophet has never transgressed the measure of human virtues; and his living

".precepts have restrained the gratitude of his disciples within the bounds of reason and religion

It is not the propagation but the permanency of his religion that deserves our wonder; the same" pure and perfect impression which he engraved at Mecca and Madina is preserved, after the revolution of twelve centuries by the Indian, the African and the Turkish proselytes of the Koran. The Mahometans have uniformly withstood the temptation of reducing the object of their faith and devotion to a level with the senses and imagination of man." 3

Comparing the achievements of Muhammad, and Jesus, this great historian has very rightly :observed

Through Islam, Muhammad banished from the Arab within ten years their hard heartedness, spirit" of revenge, anarchy, female degradation, rivalry, lawlessness, usury, drunkenness, infanticide, murderous quarrel and human sacrifice as well as all stupid superstitions and fetishes. Through that religion he brought down upon this earth the Kingdom of Heaven so fondly coveted by Jesus."4

"Voltaire "Extraordinary Revolution

Francois Voltaire (1694-1778) is contemporary of Edward Gibbon, and one of the most famous French authors. He was a courageous fighter against tyranny, bigotry and cruelty of the rulers and clergy. He is master of critical analysis, wit and satire. In his historical work he frankly spoke against papal intolerance, but appreciated progressive measures of Islamic movement, an appreciation which resulted in many problems for him. Warrants of arrest were issued against him

for his revolutionary and alleged heretical writings. He was forced to flee from France to England, where Gibbon had attended Voltaire meetings

Voltair defended Muhamma L'esprit des Nations as a p founder of a rational religion polity had "always been m tradition."5

Note: Founder is misnomer Islam is a continuation of all The meaning of Islam is to su may be born in mind that Volt compliments to the Prophet of adverse remarks against him, embarked upon a deeper study

: Voltaire has further observed Smith

The turn of Arabia came, struck when the most comple most extra ordinary revolution nation" upon earth" 6

"Napoleon: "We are Muslims

Napoleon Bonaparte (1 of France who dissolved the the ruler of the whole Contin much impressed by the univer of Islam, and considered him world. In Egypt he visited discussed 'Voltaire's Muhamm scholars there. In Alexandria, Sommes les vrais musulmans." declaration was made .when l power

He prepared the Code o the Compendium of the Islamic Napoleon is still the basis o

Voltair defended Muhammad in his book *Les Moeurs et L'esprit des Nations* as a profound political thinker and founder of a rational religion. He pointed out that Muslim polity had always been more tolerant than Christian tradition."5

Note: Founder is misnomer for the Prophet Mohammed. Islam is a continuation of all divine revelations of the past. The meaning of Islam is to surrender to the will of God. It may be born in mind that Voltaire and a few other who paid compliments to the Prophet of Islam had earlier made some adverse remarks against him, but those were before they embarked upon a deeper study of the Prophet's life

: Voltaire has further observed as quoted by R. Bosworth Smith

The turn of Arabia came, when the hour had already struck when the most complete, the most sudden and the most extraordinary revolution that has ever come over any nation upon earth" 6

"Napoleon: "We are Muslims

Napoleon Bonaparte (1769-1821) was the Emperor of France who dissolved the Roman Empire and became the ruler of the whole Continent of Europe. He was very much impressed by the universal personage of the Prophet of Islam, and considered him the great law giver of the world. In Egypt he visited Al-Azhar University and discussed 'Voltaire's Muhammad' with the learned Muslim scholars there. In Alexandria, he publicly declared: "Nous Sommes les vrais musulmans." (We all are Muslims).7 This declaration was made when he was at the zenith of his power

He prepared the Code of Napoleon after examining the Compendium of the Islamic Legal System.

The Code of Napoleon is still the basis of French Law. The British

) ser mil y d s t the , pe le of

boo ovi concep t Isla the b time n o rsta tives com

a si ars a g and ested

Muh red : s cl pro res ork th

s (r) ecto ersit

.drafted the Indian Panel Code, in 1860, almost on the same pattern of the Napoleon Code

.Napoleon saluted the Prophet of Islam with a deep sense of admiration

".I salute this great leader"

Napoleon further observed: "Muhammad, in reality was a great leader of mankind. His followers conquered half of the world in a short time, and the discipline which they maintained under his leadership was simply marvelous, and so was their bravery, courage and devotion to the cause which they loved and cherished. This, coupled with the contempt for death as taught by their leader, made them great soldiers and fighters, the like of whom history rarely produces. I simply marvel at the achievement of this Son of the Desert within a period of only 15 years - a thing which Moses and Christ could not do in fifteen hundred years. I salute this great man; I salute his qualities of head and heart."8

Napoleon Compares Judaism and Christianity with

".Islam: "The Last Religion

Moses has revealed the existence of God to his nation, Jesus Christ to the Roman world," Muhammad to the old continent - Arabia was idolatrous when, six centuries after Jesus, Muhammad introduced the worship of the God of Abraham, of Ishmael, of Moses, and of Jesus - Muhammad declared that there was none but one God, Trinity imported the idea of idolatry."9

"Napoleon's "Greater Plan

I hope the time is not far off when I shall be able to unite all the wise and educated men of all the" countries, and establish a uniform regime based on the principles of the Quran, which alone are true, and which alone can lead men to happiness."10

The Last Days of Napoleon

Emil Ludwig, the biograph that this great General was speaking religion of Islam to those around .hi death

Goethe Johan (1749-1832) "The H

Goethe, of Germany, a many acknowledged as a world figure. H the literary treasure for all who common good of humanity. West-ös East and West) is his outstanding c West with the East. He was a natur first statesmen of Europe who f courage against all sorts of slandero soul of the Prophet of Islam. He nev Prophet as the founder of the fines him to be the most truthful Proph

poems, he has compared the life of t an eternal mighty stream flowing c love and mercy on the whole of man

Goethe, in Wilhelm Meister that the Noble Prophet, as the hero the world to protect the people f
Carlyle has referred to this passage Hero-Worship, and the Heroic in Hi

- Dr. Samuel Johnson (1709

-:Thoroughly Democratic

Political life of Muhar democratic: says Dr. Samuel J Lexicographer, writer, critic"
conversationalist and leading figure the 18th Century. During his lit religion and politics he
remarked a

The Last Days of Napoleon

Emil Ludwig, the biographer of Napoleon, writes that this great General was speaking of his preference of the religion of Islam to those around him three days before his death

”Goethe Johan (1749-1832) “The Hero of Humanity

Goethe, of Germany, a many sided genius, has been acknowledged as a world figure. His writings opened up the literary treasure for all who are interested in the common good of humanity. West-östlicher Divan (Divan of East and West) is his outstanding contribution to unite the West with the East. He was a natural philosopher, and the first statesmen of Europe who fought with undaunted courage against all sorts of slanderous attacks on the noble soul of the Prophet of Islam. He never ceased to praise the Prophet as the founder of the finest culture, and believed him to be the most truthful Prophet. In one of his best poems, he has compared the life of the Noble Prophet, with an eternal mighty stream flowing continuously, bestowing love and mercy on the whole of mankind

Goethe, in Wilhelm Meister’s Travels, pointed out that the Noble Prophet, as the hero of humanity, came to the world to protect the people from the axis of evil. Carlyle has referred to this passage in his book On Heroes, Hero-Worship, and the Heroic in History

”Dr. Samuel Johnson (1709 – 84) “Muhammad

-:Thoroughly Democratic

Political life of Muhammad is thoroughly democratic: says Dr. Samuel Johnson, the English“
Lexicographer, writer, critic and outstanding conversationalist and leading figure of literary
London of the 18th Century. During his literary discourse about religion and politics he remarked
about the Prophet: “His

purely historical character, his simple humanity, claiming to be a man among men, his intense realism avoiding all mystical remoteness, the thoroughly democratic and universal form under which his idea of divine monarchy led him to conceive the relations of man, the force of ethical appeal, all affiliate Muhammad with the modern world”10-A

-: Muhammad – Founder of League of Nations

Professor Hurgronje writes: “The league of nations founded by the Prophet of Islam put the principles of international unity and human brotherhood on such universal foundations as to show candle to other nations.” He continues; “The fact is that no nation of the world can show a parallel to what Islam has done towards the realization of the idea of the League of Nations. The world has not hesitated to raise to divinity of individuals whose lives and missions have been lost in legend. Historically speaking, none of these legends achieved even a fraction of what Muhammad accomplished. And all his striving was for the sole purpose of uniting mankind for the worship of One God on the codes of moral excellence. Muhammad (PBUH) or his followers never at any time claimed that he was a son of God or the God incarnate or a man with divinity —

but he always was and is even today considered as only a Messenger chosen by God.10-B

R Bosworth Smith: Sublime Status of the Prophet

:R. Bosworth Smith, a renowned writer and author, writes about the status of the Noble Prophet

It was Muhammad, who was head of the State as well as of the Church, he was Caesar and Pope “in one: but he was a Pope without the Pope’s pretensions, and Caesar without the legions of

Caesar. Without a standing army, without a bodyguard, without the palace, without a fixed revenue, if ever any man had the right to say that he ruled by a right

Divine, it was Muhammad, its instruments and without

Lane Poole on Jihad

Lane Poole a well writes about jihad in Islam

Why have so many mil Islam and scarcely a hun attempted to explain the Islam by the argument“
of laconic reply. First get yo hearts before you can indu you.”¹²

De Lacy O’Leary, Absur

,Eminent historian

History makes it clear fanatical Muslims sweepi Islam at the point of the s of the most“
fantastically ever repeated.”¹³

Mahatma Gandhi on Isl

Mahatma Gandl nationalist Leader of Indi know the best of the life sway over the hearts o more
than ever convinc won a place for Islam in was rigid simplicity, Prophet, the scrupulou devotion to
his friends fearlessness, his absolu mission. Those and not them and surmounted ev

Divine, it was Muhammad, for he had all the power without its instruments and without its supports”.¹¹

Lane Poole on Jihad

:Lane Poole a well known scholar and historian writes about jihad in Islam

Why have so many millions embraced the religion of Islam and scarcely a hundred ever“
recanted? Some have attempted to explain the first overwhelming success of Islam by the
argument of the Sword. They forget Carlyle’s laconic reply. First get your sword. You must win
men’s hearts before you can induce them to imperil their lives for you.”¹²

;De Lacy O’Leary, Absurd Myths About Jihad

:Eminent historian, De Lacy O’Leary, observed

History makes it clear, however, that the legend of fanatical Muslims sweeping through the world“
and forcing Islam at the point of the sword upon conquered races is one of the most fantastically
absurd myths that historians have ever repeated.”¹³

Mahatma Gandhi on Islam and Jihad

Mahatma Gandhi (1869-1948), the renowned nationalist Leader of India, writes about jihad: “I
wanted to know the best of the life of one who holds today undisputed sway over the hearts of
millions of mankind. I became more than ever convinced that it was not the sword that won a

place for Islam in those days in the scheme of life. It was rigid simplicity, the utter self-effacement of the Prophet, the scrupulous regard for pledges, his intense devotion to his friends and followers, his intrepidity, his fearlessness, his absolute trust in God and in his own mission.

Those and not the sword carried everything before them and surmounted every obstacle.”¹⁴

K. S. Ramakrishna Rao on: "The Perfect Model For "Human Life

K. S. Ramakrishna Rao, an Indian professor of Philosophy, in his book Muhammad, the Prophet of Islam, calls him the "Perfect model for human life. In all his magnificent role and in all departments of humanity he is a hero".¹⁵

Meredith Townsend on Muhammad, The Educator

Meredith Townsend, a prominent British author of the mid to late 1800's, writes in his book :Mahommed the Great Arabian

Muhammad was a more thorough educator than the modern reformers whose libertarian teachings" during the inter-war period in Europe could not measure up to the task of preventing tyranny and war." He further writes: "....Under Muhammad....there sprang up ex necessitate rei, a form of ".democratic equality more absolute than any the world has elsewhere

In the 20th Century, in spite of scientific conquests, and the advancement of secular theories by materialist thinkers, the human intellect has the same praiseworthy admiration for the Prophet of Islam, and his noble mission for mankind. What follows are some remarks from authors and .thinkers of the present age

H.G. Wells – The Gift of Light and Power

H.G. Wells (1866-1946) who is known as visionary of science, despite his antagonism against the religion of Islam, writes about the impact of the teachings of the Prophet of Islam

From a new angle and with a fresh vigour, Islam took up that systematic development of positive knowledge which the Greeks had begun and relinquished. If the Greek was the father, then the

Arab was the foster-father of the

scientific method of dealing with absolute frankness, the utmost sin explanation, exact record and

exha the Arabs it was, and not by t modern world received that gift of

George Bernard Shaw and Islam

George Bernard Shaw (1 significant British playwright sin winner of the Nobel Prize for Lit are

,permeated by his passion for peculiar style, George Bernard and the Prophet of Islam

If any religion had the chance o Europe within the next hundred y"

I have always held the religio estimation because of its wonde religion which appears to me to"

capacity to the changing phas make itself appeal to every age wonderful man and in my opin

Christ, he must be called the Sav

I believe that if a man like dictatorship of the modern w solving its problems in a way th needed" peace and happiness: I faith of Muhammad that it w Europe of tomorrow as it is be the Europe of today."17

Karen Armstrong on Muham

Karen Armstrong born the Catholic Church. She is Pro of religions in Oxford. Recen well-researched book, Muhar

The Perfect Model For“

an Indian professor of nad, the Prophet of Islam, or human life. In all his merits of humanity he ,
is a

mad, The Educator

ominent British author of his book Mahommed the
ough educator than the ian teachings during the ot measure up to the task r.” He further writes:
prang up ex necessitate v more absolute than any
e of scientific conquests, theories by materialist the same praiseworthy n, and his noble mission ne
remarks from authors

d Power

o is known as visionary against the religion of f the teachings of the
h vigour, Islam took up sitive knowledge which shed. If the Greek was e foster-father of the
scientific method of dealing with reality, that is to say, by absolute frankness, the utmost
simplicity of statement and explanation, exact record and exhaustive criticism. Through the Arabs
it was, and not by the Latin route, that the modern world received that gift of light and power.”¹⁶

George Bernard Shaw and Islam

George Bernard Shaw (1856-1950) was the most significant British playwright since the 17th century, and winner of the Nobel Prize for Literature in 1925. His plays are permeated by his passion for social reform. In his own peculiar style, George Bernard Shaw writes about Islam and ,the Prophet of Islam

If any religion had the chance of ruling over England, nay Europe within the next hundred years, “
”.it could be Islam

I have always held the religion of Muhammad in high estimation because of its wonderful “
vitality. It is the only religion which appears to me to possess that assimilating capacity to the
changing phase of existence which can make itself appeal to every age. I have studied him - the
wonderful man and in my opinion far from being an anti- Christ, he must be called the Savior of
”.Humanity

I believe that if a man like him were to assume the dictatorship of the modern world he would “
succeed in solving its problems in a way that would bring it the much needed peace and
happiness: I have prophesied about the faith of Muhammad that it would be acceptable to the
Europe of tomorrow as it is beginning to be acceptable to the Europe of today.”¹⁷

Karen Armstrong on Muhammad and Jesus

Karen Armstrong born in 1944 is a former nun of the Catholic Church. She is Professor of comparative study of religions in Oxford. Recently in her well-written and well-researched book,
Muhammad, A Biography of the

:Prophet, comparing the life of the Jesus and Muhammad, peace be upon them both, she writes

In contrast to Muhammad, we know very little about Jesus. The earliest Christian writer was St. " Paul, who dispatched his first epistle some twenty years after Jesus' death. Paul, however, had no interest in Christ's earthly life but concentrated almost entirely on the spiritual meaning of his death and resurrection. Later, in the gospels, the evangels drew on the oral tradition which dwelt more than he on Jesus' life in Palestine and recorded his words. Mark, the first, wrote about forty years after Jesus' death in the seventies; Mathew and Luke wrote during the eighties and John in about 100 CE. But these gospel accounts are quite different from the early biographies of Muhammad by the Arab historians..... Muslims have evolved a symbolic devotion to Muhammad, but indeed he is a very human figure in the early histories. Muhammad is more like the colourful figures of the Jewish scriptures — Moses, David, Solomon, Elijah or Isaiah."18

Jules Masserman on the Greatest Leader of All Time

Jules Masserman a well known modern psychoanalyst of the United States, after critical study of the most influential leaders of the world, has laid down a criterion for assessment of greatness of leadership.

:According to his analytical view

Leaders must fulfill three functions — provide for the wellbeing of the led, provide a social organization in which people feel relatively secure, and provide them with one set of beliefs. People like Pasteur and Salk are leaders in the first sense. People like Gandhi and Confucius, on

one hand, and Alexander, Caesar and Hitler on the other, are leaders in the second and perhaps the third sense. Jesus and Buddha belong in the third category alone. Perhaps the

greatest leader of all time degree Moses did the same

One is profound hundred years ago in the Carlyle who placed Muhammad Prophets, and in the 20th century and science, an American him as the greatest leader with Alexander, Caesar, Buddha, Jesus and Moses

Michael H. Hart on the

History

Similarly, in recent the Noble Prophet, at the hundred most influential the course of history. He

My choice of Muhammad most influential person may be questioned by some history who was supreme" and secular levels. Of him and promulgated one became an immensely thirteen centuries after powerful and pervasive Arabia had a reputation was small; and plague warfare, they had been kingdoms in the settled. However, unified by history, and inspired by God, these small Arab the most astonishing history....In fact, as

greatest leader of all times was Mohammed. To a lesser degree Moses did the same." 19

One is profoundly astonished to see that two hundred years ago in the 18th century, it was Thomas Carlyle who placed Muhammad, as the Hero of all the great Prophets, and in the 20th century, which is the age of reason and science, an American psychoanalyst acknowledged him as the greatest leader of all times, after comparing him with Alexander, Caesar, Gandhi and Confucius,

even with Buddha, Jesus and Moses. 20

Michael H. Hart on the Most Influential Person in History

Similarly, in recent years Michael H. Hart ranked the Noble Prophet, at number one in his list of the one hundred most influential persons of the world who changed the course of history. He

:writes

My choice of Muhammad to lead the list of the world's most influential persons may surprise" some readers and may be questioned by others, but he was the only man in history who was supremely successful on both the religious and secular levels. Of humble origins, Muhammad founded and promulgated one of the world's great religions, and became an immensely effective political leader. Today, thirteen centuries after his death, his influence is still powerful and pervasive....The Bedouin tribesmen of Arabia had a reputation as fierce warriors. But their number was small; and plagued by disunity and internecine warfare, they had been no match for

the larger armies of the kingdoms in the settled agricultural areas to the north. However, unified by Muhammad for the first time in history, and inspired by their fervent belief in the one true God, these small Arab armies now embarked upon one of the most astonishing series of conquests in human history....In fact, as the driving force behind the Arab

conquests, he may well rank as the most influential political leader of all time...Nothing similar had occurred before Muhammad, and there is no reason to believe that the conquests would have been achieved without him....We see, then, that the Arab conquests of the seventh century have continued to play an important role in human history, down to the present day. It is this unparalleled combination of secular and religious influence which I feel entitles Muhammad to be considered the most influential single figure in human history."²¹

.The quotes ante are only a few of those published by notable Western authors
We could not quote the opinions of thousands of non Muslim authors, scientists, statesmen, and political thinkers of all walks of life who have also show respect to the Noble Prophet of Islam, as it was not possible to reproduce their observations in this short book. However, what follows are statements of some of those who have embraced Islam after being raised as non-Muslims.²²

"?Lamatrine – "Is there any greater man than he

At the end of this chapter, we would like to reproduce the tremendous challenge by a distinguished non Muslim French historian, Lamatrine: Is there any greater man than
.Muhammad? Here is the challenge in his own words

If greatness of purpose, smallness of means, and astounding results are the three criteria of" human genius, who could dare to compare any great man in modern history with Muhammad? The most famous men created arms, laws and empires only. They founded, if anything at all, no more than material powers which often crumbled away before their eyes. This man moved not

only armies, legislations, empires, peoples and dynasties, but millions of men in one-third of the then inhabited world; and more

than that, he moved the alta ideas, the beliefs and souls. his ambition, which was en in no manner striving for a his mystic conversations triumph after death; all thes to a firm conviction which dogma. This dogma was tw immateriality of God; the f latter telling what God is n gods with the ".sword, the words

Philosopher, orator, a conqueror of ideas, restore without images; the found and of one spiritual" empire all standards by which hum we may well ask, is there a

This is a challenge for all the times, but no answer to this challenge un only answer to this chall logic would always be a re

The above statem Muslim personalities of t Islam, testifying that he is and true Prophet of manki temptation, bribe or threa and sincere minds without the above evidence about those who are unfamilia polemics and malicious Prophet, and to follow in i God, themselves, and with

than that, he moved the altars, the gods, the religions, the ideas, the beliefs and souls. . . his forbearance in victory, his ambition, which was entirely devoted to one idea and in no manner striving for an empire; his endless prayers, his mystic conversations with God, his death and his triumph after death; all these attest not to an imposture but to a firm conviction which gave him the power to restore a dogma. This dogma was twofold, the unity of God and the immateriality of God; the former telling what God is, the latter telling what God is not; the one overthrowing false
 ”.gods with the sword, the other starting an idea with words

Philosopher, orator, apostle, legislator, warrior, conqueror of ideas, restorer of rational dogmas, “of a cult without images; the founder of twenty terrestrial empires and of one spiritual empire, that is Muhammad. As regards all standards by which human greatness may be measured, we may
 well ask, is there any man greater than he?”²³

This is a challenge for all, for the whole world and for all the times, but no one would dare to say yes in answer to this challenge until the end of time. However the only answer to this challenging
 ”.question by reason and logic would always be a resounding “No

The above statements of some of the great non Muslim personalities of the world about the Prophet of Islam, testifying that he is the greatest leader of humanity and true Prophet of mankind, could not be procured by any temptation, bribe or threat. These are expressions of free and sincere minds without any fear or favour. We hope that the above evidence about the Prophet of Islam, may inspire those who are unfamiliar with Islam, to come out of polemics and malicious

propaganda against the Noble Prophet, and to follow in his footsteps to live in peace with God,
.themselves, and with their neighbours

References Chapter II

.Islam: The Misunderstood Religion", by James A" .1

.Michener. Reader's Digest, pp. 68-70, May 1955

,On Heroes, Heor-Worship, and the Heroic in History .2

.Thomas Carlyle, page 86

History of the Saracen Empire, Edward Gibbon and Simon .3

, .Ockley, London, 1870, page 48

The Glory of Muhammad, peace be upon him, published .4

.by Seerat International Centre Pakistan, page 70

Muhammad, A Biography of the Prophet, Karn .5

.Armstrong, page 36

The Glory of Muhammad, Peace be Upon Him, Published .6

.by Seerat International Centre Pakistan, page 58

Muhammad, A Biography of the Prophet, Karn .7

.Armstrong, page 38

The Glory of Islam, published by Seerat International .8

.Research Centre, Islamabad, page 35

.Bonaparte et L'Islam, Cherfils, Paris, pp. 105-125 .9

.Ibid .10

A. Glory of Muhammad, Published by Seerat International-10 •

Research Centre, Islamabad, page 56

.B. Al-Dawwa, July 2004, p 48-10 •

Glory of Muhammad, Peace be Upon Him. Published by .11

Seerat International Research Centre, page 74

.Study in a Mosque, Stanley Lane-Poole, pp.86-89 .12

,Islam At the Crossroads, De Lacy O'Leary , London, 1923 .13

,p. 8

Young India", quoted in The Light, Lahore, September" .14

.1924 ,16

,Muhammad, the Prophet of Islam, Rao. See also Al-Dawa .15

July 2005 issue, page 48

The Outline of History, L .16

Encyclopedia of Seerah, vo

The Genuine Islam, Sir Ge .17

.1936 ,8

Muhammad, A Biography o .18

Who Were History's Grea" .19

.July 15, 1974

,Al-Daawa July 2004 issue .20

A Ranking of t (The 100) .21

.History, Michael H. Hart

Muhammad; Encyclopedia .22

Histoire de la Turquie, Alp .23

O. Note: The founder of Isl •

Prophet. Islam is the last re

.16 Muhammad; The Outline of History, London, 1920 and;

.188 page, vol.1, Encyclopedia of Seerah.

.17 no. 1, vol. 1, Sir George Bernard Shaw, The Genuine Islam.

,8 1936.

.18 Muhammad, A Biography of the Prophet, page 51.

.19 "Time Magazine," "Who Were History's Greatest Leaders,"

1974, July 15.

.20 Al-Daawa July 2004 issue, page 48.

.21 in (The 100) Most Influential Persons of the

Hart, Michael H. History.

.22 Muhammad; Encyclopedia of Seerah, vol.1, page 190.

.23 Histoire de la Turquie, Alphonse de Lamartine.

• The founder of Islam is misnomer for the holy

Prophet. Islam is the last religion of the prophetic cycle.

CHAPTER III

ISLAM

Before dealing with this important subject relating to Islam, it would be more appropriate to make it clear that the Noble Prophet, is not the founder of religion of Islam, as commonly stated by Western thinkers and orientalists. The Noble Prophet, never claimed to preach a new religion; He invited the people in the name of Allah to the same Islam (self surrender to God) that Abraham, .Moses, Jesus and other prophets before him had demanded of their nations

:QUR'ANIC CONNOTATION

In order to understand the universal message of Islam, it is necessary to determine the connotation of the term Islam, synonymous of which in the Hebrew language is “Shalom”. Islam and Salama are derived from the Arabic root seen, laam, meem or “slm”. Its primary meaning is surrender, submission, peace, tranquility, salutation, blessings and greetings. According to the unambiguous meaning of Islam used in the Noble Quran, it is absolute and total surrender to the will of God in order to gain peace and blessings from the Creator in this world and hereafter. Therefore, Islam is the religion of peace, based on the fundamental doctrine of Tawheed (monotheism). Surah “Al-Ikhlās” (Chapter 112) is the essence of the Quran. It has presented the uniqueness and oneness of God. In transparent words, this Surah was, according to some reports, revealed to the Prophet in answer to the question of the idolators about who God is. In his illustrious translation of the

Quran, Mohammad Marmaduke Pickthall has translated the name of Surah Al-Ikhlās as “Unity,” in view of its meaning and subject matter. The addressees of this Surah are mankind through the

Prophet, who is

commanded to: “Say: ‘He is absolute; He begets not; nor none like unto Him.’” A pers Ahad,” (the One) has to give Lord, nor one worthy of words God implies legal unity as These legal norms are indicative law which governs the cosmos man and society as well. Un one faith i.e., Islam which is of Creator, Who is Ruler and this way the sovereignty of members of human society order in regard to Him. It is brotherhood. So comes the Almighty as follows: “So, (O Prophet) set your face sincerely religion, and be steadfast on what has created mankind. Their nature made by Allah. This but most people do not know

Commentary: “Do not spoil the nature made by Allah,” that is to spoil the nature on which Allah has to remain steadfast on or Bukhari and Muslim (authentic sayings), the Noble Prophet born, is born on the human make him a Jew, a Christian or

In Surah Ar-Room (30) belongs whatever is in the hands obedient to Him. And He it is then He will repeat it (after easier for Him). His is the

commanded to: "Say: 'He is Allah, the One, the eternal, absolute; He begets not; nor is He begotten; and there is none like unto Him.'" A person who believes Allah is "Al- Ahad," (the One) has to give the testimony that there is no Lord, nor one worthy of worship, but the God. The unity of God implies legal unity as the Quran distinctly says so. These legal norms are indicated by a universal immutable law which governs the cosmos and is also applicable to man and society as well. Unity derived from God leads to one faith i.e., Islam which is complete surrender to the will of Creator, Who is Ruler and Sustainer of this universe. In this way the sovereignty of Allah means that all the members of human society are necessarily of the same order in regard to Him. It is thus a foundation of universal brotherhood. So comes the commandments from Allah Almighty as follows: "So, (O Prophet and followers of the Prophet) set your face sincerely and truly towards the religion, and be steadfast on the nature whereupon Allah has created mankind. There can be no alteration in the nature made by Allah. This is the right and true religion; but most people do not know." (Surah Ar Room 30:30)

Commentary: "Do not affect any alteration in the nature made by Allah," that is, it is not right to corrupt and spoil the nature on which Allah has created man. So one has to remain steadfast on one's true nature. According to Bukhari and Muslim (authentic books of the Prophet's sayings), the Noble Prophet said: "Every child, who is born, is born on the human nature, it is his parents

"...who make him a Jew, a Christian or a Magian

In Surah Ar-Room (30:26) it is declared: "To Him belongs whatever is in the heavens and the earth. All are obedient to Him. And He it is Who originates the creation, then He will repeat it (after it has perished); and this is easier for Him. His is the highest description, in the

".Heavens and the earth. And He is the All-Mighty, the All-Wise

:PURPOSE OF CREATION

Islam reminds man that he is not created without any purpose so it has been said in Surah "Al-Anbiya": "And (know that) We have not created the Heavens and the earth and all that is in
".between them merely in idle play

(Surah Al-Anbiya 21:16)

So Islam makes the life of man on earth meaningful. Islam is not a set of rituals, but it is a Deen - i.e., a Law and way of life approved by Allah, as said in Quran: "Behold, the (true) religion
.before Allah. is Islam." (Surah Aal 'Imran 3:19)

Many non Muslim men of letters, scholars and even kings and emperors, who have studied Islam with open mind and understood the message of Islam, declared directly or indirectly that they were Muslim or acknowledged its unprecedented contribution to science, arts, literature, and some
.have paid great tributes to Islam for introducing culture and civilization to the world

God, through His Last Messenger, declared, according to the above citation of the Quran, that Islam - self surrender to the Divine Laws - is the only true religion. Truth needs no advocate to plead on its behalf. However as the truth of the "Unitarian doctrine of Islam" is admitted by non Muslim scholars and dignitaries of the world, so it is an important evidence for their own co-religionists. We are therefore furnishing their statements so that our western and secular readers
.may formulate an objective opinion of Islam

-He is the All-Mighty, the All

that he is not created without n said in Surah "Al-Anbiya": ot created the Heavens and the een

".them merely in idle play

fe of man on earth meaningful. ut it is a Deen - i.e., a Law and al., as said in Quran: "Behold, al

is Islam." (Surah Aal 'Imran

en of letters, scholars and even ave studied Islam with open message of Islam, declared at they

were Muslim or ented contribution to science, ve paid great tributes to Islam vilization to the

.world

Last Messenger, declared, on of the Quran, that Islam - Laws - is the only true religion. lead on its

behalf. However as ctrine of Islam" is admitted by nitaries of the world, so it is an own co-

religionists. We are atements so that our western mulate an objective opinion of

IMPACT OF ISLAM ON UNIVERSALLY RECOGNIZED

:LEADERS OF THE WEST

:WE ARE MUSLIMS" - Goethe and Carlyle"

Carlyle in his magnum opus On Heroes and Hero- worship and the Heroic in History quoted

Goethe, the natural philosopher and great statesman of Germany as saying: "Allaho Akbar, God is

great and then also 'Islam', that we must submit to God. That our whole strength lies in resigned

submission to Him. Whatsoever He does to us for this world, and for the other! The thing He sends to us, were it death and worse than death, shall be good, shall be best; we resign ourselves to God." If this is Islam says Goethe, "do we not all live in Islam?" Carlyle commenting on this statement says: "Yes, all of us that have any moral life; we all live so (as Muslim)."1

:I HOPE I AM A MUSLIM" - W. Montgomery Watt"

W. Montgomery Watt says in his famous book Islam and Christianity Today: "I am not a Muslim in the usual sense, though I hope I am a Muslim as one surrendered to God, but I believe that embedded in the Qur'an and other expressions of the Islamic vision are vast stores of divine truth from which I and other occidentals have still much to learn, and Islam is certainly a strong contender for the supplying of the basic framework of the one religion of the future."2

.I AM A CONSTITUTIONAL MUSLIM - Justice A. R

:Cornilius

It will be pertinent to mention here the declaration of Justice A. R. Cornelius, a former Chief Justice of Pakistan. He was a protestant but studied profoundly the Noble Qur'an and the Traditions of the Noble Prophet. He believed that Islam is the only religion which can bring peace and harmony to the world if practiced in letter and

spirit. At the International Conference of all the Chief Justices of the world held in Sydney, he had courage to declare that if Islamic punishments are implemented, heinous crimes which are prevalent in the world today would be reduced. The writer of this book had the privilege to have several sittings with him and discuss important issues relating to Islam. He had claimed himself to .be a constitutional Muslim

:EUROPE IS DEEPLY IN DEBT TO ISLAM

G.E. Von Grannneliaum writes: “There can be no denying the fact that Europe is deeply in debt to Islam for all its scientific discoveries. In fact, it was Islam that produced scientists who anticipated Bacon, Newton, Kepler and other great scientists of Europe. Europe in respect of science and civilization would not have been to-day what it was fourteen hundred years ago.”³

:ALL THE KNOWLEDGE – Deuan Port

Deuan Port says: “It must be owned that all the knowledge, whether of physics, astronomy, philosophy or mathematics, which flourished in Europe from the tenth century, was originally derived from the Arabian schools, and that the Spanish Arabs, in a more particular manner, may be looked upon as the fathers of the European philosophy.”⁴

:WORLD RELIGION – Lancelot Lawton

Lancelot Lawton writes: “As a religion the Muhammadan religion, it must be confessed, is more suited to Africa than the Christian religion: indeed, I would even say that it is more suited to the world as a whole.”⁵

ISLAM IS MORE SUCCESSFUL THAN CHRISTIANITY

—

: Canon Isaac Taylor

Isaac Taylor (1829-1901) was a philologist of 19th century. In an address delivered at Wolverhampton Church

Congress in 1887, he argued most powerful abstinence s extension of European drunkenness and vice, and He further argued: “Islam manliness. It gave hope mankind, and recognition human nature. The virtues w the lower races can be brought cleanliness, chastity, benevolence, hospitality, ve

EXTINCTION OF RACE — A

Historian, Arnold J. Toynbee of race consciousness as the outstanding achievements of world there is, as it has propagation of this Islamic v

THE FOUNDER OF CIVIL

According to the aut Britanica, Muhammad ini cultural developments of ma history of mankind.⁸

BRILLIANT CIVILIZATION

Bertrand Russell was mathematician and social re a Nobel prize winner in nuclear arms. He says:

“Our Ages’ to cover the period undue concentration on We to Spain, the brilliant civiliza

THE GREATEST REVOLUT

A.M. Lotrap Staddar greatest revolution that has e

Congress in 1887, he argued that Islam above all, is the most powerful abstinence society in the world; whereas the extension of European Trade means the extension of drunkenness and vice, and the degradation of the people. He further argued: "Islam replaced monkishness by manliness. It gave hope to the slave, brotherhood to mankind, and recognition to the fundamental facts of human nature. The virtues which Islam inculcates are what the lower races can be brought to understand temperance, cleanliness, chastity, justice, fortitude, courage, benevolence, hospitality, veracity and resignation."6

-:EXTINCTION OF RACE - Arnold J. Toynbee

Historian, Arnold J. Toynbee says: "The extinction of race consciousness as between Muslims is one of the outstanding achievements of Islam and in the contemporary world there is, as it happens, a crying need for the propagation of this Islamic virtue...."7

:THE FOUNDER OF CIVILIZATION

According to the author of the New Encyclopedia Britanica, Muhammad initiated religious, social and cultural developments of monumental significance in the history of mankind.8

:BRILLIANT CIVILIZATION - Bertrand Russell

Bertrand Russell was a great British Philosopher, mathematician and social reformer of the 20th Century, and a Nobel prize winner in 1950. He campaigned against nuclear arms. He says: "Our use of the phrase 'the Dark Ages' to cover the period from 699 to 1000 marks our undue

concentration on Western Europe..... From India to Spain, the brilliant civilization of Islam flourished."9

:THE GREATEST REVOLUTION - A.M. Lotrap Staddard

A.M. Lotrap Staddard says: "Islam was in fact, the greatest revolution that has ever appeared in the world."10

:UNIQUE REVOLUTION" - R. Bosworth Smith"

R. Bosworth Smith writes: "Gibbon, one of the most philosophical of historians has remarked that of all the revolutions which have had a permanent influence upon the civil history of mankind none could so little be anticipated by human prudence as that effected by the religion of Arabia."11

:FULL FREEDOM - Arnold J. Toyanbee

Islam as a system of education starts with a firm faith in one Omnipotent God and man as His" vicegerent. It aims at developing an integrated personality in a harmonious and balanced way. It is concerned with the development of body and mind and soul while giving full freedom to an individual. Islam makes him conscious of his great duty and obligation he owes to the society and the state and to the humanity at large."12

:STATUS OF WOMEN - George Bernard Shaw

George Bernard Shaw says: "The Prophet Muhammad's teachings on the status of woman, exposure of female, children, and kindness to animals were 'far ahead of western Christian thought, even of modern thought'."13

:FREEDOM OF WOMAN - V.C. Badley

V.C. Badley writes: "Thirteen hundred years ago Islam made woman free and independent in the enjoyment of her possessions."14

:WOMAN IS MORE PROTECTED - Mrs. Annie Besant

Mrs. Annie Besant says: "I often think that woman is more free in Islam than in Christianity.

Woman is more protected by Islam than by the faith which preaches monogamy."15

:RISE OF ISLAM - A.M.L. Stoddard

A.M.L. Stoddard says: "The rise of Islam is perhaps the most amazing event in human history. Springing from a land and a people alike previously negligible, Islam spread within a century over half the earth, shattering great empires, overthrowing long-established religions, remoulding the souls of races, and building up a whole new world - the world of Islam. The closer we examine this development the more extraordinary does it appear. The other great religions won their way slowly, by painful struggle and finally triumphed with the aid of powerful monarchs converted to the new faith. Christianity had its Constantine, Buddhism its Asoka, and Zoroastrianism its Cyrus, each lending to his chosen cult the mighty force of secular authority. Not so Islam. Arising in a desert land sparsely inhabited by a nomad race, previously undistinguished in human annals, Islam sallied forth on its great adventure with the slenderest human backing and against the heaviest material odds. Yet Islam triumphed with seemingly miraculous ease, and in a couple of generations saw the Fiery Crescent borne victorious from the Pyrenees to the Himalayas and from the desert of Central Asia to the desert of Central Africa."16

:RATIONALISTIC RELIGION - Edward Montnet

Edward Montnet - Famous French Scholar says: "Islam is a religion that is essentially rationalistic in the widest sense of the term considered etymologically and historically. The definition of rationalism as a system that bases religious beliefs on principles furnished by reason, applies to it exactly. To believers, the Muhammadan creed is summed up in belief in the unity of God and in

the mission of His Prophet, ... the dogma of the unity of God has always been proclaimed in Qur'an with a grandeur, a majesty, and invariable purity..... a creed so precise, so stripped of all theological complexities and consequently so

accessible to the ordinary understanding might be expected to possess and does indeed possess a marvelous power of winning its way into the consciences of men.¹⁷

:TOLERANCE GLORIOUS HERITAGE — Duncan Greenless

The nobility and broad tolerance of this creed (Islam), which accepts as God-inspired all the real“ religions of the world, will always be a glorious heritage for mankind. On it could indeed be built a perfect world religion.”¹⁸

:PHENOMENAL SUCCESS — M.N. Roy

M. N. Roy was a prominent leader of International Communist Party and was the personal friend of Lenin. He was impressed by revolutionary movement of Islam. He delivered lectures on this topic in 1920 which are published in a book ‘Historical Role of Islam’. He says: “The phenomenal success of Islam was primarily due to its revolutionary significance and its ability to lead the masses out of the hopeless situation created by the decay of antique civilizations not only of Greece and Rome but of Persia. China and India.”¹⁹

-:MEDIATION OF ISLAM — H.A.R. Gibb

Islam has a still further service to render to the cause of humanity. No other society has such a“ record of success in uniting in an equality of status of opportunity and of endeavours so many and so various races of mankind. Islam has still the power to reconcile apparently irreconcilable elements of race and tradition. If ever the opposition of the great societies of East, West is to be replaced by cooperation, the mediation of Islam is an indispensable condition. In its hands lies

very largely the solution of the problem with which Europe is faced in its relation with East. If they unite, the hope of a peaceful

issue is immeasurably enhanced. But if Europe, by rejecting the cooperation of Islam, throws it into the arms of its rivals, the issue can be disastrous for both."20

One wonders how the hierarchy minds of the West have comfortably ignored the statements and valuable views of their great leaders of all walks of life in defence of Islam and the Prophet of Islam! It shows the deep rooted hatred and Islamophobia of Europe. There is ongoing, misleading propaganda, that Islam is a religion of terrorists and fascists, its followers are trying to gain ascendancy to destroy the western civilization, and that Islam was spread at the point of sword. There is a continuous onslaught by the western media against Islam and the Prophet of Islam, through mischievous cartoons and caricatures. Despite the brutal attacks from all fronts against Islam and the Muslims across the world, it is a misfortune of the Christian fundamentalists that Islam is amazingly the fastest spreading religion in Europe and America. It has attracted the attention of non Muslim scientists, intellectuals, scholars and also common men who, after embracing Islam, are not only preaching and practising it in their daily lives, but are also inviting their neighbours, friends and relatives to join hands with them to promote the cause of Islam, the universal religion.

One may ask what are the causes of this massive spread of Islam in the West and the rest of the world?

There is not a single instance of conversion to Islam by use of force at any time since its advent. According to the verdict of Qur'an; "There is no compulsion in the religion." (Surah Al-Baqarah

2:256). This should dispose of the widespread fallacy that Islam places before the unbelievers the
".alternative of "Conversion or Sword

In the full blaze of historical light, one can see that after the establishment of the Government in
Madina, the

Jews, Christians and other non believers had all rights to profess and practise their religion as guaranteed by the constitution of the first Islamic State of Madina, commonly known as "Mithaq-il-Madina" and no one was forced to abandon his faith. The Christians of Syria and Egypt accepted Islam as their religion by their own free will, to escape the oppressive rule of the Byzantine emperors. In Spain the native Christians embraced Islam, not under any compulsion,

.but after observing the equal and humanitarian treatment of the Muslim rulers

:The real causes of the massive spread of Islam, among other things, are as follows

Islam is a simple, clear and easy to understand and (i) •

to adopt. There are no complexities of hard contemplation and unreasonable rituals, which are .necessary to be performed in other religions, in the process of conversion

Any person can adopt Islam by declaring that there (ii) •

is no God but Allah, and that Muhammad, is the Messenger of God. This doctrine of unity of God .is also the basis of unity of mankind

Islam does not recognize the authority of Caesar at (iii) •

par with God. So man does not "render unto Caesar what is of Caesar and unto God what is of God." Islam proclaims that the entire universe and all that exists therein belongs to God alone. It brings Caesar down to the level of a common man. In Islam the relation between God and man is direct. It needs no Priest or Rabbi, pundit or Mulla for linkage with God. It does not require any

special building or a particular place for worship. A Muslim may worship God anywhere and at
.any place which is neat and clean

Islam does not believe in the Christian concept of original sin, according to which an innocent person is punished for the wrong done by someone else. Islam's stand point is that man is born in the best structure and he is given a kind of free will, so he shall be responsible for whatever he .does in this world and shall be accountable hereafter

Islam is thus a rationalistic religion which appeals to reason and common sense. So, it is more .powerful than the sword to conquer the hearts and mind of men

Islam is a religion of tolerance, peace, justice, and equity, with a universal message of humanity for the whole of mankind. According to statistical data, there is an incredible increase of the followers of Islam by 235 per cent in the world, during the 50 years of 20th Century, whereas there is a 4 per cent decrease of Judaism, and a 13 per cent decrease in those of Confucianism and .Taoism. It is affirmed that there are more Muslim than Methodists in Great Britain

The astonishing fact is that Islam has flashed like an illuminating flame of intellect, even in the aftermath of the 9/11 incident. It is increasingly attracting the attention of a majority of intellectuals and the elite of America, and Europe, who are embracing it with courage of conviction. We conclude this chapter with the high note of a distinguished historian, W. Montgomery Watt: "The spread of Islam in a most amazing way and with a terrific speed which dazzled the Christian world is enough to confirm that Muhammad was a true Prophet and the ".Book which he gave to his followers was true and revealed

References Chapter-III

1. The Hero & Heroes worship in Heroic history, page 68 .
2. W. Montgomery Watt, Islam and Christianity Today, London, 1983, page 9 .
3. Seerat International Research Centre Islamabad, page 72 .
4. Seerat International Research Centre Islamabad, page 54 .
5. Seerat International Research Centre Islamabad, page 73 .
6. Seerat International Research Centre Islamabad, page 73 .
7. A.J. Toynbee, Civilization on Trial, New York, 1984, page 205 - page 3 of WAMY on Islam .
8. The New Encyclopedia Britannica, 15th edition - Seerat International Research Centre
Islamabad, page 37 .
9. Glory of Muhammad, published by Seerat International Research Centre Islamabad, page 76 .
10. Ibid, page 53 .
11. Ibid, page 52 .
12. Ibid, page 81 .
13. Ibid, page 44 .
14. Ibid, page 78 .

.Ibid, page 44 .15

.Islam: The Religion of All Prophets”, Begum Bawani Waqf, Karachi Pakistan, page 56“ .16

Edward Montet: “La Propagande Chretien et ses Adversaries Musulmans”, Paris, 1890, page .17

.17:18, quoted by T.W. Arnold in The Preaching of Islam, London, 1913, page 413:414

.Glory of Muhammad, published by Seerat International Research Centre Islamabad, page 66 .18

.Ibid, page 61 .19

.H.A.R. Gibb, Whither Islam, London, 1932, page 379 — WAMY Series on Islam, page 2:3 .20

,c history, page 68. d Christianity Today

Islamabad, page 72. Islamabad, page 54. Islamabad, page 73. Islamabad, page 73. New York,

1984, page

. 15th edition - Seerat abad, page 37. y Seerat International

ets", Begum Bawani

ien et ses Adversaries :18, quoted by T.W. London, 1913, page

Seerat International

- page 379 ,1932 ,

CHAPTER IV

The Quran - The Uncreated and immutable Book

When the Noble Prophet of God, first invited the pagan Arabs to Islam, he did not bring any divine miracle aside from the Quran. It had immediate impact upon them to accept the message, aside from the diehard opponents who prevented the people from listening to the recitation of .Quranic verses

:The senior British Orientalist Thomas Arnold, in his book “Legacy of Islam” writes

Even we find some Christians like Alvaro from Spain, who was notorious for his fanatic position “against Islam, states that the Qur’an is formed in such a wonderful and beautiful style that the Christians have no choice but read and admire.”¹

Alvaro and Eulogius were the fanatic leaders of Christian zealots of Muslim Spain. They were the first extremists who launched the hysterical campaign of Blasphemy against the Noble Prophet of Islam in Europe in the 9th century. We have given the details of their nefarious activities in the chapter “Muslim Spain” of this book

In contrast to all the Holy Scriptures claimed to have been revealed, and which are available in the world, the Quran is the only Sacred Book preserved in its original living language which has remained entirely unchanged throughout the last fourteen centuries

The Jews attributed five books to Moses which are called the Old Testament. Deuteronomy is one of the five books stated to have been revealed to Moses, but in it, one finds events which are obvious later additions, as the last

.chapter of this book deals with the illness, death, and burial of Moses

Obviously there cannot be any revelation after the death of Moses. 2

According to Christian historians, there were more than seventy Gospels written by different followers of Jesus Christ, several years after his disappearance. Most of them were discarded as being of dubious nature, and only four Gospels, i.e., those of Mathew, Mark, Luke and John were considered reliable. Voltarie, one of the great French authors and a historian of the 18th century describes how the four Gospels were selected and the rest rejected. According to him, the Church thought that from the seventy odd Gospels whose contents were mutually contradictory, only the reliable books should be selected. The method for selection was marvelous. All the Gospels were placed on a table near the altar in the Church, then the table was shaken. The books which fell down were considered unreliable, and those that remained on the table, despite the shakeup, were acclaimed as authentic. 2-A

Karen Armstrong is among the foremost writers of the 21st century. She is professor of comparative studies of religions at Oxford University. She has also expressed her doubt about the authenticity of the Bible. She writes: "They (authors of Gospels) are more concerned with the religious meaning of Jesus' Life than with the historical facts and frequently express the needs, the preoccupations and beliefs of the early churches rather than the original events. New Testament scholars, for example, point out that the gospel accounts of Jesus' passion and death are hopelessly confused and the facts have been changed. The Christians at that time were anxious to dissociate

themselves from the Jews so they blamed them and not the Romans for Jesus' death. Very few of the actual words of Christ have been recorded. 3

Dr. Hamidullah, a renowned scholar passed away in the year 2000 number of monumental books. the privilege of having an associate professor from Osmania University He was one of the distinguished organization, that is, the World Jurists. Whenever the writer courtesy visit to him in France. mutual interest relating to translation of the Quran is considered to be the most Sacred Book. His valuable work translated in English and published by Institute, International Islami Hamidullah has been acknowledged by Islamic scholars. He writes a Quran: "Indeed it was the first time commanded that the Quran be committed to writing.

Be across a similar example in the Prophets." 4

The Quran is meticulously precise because it is the last word of human language on how to live social life, according to the Divine unity which unites all humankind the cosmos, and the eternal. Consequently, the Quran teaches and tranquility, to encourage world, which is divided by geographical colour, language and other artificial. It teaches love and for the creatures of God. This necessary for mankind, as passage of time could not be

the illness, death, and burial

be any revelation after the

historians, there were more different followers of Jesus's appearance. Most of them pious nature, and only four Mark, Luke and John were one of the great French 18th century describes how ed and the rest rejected. ought that from the seventy ere mutually contradictory, e selected. The method for Gospels were placed on a urch, then the table was l down were considered ed on the table, despite the entic.2-A

ng the foremost writers of of comparative studies of he has also expressed her e Bible. She writes: "They ncerned with the religious h the historical facts and preoccupations and beliefs the original events. New point out that the gospel d death are hopelessly changed. The Christians ciate themselves from the ot the Romans for Jesus' ords of Christ have been

Dr. Hamidullah, a renowned scholar of Islam, who passed away in the year 2002, is the author of a large number of monumental books. The writer of this book has the privilege of having an association of fifty years with him from Osmania University of former Hyderabad State. He was one of the distinguished patrons of our organization, that is, the World Association of Muslim Jurists. Whenever the writer visited Europe, he paid a courtesy visit to him in France, and discussed the matters of mutual interest relating to Islam and the Quran. His translation of the Quran in the French Language is considered to be the most authentic translation of the Sacred Book. His valuable lectures on Islam have been translated in English and published by the Islamic

Research Institute, International Islamic University, Islamabad. Dr. Hamidullah has been acknowledged as one of the greatest Islamic scholars. He writes about the preservation of the Quran: "Indeed it was the Noble Prophet, who had for the first time commanded that the Quran be memorized as well be committed to writing. Before him, we do not come across a similar example in the entire history of the chain of the Prophets."⁴

The Quran is meticulously preserved in its pristine purity because it is the last word of God, addressing man in human language on how to lead a physical, spiritual and social life, according to the Divine Law based on a doctrine of unity which unites all human beings, in their relation to the cosmos, and the eternal life of the hereafter. Consequently, the Quran teaches equity, equality, justice and tranquility, to encourage living a peaceful life in this world, which is divided by geographical boundaries, race, colour, language and other boundaries, both natural, and artificial. It teaches love and mercy, even for animals, and for the creatures of God. This perpetual divine writ was necessary for mankind, as earlier scriptures during the passage of time could not be kept intact to represent the

original revelations. A Muslim is bound to believe the contents of the present available scriptures to the extent at which they are not in conflict with Quranic version, which is a universal truth. It is also not necessary to accept the statements of non Muslim learned scholars as a whole. We reject such parts of their statements which are tainted with conscious or unconscious prejudices against Islam. But nevertheless, the statements of unbiased writers, which dispel the distorted and erroneous views relating to Islam and the Quranic message, deserve to be brought to the notice of the world at large. It may enable the people of different religions to understand the Sacred Book of Islam in its true perspective. Keeping in view this important aspect, we are furnishing the statements and opinions of renowned non-Muslim writers, scientists, thinkers and distinguished scholars about the Quran, which they have studied thoroughly, and after objective research, observed that it is the most influential book to shape modern human society

:A GREAT SOCIAL REFORMER OF WORLD REPUTE — Goethe writes

However often we turn to it (the Qur'an) at first disgusting, but soon it attracts, astounds and in the end enforces our reverence Its style, in accordance with its contents and aim is stern, grand, terrible — ever and anon truly sublime. . . Thus this book will go on exercising through all ages a most potent influence."5

:QURAN — THE GREATEST MIRACLE — Loravicia Vagleri

Loravicia Vagleri, a renowned Italian researcher and author of The Grammars of Arabic Language writes: "The greatest miracle of Islam is Qu'ran through which well established and uninterrupted narration tells us with

absolute certitude that it is a imitate it."6

QURAN ----- THE TRUE MI

Robert L. Gulick jr Educator writes: ".....The the unlettered educator, was prose book of the Arabs. Thi dialect as the literary language by reason of the universal ch all mankind, the common ton

QURAN ATTRACTS THE HE

Dr. Sidney Fisher, University, who wrote the bo Age" says: "The Qur'an is t the heart of the Muslim. It b when loudly recited even th such wonderful aspects of th mates who admitted the rh him..... The Qur'an is al only a book that states prese which it encourages Musl beautiful and the most hig balance of morals. The guid prescriptions as in prohibitio

QURAN — UNCREATED AN

The French intellectual Ma writer who gave special a human rights and internatio several books on both subje a Divine text in Islam, two e that it is a revealed and uncr it is a Qur'an; i.e. a speech

absolute certitude that it is a book that there is no way to imitate it.”⁶

:QURAN ----- THE TRUE MIRACLE – Robert L. Gulick

Robert L. Gulick in his book, Muhammad: The Educator writes: “.....The true miracle of Muhammad, the unlettered educator, was his gift of the Quran, the first prose book of the Arabs. This work perpetuated the Meccan dialect as the literary language of the Arabs and ultimately, by reason of the universal character of Islam as a mercy for all mankind, the common tongue of the world of culture.”⁷

:QURAN ATTRACTS THE HEART – Dr. Sidney Fisher

Dr. Sidney Fisher, professor of history of Ohio University, who wrote the book “Middle East in the Islamic Age” says: “The Qur’an is the word of Allah that attracts the heart of the Muslim. It becomes more wonderful to him when loudly recited even though he does not understand such wonderful aspects of the Qur’an as understood by his mates who admitted the rhetoric of the Qur’an before him..... The Qur’an is also book of education and not only a book that states prescriptions and rites. The virtues which it encourages Muslims to adopt are the most beautiful and the most highly weighing aspects in the balance of morals. The guidance of this book is as clear in prescriptions as in prohibitions.”⁸

:QURAN – UNCREATED AND INIMITABLE BOOK

The French intellectual Marcel Pozart, is a well known writer who gave special and considerable attention to human rights and international relations. He is author of several books on both subjects. He writes: “When defining a Divine text in Islam, two elements should be noted: First, that it is a revealed and uncreated book; and secondly, that it is a Qur’an; i.e. a speech live in the hearts of the group

The Qur'an is still up to this time a lofty model of Arabic rhetoric and literature that is impossible to imitate. It does not only represent an ideal and exemplary literary work, but also a source of Arabic literature. The religion contained in it is the basis of a large number of intellectual methodologies that authors will become renowned for.”⁹

:QURAN AND SCIENCE – Johanna Ctshejivisca

Johanna Ctshejivisca, a Polish research scholar says: “Although the Holy Qur'an was revealed to an illiterate Arab who grew up within an illiterate nation, it brought laws that one can not learn except in high standard universities. The Qur'an contains scientific facts that the world knew long centuries later.”¹⁰

THE IMPRESSION OF NON MUSLIM CONVERTS

:ABOUT QUR'AN

Qur'an contains public law: Abdullah Quilliam, the famous English thinker who embraced Islam and wrote a book “The Creed of Islam” says: “The rulings of the Qur'an are not limited to moral and religious prescriptions: It is the public law for the Muslim world. It encompasses all civil, commercial, military, judicial, criminal and penal laws. It is also a religious law that on the pivot of which every religious and secular matter should revolve, from saving lives to physical well being and from the rights of the subjects to the rights of every individual and from the self-interest to the interests of the social entity, from virtue to vice and from punishment in this life to punishment in the Hereafter. Hence, the Quran materialistically differs from sacred Christian

books which have no religious fundamentals. They are mainly composed of stories, fables and a great confusion of worship matters which are unreasonable and of no impression.”¹¹

THE QURAN IMPRESSED MY HEART – Ayesha

Bridget

:Honey

Ayesha Bridget Honey who lived in an English Christian family and studied philosophy and traveled to complete her studies in Canada where she knew about Islam, says: “I cannot, whatever I try, describe the impression that the Quran left in my heart. I had barely completed the third Sura of the Qur'an to find myself prostrate to the Creator of this universe; the first prayer I performed as a Muslim.”¹²

THE MOST PRECIOUS THING I HAVE – William

:Packard

William Packard, the renowned English man of letters who wrote an excellent book titled “A New World” and declared reversion to the natural religion, following a profound study of Islam, says: “I bought a copy of Savary's translation of the meanings of the Qur'an. It is the most precious thing I have. I have found all pleasure and joy in reading it as if the immortal and blessed light of truth had illuminated my heart and all my life.”¹³

THE REVEALED BOOK – Muhammad Asad (Leopold

: (Weiss

The Austrian intellect and journalist who embraced Islam and chose the name of “Muhammad Asad” wrote a valuable book titled, “The Road to Makkah,” and presented to the author of this book, says: “Thus, by mental urgency, human awareness and precise knowledge I knew it is the revealed Qur'an.....My wife Elsa, like me, was more impressed by the internal integration between the moral teachings of the Qur'an and its practical directions. Allah, according to the Qur'an, has not requested man to blindly submit to him, rather, He addressed his mind. He does not stand away from the destiny of man, rather he is closer to

him than his soul. He has not drawn a line that separates belief from the social conduct."14

:THE BIBLE THE QUR'AN AND SCIENCE — Dr. Maurice Bucaille

Dr. Maurice Bucaille, the French doctor of our modern age wrote a profound study of the Quran and science. In his book, "The Bible The Quran and Science," he says: "It was in a totally objective spirit, and without any preconceived ideas that I first examined the Qur'anic Revelation. I was looking for the degree of compatibility between the Qur'anic text and the data of modern science. I knew from translations that the Qur'an often made allusion to all sorts of natural phenomena, but I had only a summary knowledge of it. It was only when I examined the text very closely in Arabic that I kept a list of them at the end of which I had to acknowledge the evidence in front of me: the Qur'an did not contain a single statement that was assailable from a modern scientific point of view

I repeated the same test for the Old Testament and the Gospels, always preserving the same objective outlook. In the former I did not even have to go beyond the first book, Genesis, to find statements totally out of keeping with the cast-iron facts of modern science

On opening the Gospels, one is immediately confronted with a serious problem. On the first page we find the genealogy of Jesus, but Matthew's text is in evident contradiction to Luke's on the same question. There is a further problem in that the latter's data on the antiquity of man on Earth are incompatible with modern knowledge.15

:SUBLIME MORAL DOCTRINES — Washington Irving

The American Orientalist, Washington Irving, the author of "Mahomet and His Successors," stated that the

Qur'an calls to mercy, purit added: "The Torah was at a conduct for human kind u Christians followed the teac both the Torah and the Gosp and distortion and hence they which is more comprehen previous books. The Qur'an laws because it is the last o Allah protected against altera

It has been proven that no original manuscript except the Qur'an, in its orig all the spiritual and .socia mankind. So if a person read shall open the ways to lead a this world and hereafter

drawn a line that separates

SCIENCE - Dr. Maurice

he French doctor of our study of the Quran and The Quran and Science," tive spirit, and without any t examined the Qur'anic e degree of compatibility e data of modern science. I Qur'an often made allusion , but I had only a summary n I examined the text very list of them at the end of .evidence in front of me: single statement that was ic point of view

or the Old Testament and e same objective outlook. ve to go beyond the first its totally out of' .keeping science

els, one is immediately em. On the first page we ut Matthew's text is in ; on the same question. at the latter's data on the ncompatible with modern

: Washington Irving -

t, Washington Irving, the accessors," stated that the

Qur'an calls to mercy, purity and sublime doctrines." He added: "The Torah was at a time the guide and basis of conduct for human kind until Messiah came when the Christians followed the teachings of the Bible. However, both the Torah and the Gospels were subjected to alteration and distortion and hence they were replaced by the Qur'an, which is more comprehensive and detailed than both previous books. The Qur'an contains every thing and all laws because it is the last divine book and the only one Allah protected against alteration and distortion."16

It has been proven beyond any reasonable doubt that no original manuscript is in existence in the world, except the Qur'an, in its original language, which contains all the spiritual and social laws for the guidance of mankind. So if a person reads it with an unbiased mind, it shall open the ways .to lead a happy and harmonious life in this world and hereafter

References Chapter-IV

- .Al-Daawah March 2002, page 12 .21
- The Emergence of ISLAM, page 7 .22
- Muhammad (PBUH) a biography of the Prophet, page 51 .23
- The Emergence of Islam by Muhammad Hamidullah, page .24
- .15
- ,”Goethe, quoted in T.P. Hughes, “Dictionary of Islam .25
- page 526 — Wamy Series — Quran, page 3
- Al-Daawah, March 2002, page 15 .26
- Muhammad — The Educator, page 7 — Prophet Muhammad .27
- as viewed by Famous Western Scholars by M.S.Baqa page 48
- .Al-Daawah, Issue No.5, March 2002, P-15 .28
- Ibid P-12 .29
- Ibid P-15 .30
- .Ibid P-14 .31
- .Ibid P-14 .32

.Ibid P-15 .33

.Ibid P-15 .34

Introduction “The Bible The Qur'an And Science, by .35

.Maurice Bucaille, page 8

.Al-Daawah, Issue No.5, March 2002, P-12 .36

PA

THE BLASPHEM

AND TH

PART 2

THE BLASPHEMY LAW IN ISLAM

AND THE WEST

CHAPTER V

A SOUL INSPIRING DREAM OF THE AUTHOR

In the year 1975, I was living in the vicinity of former Bahawalpur house on the Ghazi Ilmuddin Shaheed Road, Lahore, in front of a principal mosque where I used to offer my Friday prayers. Once a renowned Muslim preacher, Maulana Muhammad Musa Khan of the Jamiah Ashrafiah (a well known seminary), was addressing the gathering before the Friday prayers, following the request of Imam Masjid, Qari Attaullah. The Maulana was wearing spotless, white dress. On this sight an idea instantly tilted across my mind: "What a difference between the preachings and action of our ulema? They enjoin others to practise the Sunnah and want to adopt the simple lifestyle of the companions of the Holy Prophet, particularly the Rightly Guided Caliphs for the revival of Islamic system of government, but no such a trace is visible in their own life style. When the fabulous wealth of Iran and Iraq was being piled up at the feet of Caliph Umer he used to be dressed in rags with patches. But today this reverend cleric, garbed all in white, is sitting on the pulpit with grandiose airs. This barred me even from meeting him after the Friday prayers. After a few days all about this incident slipped out of my mind. One night after a long good time, I had a dream, finding myself in the midst of a sea of men. All of a sudden a cry went up with the pronouncement that the holy Prophet was coming. When I looked up, the whole atmosphere was illumined with celestial light around the holy Prophet, who wearing a pure white a spotless dress and seated on a galloping bright white horse. I saw him from a distance. A sinner like me could

not muster courage to gaze at his shining face and my eyes were automatically lowered. Yet out of sheer impassion and restiveness I kept running along his riding horse, I felt that the holy Prophet, was moving towards seminary of Khanpu repository of Islamic traditiona headed by Sheikh-ul-Hadi Darkhwasti. This was the place pious father Al Sheikh Muhamm had indeed got marked his buria there. Reverting to my point, th life that was of inestimable val when my head came closer th blessed enough to touch his N my own hands. What else a foll God, could dream of! For a lo this dream to anybody. In wickedness and sinful life kep occurrence of this spiritual rari be a slip of tongue on my pa could not afford to be audac humblest person in relation to stature. I was also afraid that the story of the beautiful drea personage of the noble Proph could match the sublimity of h one day I hesitantly related th mine, Zamir Ahmad Khan. conscience. On hearing it, he lucky. Perhaps destiny will r being encouraged, I related th Muhammad Musa. Indeed about him had totally eroded vision. I asked him if I coul knowledge as well. "There i .replied and said that it w guidance and instructions

By the blessing of Umrah before Haj in 1992 Mobeen was posted at Taif

towards seminary of Khanpur Makhzan-ul-Uloom, a repository of Islamic traditional knowledge, which was headed by Sheikh-ul-Hadith Maulana Abdullah Darkhwasti. This was the place in the vicinity of which my pious father Al-Sheikh Muhammad Qureshi is buried who had indeed got marked his burial place during his lifetime there. Reverting to my point, then came a movement in my life that was of inestimable value and really unforgettable when my head came closer the prophet's stirrup. I was blessed enough to touch his Naleen Mubarak (shoe) with my own hands. What else a follower of the holy Prophet of God, could dream of! For a long time, I did not mention this dream to anybody. In fact the feelings of my wickedness and sinful life kept me tongue-tied about the occurrence of this spiritual rarity. I feared lest there should be a slip of tongue on my part. Realistically speaking, I could not afford to be audacious enough to bring my humblest person in relation to the Prophet's unparalleled stature. I was also afraid that I might be unable to narrate the story of the beautiful dream accurately relating to holy personage of the noble Prophet of God, because no words could match the sublimity of his body and soul. At long last one day I hesitantly related this dream to a close friend of mine, Zamir Ahmad Khan, a Barrister with a good conscience. On hearing it, he remarked, "brother, you are lucky. Perhaps destiny will make you do some feat." On being encouraged, I related the dream to the same Maulana Muhammad Musa. Indeed the wrong impression made about him had totally eroded away from my mind after this vision. I asked him if I could bring it into other people's knowledge as well. "There is nothing wrong with it", he replied and said that it was also a way of prophetic guidance and instructions.

By the blessing of Allah I happened to perform Umrah before Haj in 1992, when my eldest son
Taha Mobeen was posted at Taif. Accompanied by my brother

Adbul Aleem Qureshy and our relative Sardar Ahmad Khan I stayed at the house of Maulana Mohammad Al- Makki, preacher of the mosque of the holy Kabah. It was there that on the blessed night of 21st Ramdan I met the same Maulana Muhammad Musa by chance. Again the heart-warming dream came under discussion with him and this made blood rush to his cheeks. He stood up and urged the audience to bear witness to the truth revealing dream (supporting his impeccably white dress). The truth underlying the following Quranic verse dawned upon my mind: "Say: who is there to forbid the beauty which God has brought for his creatures, and the good things from among the means of sustenance? 'Say: They are (lawful) in the life of this world unto all who have attained to faith- to theirs alone on Resurrection Day"¹. This is how this dream turned into a reality, going deep into my heart and soul. And prompted by a sense of gratitude for this divine favour, I have yearned to reduce it to writing and come to believe that my survival against all horrors in a car accident in 1976 is also related to uncanny factors working behind this dream. Thank God, the interpretation of this dream according to my belief has been channelized into the legislation of the Law of Blasphemy in Pakistan. Its capital punishment is provided by the Penal Code of Pakistan under section 295-C. It was set afoot through the legal efforts of this humble servant of God in 1983 and at last in May 1990 the Federal Shariat Court gave its historic verdict in the case titled Muhammad Ismail Qurashy verses The Govt of Pakistan that death is the only punishment for this unpardonable offence against the Holy Prophet of God.

Surprisingly, a democratic Muslim Government of Pakistan, which was committed to implementing the Islamic injunctions in Pakistan and had come to power on the basis of this

election issue filed an appeal in the Supreme Court against this decision of the Federal Shariat Court. I warned the then Prime Minister of Pakistan Mian Muhammad Nawaz Sharif o God, he took notice of it in t was dismissed as withdrawn penalty of death for a blas irrevocable law of Pakistan. 2005 the Supreme Court r against the dictum of Lahore some unwarranted amendmen the year 2002. The aforesai Court of Pakistan is Appendi

our relative Sardar Ahmad of Maulana Mohammad Ali of the holy Kabah. It was at of 21st
 Ramadan I met the Musa by chance. Again the under discussion with him and he said. He stood up
 and urged to the truth revealing dream white dress). The truth ranic verse dawned upon my forbids
 the beauty which God , and the good things from it? "Say: They are (lawful) in who have attained
 to faith- to it"1. This is how this dream seeped into my heart and soul. of gratitude for this divine gift
 it to writing and come to tell all horrors in a car accident many factors working behind interpretation
 of this dream been channelized into the law in Pakistan. Its capital the Penal Code of Pakistan set
 afoot through the law of God in 1983 and at last in the Court gave its historic judgment Ismail
 .Qurashy verses 24 is the only punishment for insulting the Holy Prophet of God

the Islamic Muslim Government of Pakistan started to implementing the law and had come to power on 14th August 1973 he filed an appeal
 in the session of the Federal Shariat Minister of Pakistan Mian
 Muhammad Nawaz Sharif of its implications and, thank God, he took notice of it in time and
 Government's appeal was dismissed as withdrawn in the year 1990. Thereafter penalty of death
 for a blasphemer has been settled as irrevocable law of Pakistan. Yet again on 13th September
 2005 the Supreme Court reaffirmed its own judgment against the dictum of Lahore High Court
 which had made some unwarranted amendments in the law of blasphemy in the year 2002. The
 .aforesaid judgement of the Supreme Court of Pakistan is Appendix-A/1

BASELESS OBJECTIONS TO ISLAMIC LAW OF BLASPHEMY

Christian missionaries and other non-Muslims who are not adequately acquainted with the life history of the holy Prophets often raise baseless objections, saying that if according to Muslim's belief the holy Prophet of Islam was "blessing and mercy for the world", why he punished his enemies instead of forgiving them for atrocities committed against him and his companions.

As a matter of fact the Holy Prophet never avenged himself on anybody for personal vendetta. The Prophet's wife Ummul-Momineen Aishah and record of history corroborate the above statement. What is more, the Valley of Makkah and the mountains of Taif and Yathrab (Madina), the locale of the prophet's activity can unfold all that to an unbiased mind. At Taif when stones were mercilessly being rained on him by the locals and he was bleeding from top to toe, even then he never cursed them or invoked divine retribution on them. Instead he prayed to Allah for their betterment and to put them on the right path. The Makkan pagans had exceeded all limits in their persecution mania and inhuman atrocities against him, never suffered by any other Prophet before. The holy Prophet, along with his Hashmite clan, was subjected to a horrible socio-economic boycott and left languishing for three long hardship-laden years, with a life of privation and in a mountain defile Shub-e-Abu Talib. On one occasion the Prophet's house was besieged by his bloodthirsty enemies so that he might be put to death. He was, therefore, forced to emigrate to Madina. Yet in contrast, he displayed a unique gesture of magnanimity, mercy and compassion on

the eve of victory over Makkah, unprecedented in the history of mankind. The same unruly and arrogant pagan people of Makkah with their warlords fearfully cast imploring looks at him in the face of retributive justice

which they expected was go But the holy Prophet of Go shall be inflicted on you."2 this verse of Surah Yusuf (had the full power to wreak and every cruelty commi generously forgave them." this to their surprise wh expectation. This was fol general amnesty. The hous arch enemy was declared a granted pardon to Wahshi of Abu Sufiyan) had kill during the battle of Uha pardoned

Hindah, who had Uncle Hamza after havi battlefield. The Prophet a who had treacherously giv To be true to one' able to desist from believ had taken personal reve companions, too, reflec character, which they imb Messenger of God. Once Momineen Ali knocked do ground in a battle and dagger, at that moment hi a sudden Ali left him unhu motivated by the sense o enemy of God, .but later, sense of revenge involvin divine cause

The holy Prophe from all kind of slavery a God on earth. The savage

er non-Muslims who he life history of the actions, saying that if Prophet of Islam was why he punished his atrocities committed

ophet never avenged detta. The Prophet's record of history is more, the Valley Taif and Yathrab activity can unfold all when stones were e locals and he was never cursed them or nstead he prayed to em on the right path. all limits in their cities against him, before. The holy was subjected to a eft languishing for ife of privation and . On one occacion y his bloodthirsty . He was, therefore, ntrast, he displayed and compassion on preceded in the and arrogant pagan rds fearfully cast ,retributive justice

which they expected was going to be administered to them. But the holy Prophet of God declared: "Today no penalty shall be inflicted on you."² Maulana Moududi interpreting this verse of Surah Yusuf (Joseph) says: "The holy Prophet had the full power to wreak his vengeance on them for each and every cruelty committed by them. But he instead generously forgave them."³ The Noble Prophet announced this to their surprise which was indeed beyond their expectation. This was followed by his declaration of a general amnesty. The house of Abu Sufyan, previously his arch enemy was declared as a place of sanctuary. He even granted pardon to Wahshi who, on behest of Hindah (wife of Abu Sufiyan) had killed his dauntless Uncle Hamza during the battle of Uhad. So much so that he even pardoned Hindah, who had chewed the liver of his beloved Uncle Hamza after having mutilated his body in the battlefield. The Prophet also forgave the Jewish woman, .who had treacherously given him the poisoned food

To be true to one's conscious one would be hardly able to desist from believing that the Noble Prophet never had taken personal revenge on his enemies and his companions, too, reflected the sublime qualities of character, which they imbibed from the teaching of the last Messenger of God. Once his cousin and son-in-law Amirul Momineen Ali knocked down his powerful opponent to the ground in a battle and was about to kill him with his dagger, at that moment his opponent spat in his face. All of a sudden Ali left him unhurt. To it he explained that he was motivated by the sense of duty alone to fight against an enemy of God, but later, on provocation he feared lest a .sense of revenge involving his passions should pollute the divine cause

The holy Prophet's mission was to liberate man from all kind of slavery and to establish the sovereignty of God on earth. The savages and wicked minds, working on

the ungodly plane, went to extreme to persecute him and to obstruct the path of his historic revolution. This needed supreme efforts to civilize them and to infuse the spirit of human kindness into their hardened souls. The Prophet practically demonstrated to the world his successful achievements for temporal and spiritual welfare which are amazing and unheard of in the known history of the world. The concept of love for humanity and liberation of mankind would have been vague and deficient without the dawn of Islam. Edward Gibbon, renowned historian has justly said: “Through Islam, Prophet Muhammad banished from the Arab within ten years, their hard-heartedness, spirit of revenge, anarchy, female degradation, rivalry lawlessness, usury, drunkenness, infanticide, murderous quarrel and human sacrifice as well as all stupid superstitions. Through that religion he brought down upon this earth the “Kingdom of Heaven” so fondly coveted by Jesus.⁴

Apart from indisputable historical stature, the Prophet has to be viewed in the perspective of his transcendental roots as well. For this, he certainly enjoys the most privileged status, which no doubt further illumines into significance due to his perfect model role in social, political and military spheres of human affairs. As we observe special protocol with regard to a political or royal personage, the honour and reverence of such a great universal man and divine messenger .transcends all worldly dignities

In Surah Al Ahzab, it has been explicitly said: “Indeed Allah and His Angels send blessings on the Prophet, O you who have believed, you, also should ask and send blessings and peace on him

The above-mentioned Quranic verse clearly and emphatically shew the sublime status of the Messenger of God in the eyes of Allah. Quite logically, any sort of disrespect and contempt for belittlement of the supreme God is embodiment of 1 attempt on the part of a penalty for him. So a lawb punishment. Hence if the c contempt of the Prophet of infringement of human righ amounts to character assa more vicious and painful t on him. The .entire Muslim highly sensitive issue an forever

In 1994, when the (Urdu version) was publis was received by the auth Religious Affairs Islam international institutions w blasphemy law in Pakista Law experts was also soug is what is the scope of b British legal framework i targeted on the author star institutions of human righ as Chairman of World As moved the Federal Sha punishment for the offenc accordance with the Qur practice of holy Prophet Pakistan. The Federal Sh Shariat petition declarin blasphemmer. The Federal the Supreme Court agains warning of the author to th was withdrawn by the P drafted by the author was

disrespect and contempt for him would come to mean the belittlement of the supreme master and since the Prophet of God is embodiment of Divine law, any such ignoble attempt on the part of a person would entail a serious penalty for him. So a lawbreaker should not escape severe punishment. Hence if the death penalty is imposed for the contempt of the Prophet of God, it must not be counted as infringement of human rights as propagated in the west. It amounts to character assassination of a person which is more vicious and painful than a physical murderous attack on him. The entire Muslim Ummah has a consensus on this highly sensitive issue and this will remain unaltered forever.

In 1994, when the first edition of the author's book (Urdu version) was published on blasphemy law, a letter was received by the author from the Federal Ministry of Religious Affairs Islamabad, which said that the international institutions were making queries regarding the blasphemy law in Pakistan. The cooperation of Muslim Law experts was also sought for this purpose. The question is what is the scope of blasphemy law in American and British legal framework i.e. against Jesus Christ. Criticism targeted on the author started pouring in from the so called institutions of human rights and NGOs, because the author, as Chairman of World Association of Muslim Jurists, had moved the Federal Shariat Court for its verdict on punishment for the offence of Islamic law of blasphemy in accordance with the Qur'an and Sunah's directives and practice of holy Prophet, which is the supreme law of Pakistan. The Federal Shariat Court (FSC) accepted the Shariat petition declaring the penalty of death to the blasphemer. The Federal Government went up in appeal to the Supreme Court against the Judgment of FSC but on a warning of the author to the

then Prime Minister, the appeal was withdrawn by the Federal government and the bill drafted by
the author was moved in National Assembly by

a pious lady Apa Nisar Fatima MNA, which was passed by the parliament in due process and came into force after assent of the President of Pakistan adding section 295-C to the Pakistan Penal Code in the year 1991. However a so called secular group branded it as violation of fundamental rights. Yet all such apprehensions and criticism of the group were found baseless and bereft of substance. The main cause was their lack of knowledge of Divine law of blasphemy, which involves more hazards than ignorance. It is worth mentioning that the blasphemy law in Islam is not only related to the holy Prophet but to all other Prophets of God including prophet Jesus Christ. The people of the book must be knowing that according to the Bible the use of scurrilous language for the prophets and their deputies, equally involves death penalty. Can the Christians, despite their belief in their holy book set aside such an explicit law prescribed in the

:Bible the law reads as under

And the man that will do presumptuously, and will not hearken unto the priest that standeth to" Minister there before the Lord, thy God or unto the judge, even that man shall die:" "And all the people shall hear, fear, and do no more presumptuously."6 If the Islamic Penal Law has fixed severe punishment (Hadd) for any crime, equally stringent conditions are set therein for proving its occurrence. In fact the criterion of evidence with regard to the Hudood Punishment is more strict and extraordinary than that of ordinary law. The witnesses, whose evidence is acceptable in Hudood cases, are supposed to have abstained from major sins and to be truthful and just-minded in their social behaviour, complying with a yardstick of foolproof testimony too. If such evidence is not available then the blasphemer shall be punished in accordance with Islamic law of 'Tazeer'

as propounded by the Supreme Court of Pakistan a case prosecuted by the author namely, Faqir Ullah Vs Khaliquzzaman wherein it is held that the case which did not come within the perview of Hudood law of

Qisas then it shall be deal the land keeping in view culprit was awarded the ‘tazeer’ in review filed by of murdered person.⁷ I intention or motive is the Islamic law. Derogator impeachable if made de any prophet. As for Is expounded by a well-kn all actions are to be j Shariah, punishment for the motive behind it. knowledge of the lear introduced intention and later in 18th century characteristic of moder punishment. The Roma Christianity, had no suc concerned. An interestin .England in this regard. died. To it the “Mu punishment of death, w down its trunk

Besides, from th doubt also goes to the Hadith, which says: ‘ avoided where there is d the blasphemy law cam sentenced to death by Court or the Supreme C of blasphemy, as the pr prove the case beyond r no conclusive proof fo decision as required by

ma MNA, which was passed by cess and came into force after akistan adding section 295-C to n the year 1991. However a so d it as violation of fundamental hensions and criticism of the s and bereft of substance. The of knowledge of Divine law of more hazards than ignorance. It e blasphemy law in Islam is not phet but to all other Prophets of s Christ. The people of the book ording to the Bible the use of e prophets and their deputies, alty. Can the Christians, despite ok set

: aside such an explicit law aw reads as under

vill do presumptuously, and will that standeth to minister there r unto the judge, even that man ople shall hear, fear, and do no he Islamic Penal Law has fixed or any crime, equally stringent r proving its occurrence. In fact with regard to the Hudood and extraordinary than that of s, whose evidence is acceptable posed to have abstained from and just-minded in their social th a yardstick of foolproof ence is not available then the ed in accordance with Islamic nded by the Supreme

Court of by the author namely, Faqir herein it is held that the case the perview of Hudood law of Qisas then it shall be dealt with, in accordance with laws of the land keeping in view the principles of Shariah. So the culprit was awarded the punishment of death by way of ‘tazeer’ in review filed by the author on behalf of the heirs of murdered person.⁷ Let it be made clear here that intention or motive is the basic ingredient of an offence in Islamic law. Derogatory remarks shall be considered impeachable if made deliberately, involving contempt of any prophet. As for Islamic doctrine, intention can be expounded by a well-known prophetic saying: “Certainly all actions are to be judged by an intention.”⁸ In the Shariah, punishment for any crime must be conditioned by the motive behind it. This fact must be within the knowledge of the learned people

that Islam had first introduced intention and motive in the domain of law and, later in 18th century it became the fundamental characteristic of modern law dealing with crime and punishment. The Roman law, which was adopted by Christianity, had no such concept so far the penal law is concerned. An interesting decision was given by a court of England in this regard. A man fell down from a tree and died. To it the “Murderer tree” was given capital punishment of death, which was, materialised by cutting down its trunk

Besides, from the Shariah standpoint, the benefit of doubt also goes to the accused. Here again we refer to a Hadith, which says: “Hudood punishments should be avoided where there is doubt.”⁹ Above all since 1991 when the blasphemy law came into force, nobody has ever been sentenced to death by the High Courts, Federal Shariat Court or the Supreme Court of Pakistan on the indictment of blasphemy, as the prosecution or complainant could not prove the case beyond reasonable doubt or where there was no conclusive proof for conviction or sufficient basis for decision as required by Shariah

In fact the Blasphemy law ensures life and security of all those people against whom the charge of offence is not proved. Otherwise when the British government declared the annulment of the Blasphemy law in India in 1860 after the fall of Mughal Empire, the fearless and sensitive souls took the law in their own hands and kept disposing of those who gave vent to contempt and disrespect for the holy Prophet. Ironically, when this Islamic law was declared inapplicable in India, the law against the blasphemy of Jesus Christ was in force contemporaneously in England and it is still part of its common law and also included in its statutory book as an offence against religion and public worship.¹⁰ We have given case law in the relevant Chapter of this book

When the law against the denigrators of the holy Prophet was enforced in Pakistan, the Haddood punishment came within purview of courts of law. Obviously, an accused would face punishment in that case only when the court has gone through all the recorded evidence and his guilt is proved beyond any reasonable doubt. The Haddood punishment under the prophet's denigration won't come into force if the required witnesses are not present or available or fail to conform the Islamic criterion of testimony. However, where the Haddood conditions are not fulfilled the accused, whose guilt by the circumstantial or other cogent evidence is proved, would be awarded punishment under the Islamic law of 'tazeer' in accordance with consensus of opinion among the jurists

:regarding the following edict

What has not been explicitly quashed through the Qu'ran, Allah gets it quashed through the "power."¹¹ Here power means a lawful competent authority in Islam, which is invested with the

power of enforcing the rule of Shariah so as to check the spread and contamination of evils in society. The Supreme Court of Pakistan has considered this

which ensures life and security in the charge of offence is the British government Blasphemy law in India in Empire, the fearless and their own hands and kept the vent to contempt and etc. Ironically, when this applicable in India, the law as Christ was in force and it is still part of its in its statutory

book as an public worship.¹⁰ We have after of this book

the denigrators of the holy, the Hadd punishment of law. Obviously, in that case only when the recorded evidence and his noble doubt. The Hadd denigration won't come since are not present or the Islamic criterion of Hadd conditions are not by the circumstantial or etc, would be awarded of

'tazeer' in accordance among the jurisprudents

itly quashed through the through the power."¹¹ Here authority in Islam, which ruling the rule of

Shariah contamination of evils in Islam has considered this

aspect of Islamic edict in the above cited Khaliq-uz-Zaman case

Instead of getting frightened or dismayed, the Christian or any other community should welcome the blasphemy law because any slanderous or contemptuous words spoken or written against Jesus Christ or other prophets of the book has now become a punishable offence under Islamic law of blasphemy. The blasphemy of the holy Prophet of Islam incurs the same punishment as in the case of other Prophets because the Muslims are called upon to believe all of them as true messengers of God and the same punishment has been prescribed in the holy Bible.¹² As regards the veneration of the prophets of Scriptures both Islam and other revealed religions share an identical stand point. Any disrespect for them is unthinkable for Muslims. Even the leaders and guides of other

religions are respectable personages for the Muslims. Any expression of disrespect for them comes under Shariah interdiction and no believer, for that matter, has ever committed such wickedness. Moreover, no Muslim will take the law into his own hands when there is a recourse .to court of law against the contemnor

In this book, we have spotlighted an extremely obscene, sexy and treacherous film, "The last temptation of Christ"- produced by a Jew film-maker Martin Scorsese. The actor who played out as Christ in the film was shown, haven forbid, having sex with a prostitute. In 1988, I was staying in London with my brother, a London based Barrister Mr. Saleem Qureshi when this movie was being shown in the cinema houses of England. That wounded our religious sensitivities. We took the plea that since Jesus Christ, being the prophet of God, was venerable for both Muslims and Christians such a profane movie should be banned. On our appeal several groups of Christians and

.even the Jews staged a silent protest in London. At last the film had flopped

We have no reflection upon the good intentions of the followers of the Christian community and other minorities. If they are not intent on insulting our Prophet they need not harbour any misgivings. Will the law take its course against them without any rhyme or reason? Will the judiciary of Pakistan send innocent people to jails and gallows, who are free from the blasphemous guilt? Or should we understand that they are demanding an open licence for insulting and blaspheming the Prophet of Islam? If no such hypothesis is tenable. Then what is the justification for demanding the repeal of the Blasphemy Law? Only the perverted minds with mischievous motives may attempt to mislead the innocent and law abiding citizens. They wanted to detract them from the established grund norms of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan. This

would cause damage to the democratic set up of the state

est in London. At last the

on the good intentions of community and other on insulting our Prophet ngs. Will the law take its
hyme or reason? Will the cent people to jails and blasphemous guilt? Or are demanding an open
ing the Prophet of Islam? ble. Then what is the epeal of the Blasphemy ith mischievous motives
ocent and law abiding em from the established of Islamic Republic of e to the democrate set up

CHAPTER VI

Blasphemy Law and Human Rights In Global

Perspective

Currently the issue of human rights has been brought on the front burner the world over. History
stands witness that it was Islam which originally gave mankind the concept of human rights. Yet
occidental wiseacres and their minions and agents in Islamic countries, who have covert aversion
for Islam, audaciously try to give credit to the Greek philosophers of fifth century B.C. for it and
.glorify them. Surprisingly, the idea of human rights has no place at all in their system of thought

Plato's Republic

Interestingly enough, Plato (428-348BC), the leading Greek philosopher, says in his "Republic"
that only philosophers have the right to rule the State and all other citizens should be put at their
disposal as serving slaves. The King of Sicily, who invited Plato laughed at his utopian plan,

which resulted in bitter quarrel between the ruler and the philosopher.¹³ According to Plato absolute power is arrogated to the ruling elite alone. He had no concept of human equality. In his book, he has framed separate rules for slaves and free citizens. Plato also rejects equality between man and woman, painting the fair sex in derogatory light. Following suit his pupil Aristotle (384-322 BC) divides society into higher and lower strata and vehemently opposes the participation of general public in political power. This plainly puts at a loss to know how the roots of human rights are traced back to the pagan Greece. Indeed such an anachronistic discovery betrays intellectual honesty on the part of Western researchers and orientalists. Some of them, failing to find substantive evidence thereof to sift out the required material, turn to the 12th century Europe so as to flaunt Magna Carta as the charter of human

.liberty, conveniently forgetting that it had come into existence long after the dawn of Islam

Magna Carta

Those concerned with sober history and political science are well aware of the real worth of Magna Carta. Proclaimed in 1215 by King John, it was indeed give-and- take agreement between the monarch and the rebellious barons so that it may serve their mutual interests. In this regard an authentic testimony comes to us from the authors of New Encyclopaedia Britannica,¹⁴ which term it a document safeguarding the selfish interests of feudal lords. Human Rights aside, even it had no clause to secure the rights of general British people themselves. But after some time the barons also had to wash hands of the royal concessions because Machiavellian views proved greatly helpful in consolidating the sovereign hold. This is the charter of human liberty in early 13th century of which Europe feels so proud

In the 17th century, however, a political strife against the unfettered royal powers was again triggered off by Hobbes (1588-1679-AD).¹⁵ John Locke (1632-1704- AD)¹⁶ Voltaire (1694-1788-AD)¹⁷ Rousseau(1712-1778- AD)¹⁸ Hobbes was one of the English philosophers who opposed Aristotelian philosophy and was deeply attracted to the man, society and human affairs. John Locke defended more the rights of people than those of the King. His democratic ideals influenced the pioneers of American Revolution. Voltaire and Rousseau are known as champions of human freedom. Voltaire had studied Islamic literature and was an admirer of Sa'di as a human reformer. Rousseau's treatise "Da contract social" (the social contract) considered to be the Bible

of French Revolution and it has caused storm politically and brought opposition of the church.

"According to his theory "Freedom is inherent in freely accepted law

But the pity is how blink at the human rights Islam in his Farewell (Ha delivered from the top of M mankind fourteen hundred of over one hundred thou indeed, is the greatest an human rights, .which was first time in the recorded hi its spirit prevailed throug continents of Europe, Asi Islam

Prince Charles Speech

In the 8th century w the Dark Ages, Islam burs dazzling light of intellect breakthrough, illumined th In his address at the Ox Charles, the Prince of Wa this historical debt of gr initiating a process of l known as the Renaissanc "Many of the traits on w came to it from Muslim S borders, the techniq anthropology, etiquette, h came from this great city

Again the Prince subsequent speech. He sa Islam and paid glowin European civilization w academic complex of th Education in 2003. This b by our learned brother striving hard for revival

But the pity is how placidly the Europeans afford to blink at the human rights proclaimed by the Prophet of Islam in his Farewell (Hajjatul Wida) sermon which he delivered from the top of Mount in the vale of Arafat to the mankind fourteen hundred years ago before the gathering of over one hundred thousand of his companions. This, indeed, is the greatest and unique universal charter of human rights, which was introduced to the world for the first time in the recorded history of mankind. What is more, its spirit prevailed throughout the Muslim countries and .continents of Europe, Asia, and Africa in the heyday of Islam

Prince Charles Speech

In the 8th century when Europe was still fumbling in the Dark Ages, Islam burst upon the Spanish scene with its dazzling light of intellectual disciplines and, heralding a breakthrough, illumined the seats of learning in Andalusia. In his address at the Oxford University in 1993, Prince Charles, the Prince of Wales open-heartedly acknowledged this historical debt of gratitude to the role of Islam in initiating a process of liberalization and enlightenment, known as the Renaissance, in Europe.

:In his own word

Many of the traits on which modern Europe prides itself came to it from Muslim Spain. “Diplomacy, free trade, open borders, the techniques of academic research, anthropology, etiquette, hospitals, alternative medicine, all came from this great city of cities (Cordoba).”¹⁹

Again the Prince reiterated the same remarks in his subsequent speech. He said that Europe is deeply in debt to Islam and paid glowing tributes to Muslims’ role in European civilization when

he inaugurated the new academic complex of the Markfield Institute of Higher Education in 2003.
This Islamic Foundation of UK, headed by our learned brother Professor Khurshid Ahmed is
striving hard for revival of Islamic knowledge in Europe.20

Rousseau's Social Contract and its Islamic

- : Background

Looking back historical heritage, the Islamic disciplines of philosophy and science reached their peak in Andalusia and touched off the stirrings of the spirit of civil liberty and equality of man among European masses to regain their fundamental rights. They started a struggle against the despotic rule of tyranny and social injustice. Its fruits started cropping up after the 11th century. Rousseau himself too benefited from the cultural and social outpouring of Islam. Perhaps a few persons have the knowledge of this fact that steeped in the spirit of Islamic teachings, he had detached himself from Catholic Church. He would often greet his friends with Islamic word "Salaam". The church, through an edict, declared him renegade in view of his attack on papacy and extraterritorial loyalties. This made Rousseau to lead a life of banishment and in order to save his life he kept running from one country to another with a different name of "Renou". His works were banned and ordered to be burnt. This is true that his theory of social contract reflects a glimmer of Islamic thought, but without a touch of realism. In fact it presents a romantic and fictional picture of things, mostly relating to the safeguards of the French people without reference to humanity at large. His doctrine almost proverbial is considered to be a democratic ideal: "Man is born free but he is being kept in chains everywhere by economic, political and hierarchical imbalance and inequality." His doctrine is only a part of a Quranic verse related to one of the phases of human life. Yet its positive and bright aspect was beyond the reach of his imagination.

The Quranic verse explains the purpose lying behind the Prophet's advent in the world. That purpose was realized in his own lifetime as described by the noble Quran in Surah Al-A'raf:

"Those who shall follow the (last) Apostle, the unlettered Prophet, whom they shall find described
in the

and

heritage, e reached gs of the s European hey sa and soci ith centu cultur ew pers n the pa rom Cat with Isla edict, declared fro k on pap ousseau to lead a life s life he kept roaming a different name or d ordered to be burnt. al contract reflects a ut a touch of realism. onal picture of things, f the French people . His doctrine almost cratic ideal: "Man is hains everywhere by al imbalance and t of a Quranic verse life. Yet its positive n of his imagination. se lying behind the rpose was realized in oble Quran in Surah e (last) Apostle, the nd described in the

Gospel of history of tha or a

hin they

scholar Muhammad Asad embodies the most commentaries and hadithes part in and particularly ag references on a fully achieved in of the Prophet Muhammad (7:158)

As a matter of fact, the Quran here doesn't address a particular group of people, race or country; its message is borne of mankind. As revealed in the next verse ... (Surah 7) Mohammad; "O mankind! Verily, I am an apostle of God to all of you (sent by Him) unto whom the dominion over the heavens and the earth belongs! There is no deity save Him: He (alone) grants life and deals death! Believe, then, in God and His Apostle, the unlettered Prophet who believes in God "I and His words - and follow him, so that you might find guidance

Each of the earlier Prophets" writes Muhammad Asad while commenting on this verse "was sent" to his and only his community: thus the Old Testament addresses itself only to the Children of

Israel, and even Jesus, whose message had a wider bearing, speaks of himself as "sent only unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel (Matthew xv:24) In contrast, the message of the Quran is universal that is addressed to mankind as a whole. It is for this reason

that Muhammad is described in The Quran as an evidence of God's grace towards all the worlds, towards all mankind, and as "the seal of all Prophets" in other words, the last of them."²⁴

Farewell Sermon-The First Charter of Human Rights

Fettered by undue restrictions, injustice and socio- economic inequalities man was groaning under the burden of slavery. The Prophet emphasized equilibrium and evenness in every dimension of human life and infused a true sense of justice in the heart of man. To promote and articulate this noble cause he practically took revolutionary steps, restoring to man his natural human rights of which the mankind had been deprived of since ages. The sanctity of human dignity is enshrined in the historic and unique proclamation he made on the occasion of the Farewell address from the mount of Arafat on 9th Zil Haj, 10th of Hijrah (March 632 A.D). That abolished all obscurantist myths and practices and heralded human progress and human liberty. The Prophet gave this good :tiding to the world

And Behold, all the customs of the days of ignorance (Jahilia) are trampled under my feet"²⁵

About the equality of men and human dignity he declared: "O men! Behold, you have one God and your father is also one. This makes you one and equal. No Arab has any superiority over a non-Arab and vice versa. No white is superior to a black in any way and vice versa."²⁶

Human being, the progeny of Adam, are all equal. Unity of mankind, prior to this revolutionary proclamation, had been torn to shreds. Men had been divided on the basis of linguistic ethnic, geographical or borders, race, colour and creed. Prompted by those artificial demarcation and

discrimination some considered themselves superior to others and brought the weak and the
downtrodden under

The Quran as an evidence rlds, towards all mankind, n other words, the last of

arter of Human Rights

ons, injustice and socio- roaning under the burden asized equilibrium and uman life and infused a
of man. To promote and ically took revolutionary human rights of which since ages. The sanctity
the historic and unique casion of the Farewell on 9th Zil Haj, 10th of olished all obscurantist d

human progress and this good tiding to the

toms of the days of er my feet"²⁵

and human dignity he ve one God and your ne and equal. No Arab b and vice versa. No y and

vice versa."²⁶

f Adam, are all equal. utionary proclamation, n divided on the basis borders, race, colour cial

demarcation and mselves superior to e downtrodden under

their way or sphere of influence. This led to promulgation of discriminatory policy of the whites

towards the subjugated coloured people and it was the same spillover of the barbaric past which

we witnessed in the worst apartheid policy of the racist whites in South Africa in 20th century.

The oppressed yet unbending blacks, however, made tremendous sacrifices till they wrested

freedom from the colonialists under the leadership of Nelson Mandela. There is no place for

racism in Islam. In fact the holy Prophet, fourteen centuries ago, had taken care to wipe out all its

pernicious germs. Establishing a concrete example of human equality and brotherhood of

mankind, he had said: "All human beings are equal like the teeth of a comb."²⁷ Through this

unprecedented revolutionary advancement he had, indeed, catapulted the ancient world into the modern times. And thus he had miraculously bottled up the spirit of contemporary society

Doubtless, the Prophet's period, taking all dimensions of time into consideration, is the best in history. The reign of the four rightly guided Caliphs is also exemplary for justice, human equality and dignity of man. Despite the heavy responsibilities of state administration, Caliph Abu Bakr, following the insistence of his companions, chose to take only as much daily allowance from Baitul Mall, (public treasury) as could be enough to meet the needs of a common man. During the caliphate of Umar the judiciary was separated from the executive so that courts could refrain from playing second fiddle to the administrations and be enabled to protect and take care of human rights independently

-:Freedom: Birth right of man in Islam

When the son of Egypt's Governor Amr bin al-Aas subjected a coptic to whipping, Caliph Umar, while redressing the wrongdoing in a reverse way, looked at the

governor angrily and passed a remark which lay at the heart of Charter of Human Rights of the present times.

The Caliph said, “Amr! Since how long have you started enslaving people, who were born free by their mothers?” In his words, indeed rang the voice of God: “O Mankind! Beconscious of your sustainer, who has created you out of one living entity”.²⁸ This verse stresses the common origin and brotherhood of the human race.

In the 18th Century, French Philosopher Rousseau reproduced the same remarks of the Caliph Omar that “the man is born free”, Attributing it to Rousseau, western thinkers consider it to be the corner stone of the French Revolution.

Similarly, tall claims are made about the Constitution of United States. In 1776 Jefferson drafted the Declaration of Independence. When there was widespread public criticism for omission of fundamental rights of the people, the defenders of the Constitution introduced a Bill of Rights which is said to be the great achievement of American democracy to protect the citizens against the Government actions. It went into effect in 1791. France adopted the same bill after making certain adjustments in it. In 1926, the League of Nations passed a resolution for the abolition of the slave trade by imposing restrictions in a gradual process. This was the same anti slavery approach which had been pioneered by Islam in 7th century and within short span of less then 50 years slave trade was remarkably reduced. It was the Prophet of Islam who gave the slaves the status of freemen. So much so that Bilal, a slave Negro, was his close companion. Following his foot steps, the believers of the Prophet chose the slaves as powerful head of states. It is historical

fact that the slave dynasty of Muslims ruled the subcontinent of India²⁹ Sultan Shamsuddin Al-Tutmarsh, a slave from Bukhara, and another slave Ghiyasuddin Bulban, the pious and renowned

.remark which lay at the heart the present times

r! Since how long have you o were born free by their d rang the voice of God: "O r sustainer,

.who has created , 28 This verse stresses the d of the human race

rench Philosopher Rousseau of the Caliph Omar that "the ng it to Rousseau, western corner stone

of the French

s are made about the n 1776 Jefferson drafted the When there was widespread of fundamental

rights of the onstitution introduced a Bill e the great achievement of ct the citizens against the nto

effect in 1791. France ng certain adjustments in it. passed a resolution for the imposing

restrictions in a same anti slavery approach Islam in 7th century and 50 years slave trade was

Prophet of Islam who gave t. So much so that Bilal, a panion. Following his foot ophet chose the

slaves as istorical fact that the slave bcontinent of India²⁹ Sultan lave from Bukhara, and an, the

pious and renowned

79

king ruled over India in 13th century³⁰ and loved by the people for their piety and good

governance. Similarly Mamlook (Muslim slaves) ruled over Egypt and Syria from 1250 to 1517

A.D. dispensing justice for all throughout their periods.³¹ In Spain the slaves had authority to

declare one of the successors to deceased Sultan as a ruler of the state, who was considered by the

people to be a just and devout Muslim, as they did on the death of King Abdur Rehman.³²

According to Stanely Lane Poole,³³ these slaves were the people who were brought to Spain by

Greek traders and sold while still children. They resembled in many respects to the corps of Mamlook, which Saladin's successors introduced in Egypt, subsequently they attained the powers as Sultan.³⁴

U.N, Its Charter and its Role

The United Nations came into existence at the heels of World War II in the year 1945 with the charter containing the reaffirmation of the "Faith in the fundamental rights of human being." But it was a vague document without any guarantee for protection of human rights. However the General Assembly of UN proclaimed the Universal Declaration of Human Rights in the year 1948. Some of the articles of the Declaration are being reproduced as under

Article 1: All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights. They are endowed with conscience and should act towards one another in a spirit of brotherhood

.Article 2: Everyone is entitled to all rights and freedom set for in this Declaration

.Article 3: Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of person

.Article 4: No one shall be held in slavery or servitude

Article 5: No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

.Article 6: Everyone has the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law

.In the year 1951, the General Assembly of UN passed a resolution prohibiting the slavery

Judging by appearance, the British Magna Carta, the French Revolution, the American Bill of Rights, above all, Charter of the United Nations, are man-oriented documents with inherent infirmities. Yet if we go deeply into all these documents and Articles of the UN Declaration, it would become crystal clear that their original source is the Quranic teachings and they all echoed albeit imperfectly. The Prophet's message for the welfare of humanity pronounced in his Farewell address to the mankind. The Quran and this unique prophetic address have guaranteed the basic human rights directly related to human dignity. What is most significant, these rights as enunciated by Islam do not at all bear the stamp of man's selfish will. Instead there are Divine rules and nobody is privileged enough to abrogate or to make any amendment or alteration therein. Nor can they be put in abeyance by any dictator or under any state of emergency, because they transcend space and time and are binding on all people in all circumstances. Looking back into the historical perspective, all citizens of an Islamic State, regardless of cast, colour race, religion and territorial specification, have enjoyed these rights in every phase of Islamic rule, not alone in the Prophetic era. True, the laws and constitutions of Europe, U.S., Russia and other secular states of the world as well as the resolutions and human rights Charter of the U.N.

speciously bring in the wording of freedom, liberty, equality, protection of life, honour and property and other human rights but without any practical relevance

Rather the powerful nations have been flagrantly flouting the human rights in relation to weaker nations under the very nose of international community of nations.

In the recent past, the troops of Serbia have subjected the defenceless people of Bosnia to a merciless pogrom, committing extremely wicked and immoral crimes which are unparalleled even in the dark phases of human history. What is worse, despite its being a member of the world body Bosnia was interdicted from acquiring arms for its defence and security whereas the aggressors had a free and unchecked supply of weapons from Russia and her cohorts. The U.N did not bother to take notice of the war crimes of the powerful nation against the weaker community of Bosnia.

Kashmir Affairs

Coming to Kashmir issue, since the Charter of Human Rights was adopted, the United Nation has passed several resolutions, giving to the people of Kashmir the right of self-determination and to hold a plebiscite. The people of Kashmir have been demanding this right but in response the Indian government has openly denied this right by use of military force. Yet, U.N. is a silent spectator to this violation of the Human Rights.

Palestine

Similarly in Palestine, Israel is committing violation of Human rights in a ghastly fashion, killing the Palestinians in indiscriminate firing and bulldozing their houses without feeling any scruples of

conscience. Still worse, the U.N. conscience remains placid and undisturbed over this grim-drama. U.N. role is more deplorable than its predecessor League of Nations because the indignity of man has now touched the lowest ebb beyond all hopes of retrieval. It seems that the whole world along with its U.N. is going to commit suicide and if survived, the man will

find himself back in the stoneage. In fact there are no effective moral sanctions which may force the world body to shun parochialism and safeguard the collective interests of humanity. In this dreadful scenario an inspirational positive voice rings out from the Quran when it proclaims: "That if any one slays a human being, unless it be for murder or for spreading corruption on earth, it would be as though he had slain all the mankind. If anyone saves a life, it shall be as though he had saved the lives of all mankind.³⁵

Quranic Injunctions

A person with an objective outlook will have no hesitation to admit that the noble Quran conveys a message for the healthy growth and cohesion of human society, saving it from the erosion of .self-divisive and centrifugal tendencies and integrating human beings into Divine cosmic nucleus Quran protects the life of man on this planet. Since the entire mankind is like a single unit in the sight of God, He puts the life of an individual at par with the collective life of creation. Therefore, in Islam, a murderer faces death penalty in this world and eternal hell-fire in the hereafter. Doubtless, a true believer cannot think of killing an innocent individual because if he gets off scot-free in this world, there won't be an escape in the next world. Islam vouchsafes to an individual an inalienable right of protecting his life for no other reason than being a human being alone. Factually speaking, non-Muslims in Pakistan or minorities of Islamic countries should have no .reason to feel perturbed about the Islamic law

The Blasphemy law has, indeed, provided them with protection and legal safeguards. Islam is a religion of peace and wants peace to prevail in human life. Since chaos and oppression are the exact antithesis of peace and

security, Islam not only detests it but also opposes it vehemently. On it the Quran says:

“oppression is even worse than killing (2:190).”³⁶

Taking things to their roots, it is discord and mischief which result in wars and riots claiming countless lives, and those who escape such a calamity, often suffer emotional traumas for odious reason, such as loss of honour and dignity. Speaking ill of the holy Prophet must incur a sever penalty because this mischief has always generated serious unrest and turmoil in society. As honour and dignity of man have been acknowledged in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, therefore everyone is under obligation not to insult and belittle others because this would logically mean a sinister violation of man's fundamental right. Above all, the matters would get acutely delicate and sensitive when a personality no less than the Prophet of Islam is made an object of slander. To be sure, this hurts the susceptibilities of Muslims in whose eyes none is dearer, respectable and sacred than the Prophet. They can put everything, including their own lives, at stake for his sake. Historically arguing, how much the holy Prophet valued human dignity and human rights is evidenced by his Farewell address. By all consideration this raises the image and respectability of such a unique upholder of human rights in the eyes of an impartial student of history. Never in history Muslims have let a slanderer of the Prophet of God go scot-free. Law and reason demand that if the spectre of an evil raises its head in society, it must immediately be

.addressed to so as to stop the cancer of corruptibility

The law of blasphemy too is designed to counteract the cancerous effects of a grim mischief which may cause chaos in the society splitting the unity of mankind against the Divine scheme of things.

How does it involve the violation of human rights? Yet, the vested interests have

raised a terrible hullabaloo to confuse and poison the minds of the non-Muslim minorities. If one rises above prejudices and looks into things realistically, one would realize that the Law of Blasphemy instead safeguards the honour and dignity of man and people learn to respect the sentiments of one another. Moreover, it imparts to nations the lesson of peaceful co-existence. So instead of attributing narrow and parochial motives to this law, it should be viewed in a wider perspective to ensure the peace and security of mankind at large.

CHAPT

Blasphemy Law in Europe

Blasphemy law exists the world in various forms o and faith of the majority of modern historians blasphemy has been an offence punishab Torah decreed death by sto blasphemmer. Under Empero AD) the death penalty was d many states have legislatio offence. In Scotland until punishable by death. In Engla The underlying idea appare religion is necessarily an at probably has been the reason down even in some secular le

Punishment of death in the

Historically speaking, power, the Roman Law was there was no Administrati Testament) to rule the countr the sway of the Catholic Ch by Pope attained the force o Qur'an the Gospels were or precepts. However, we find Testament that blasphemy unpardonable sin in this w hereafter. In

Chapter 12 Vers book: "Wherefore I say unto blasphemy shall be forgiven against the holy Ghost

(Jesu men." In Verse 32 of the whosoever speaketh a word

CHAPTER VII

Blasphemy Law in Europe

Blasphemy law exists in almost all the countries of the world in various forms depending on religious belief and faith of the majority of the people. According to the modern historians blasphemy, in some form or the other has been an offence punishable by law. The Mosaic law of Torah decreed death by stoning as the penalty for the blasphemer. Under Emperor Justinian (reigned 527-565 AD) the death penalty was decreed for blasphemy. In US, many states have legislation of death penalty for this offence. In Scotland until the 18th century, it was punishable by death. In England it is common law offence. The underlying idea apparently is that an attack on the religion is necessarily an attack on the state. This idea probably has been the reason why penalties have been laid down even in some secular legal codes.³⁷

Punishment of death in the Bible

Historically speaking, before the Church came into power, the Roman Law was in force in Europe, because there was no Administrative law in the Bible (New Testament) to rule the country. When the state came under the sway of the Catholic Church, every word pronounced by Pope attained the force of law. Unlike the Torah and Qur'an the Gospels were only collection of sermons and precepts. However, we find in the books of the New Testament that blasphemy against Jesus Christ is an unpardonable sin in this world and also in the world hereafter. In

Chapter 12 Verse 31, St. Matthew says in his book: “Wherefore I say unto you, all manners of sin and blasphemy shall be forgiven unto men: but the blasphemy against the holy Ghost (Jesus) shall not be forgiven unto men.” In Verse 32 of the same chapter he says: “And whosoever speaketh a word against the Holy Ghost(Jesus)

".it shall not be forgiven him, neither in this world, neither in the world to come

St. Mark in Chapter 3 verses 29:30 of his book says: "But he that shall blaspheme against the

".Holy Ghost(Jesus) hath never forgiveness

".In Chapter 12 verse 10 of St. Luke it is said: "Blasphemy shall not be forgiven

When the countries in Asia and Europe, where Christian Kingdom were established, clergy adopted the Roman Law and the Talmudic Law to conduct the State affairs. The law of blasphemy was legislated on the basis of the biblical verses quoted above throughout the European countries .and enforced with capital punishment of death

Under the Mosaic Law the punishment of death was fixed for one who committed the desecration of the Torah or insulted the Minister (Prophet) who had come before Jesus Christ (Reference Deuteronomy 17:12). In Leviticus Chapter 24 Verse 16 of the old Testament, it is clearly stated: "And he that blasphemeth the name of thy Lord, he shall be surely put to death, and all ".congregation shall certainly stone him

The Roman Emperor Justinian has the credit for codification of the Roman law and whose name is considered a symbol of justice, but in fact, his period was a reign of tyranny and oppression.³⁸ Before adoption of Christianity, Christians were burnt alive by his imperial decree. Following his conversion to Christianity, he laid down the penalty of death for rejecting the message of the Bible (New Testament) and for insulting Jesus Christ alone instead of the Israeli Prophets and mercilessly killed the Jews and uprooted their faith. Since his reign the blasphemy law regarding

Jesus Christ became the law of European kingdoms. In France, blasphemy which included, speaking against the holy Vergin and the Saints, denying ones faith, or speaking wit punished with great severit given in various forms li torture or corporal punis continuing the practice of a this crime till the 18th centur

The first thing, the C coming into power in the wa in Russia was the separati Thereafter death penalty re was shifted from Jesus Chr State. Atrocities and oppres the Kremlin Head were difference of opinion with evidenced by the cold-bloo Leon Trotsky in Mexico w prisoners of conscience languish away and die in the

Law of Blasphemy Defined

Without trying to blasphemy we may say in Christian theology, it is to the truth of Christianity or .Christ) or to desecrate and Islam blasphemy is sacrileg apostles

Law of Blasphemy in The

As the capital pu abolished in England there life is the law of land for blasphemy is defined as "the in writing) of matter which which denies the truth of th or the Book of Common P

neither in this world, neither in

verses 29:30 of his book says: against the Holy Ghost(Jesus)

".of St. Luke it is said: iven 10

in Asia and Europe, where tablished, clergy adopted the dic Law to conduct the State was

.legislated on the basis of ove throughout the European apital punishment of death

w the punishment of death was the desecration of the Torah ophet) who had come before eronomy

17:12). In Leviticus old Testament, it is clearly neth the name of thy Lord, he , and all

congregation shall

Justinian has the credit for law and whose name is e, but in fact, his period was a ssion.³⁸ Before

adoption of burnt alive by his imperial rsion to Christianity, he laid rejecting the message of the r

insulting Jesus Christ alone ts and mercilessly killed the faith. Since his reign the us Christ

became the law of , blasphemy which included, rgin and the Saints, denying

ones faith, or speaking with impiety of holy things was punished with great severity. The death

punishment was given in various forms like burning alive, mutilation, torture or corporal

punishment. Russia and Scotland continuing the practice of awarding death punishment for this

.crime till the 18th century

The first thing, the Communist government did after coming into power in the wake of the

Bolshevik Revolution in Russia was the separation of the state from religion. Thereafter death

penalty remained in Russia but its focus was shifted from Jesus Christ to the Communist Head of

State. Atrocities and oppression of Stalin who had become the Kremlin Head were just unthinkable. Even the difference of opinion with him was a grave offence as evidenced by the cold-blooded murder of Lenin's comrade Leon Trotsky in Mexico where he lived in self-exile. The prisoners of conscience were deported to Siberia to languish away and die in the forced labour camps.

Law of Blasphemy Defined

Without trying to trace the roots of the word blasphemy we may say in generic sense that according to Christian theology, it is to deny the existence of God and the truth of Christianity or to slander the Redeemer (Jesus Christ) or to desecrate and ridicule the Bible, whereas in Islam blasphemy is sacrilege and contempt of God and His apostles.

Law of Blasphemy in The U.K

As the capital punishment of death has been abolished in England therefore now the imprisonment for life is the law of land for blasphemy. At common law, blasphemy is defined as "the publication (orally or for libel, in writing) of matter which vilifies or is contemptuous of or which denies the truth of the Christian religion or the Bible or

indecent scurrilous or offensive terms likely to shock and outrage the feelings of the general body
 ”.of Christian believers

Procedure

.Blasphemy and blasphemous libel are triable only on indictment

Proof

There is no requirement that the accused should have recognized or intended that his words would be blasphemous or to be taken by others to be blasphemous. The *mens rea* of blasphemy requires
 .only the words of accused were found to be blasphemous

Evidence

In case of public utterance, two reliable witnesses are required to prove the guilt of blasphemy and
 .if it is in black and white i.e. blasphemous libel, it is obligatory to produce the written words

The evidence of publication of blasphemous libel is the same as in the case of seditious libel.
 Sedition is defined as any act done or words spoken for (or, for seditious libel written and
 .published), with a seditious intention and having a seditious tendency

The Sentence

The maximum punishment for blasphemy is life imprisonment. The sentence for sedition and
 seditious libel is also the same as that for blasphemy i.e. life imprisonment.³⁸

Case Law of British Courts

Two most important cases of British Court relating to Blasphemy are (i) White House versus Lemon and (ii) Chief Metropolitan Stipendiary Magistrate, ex parte Choudhury, reported as (197 986 respectively

Relevant portion of case reported in “The Time 1988 by David Hollow Y. is Sincerity” and an not a sufficient defence conviction of Denis Lemo publishing a poem suggestin “homosexual established tha artist is irrelevant. It is a religious feeling “outraged the view that: “Every publi which contains any conte ludicrous matter relating to

House of Lord’s Judgmen

Lemon went up i Lords. It was one of hist Superior Judiciary of the century. The question lordships was whether si intent of the accused to bl is no requirement that the or intended that his wor taken by others to be blas

Lord Scarman is k Commonwealth and in S is considered to belong activism in judicial matte blasphemy in Lemon’s a (blasphemy) belongs to designed. to safeguard kingdom. In an increasin modern Britain, I think i

rms likely to shock and eral body of Christian

us libel are triable only

.hat the accused should his words would be ers to be blasphemous. ires only the words of us

two reliable witnesses asphemy and if it is in bel, it is obligatory to

of blasphemous libel is bel. Sedition is defined (or, for seditious libel ditious intension and

or blasphemy is life on and seditious libel blasphemy i.e. life

British Court relating ersus Lemon and (ii) agistrate, ex parte

.Choudhury, reported as (1979 A.C 617 and [1990] 3 WLR 986 respectively

Relevant portion of the judgment in "Gay News" case reported in "The Times, London" dated:

:27th August 1988 by David Holloway is being reproduced as under

Sincerity" and an "atmosphere of reverence" are not a sufficient defence against blasphemy. In"

1978, conviction of Denis Lemon, editor of "Gay News", for publishing a poem suggesting that

Jesus was a promiscuous homosexual established that the intention, or motive, of an artist is

irrelevant. It is a question of fact: Is Christian religious feeling "outraged and insulted"? The court

was of the view that: "Every publication is said to be blasphemous which contains any

"contemptuous reviling, scurrilous or ludicrous matter relating to God, Jesus Christ, or the Bible

House of Lord's Judgment

Lemon went up in appeal before the House of Lords. It was one of historic judgments delivered by the Superior Judiciary of the U.K in the last decade of 20th century. The question for determination before their lordships was whether sine qua non of the offence is an intent of the accused to blaspheme? "It was held that there is no requirement that the accused should have recognized or intended that his words would be blasphemous or be taken by others to be blasphemous."³⁹

Lord Scarman is known as a liberal British Judge in Commonwealth and in Scandinavian countries as well. He is considered to belong to left wing for his socialistic activism in judicial matters. He has fairly dealt with law of blasphemy in Lemon's appeal case and held: "The offense (blasphemy) belongs to a group of criminal offenses designed to safeguard the internal tranquility of the kingdom. In an increasingly pluralist society such as that of modern Britain, I think it is a case for legislation extending

blasphemy law. It is necessary not only to respect the differing religious beliefs and feelings of all but also to protect them from vilification, ridicule and contempt."39-A

The basic idea of the judgment of Lord Scarman is in consonance with Islamic Law of Blasphemy which strictly prohibits Muslims to show disrespect to Jesus Christ and other prophets of scripture and refrain themselves from ridiculing or insulting the leaders of different religions. They are not allowed even to ridicule any living or dead person. The companion Judges of Lord Scarman did not subscribe to his point of view. According to them including Lord Diplock, blasphemy does not extend to attacks on other religions such as Islam (which is second religion of Britain). Lord Diplock is well-known to Pakistan as he was a counsel for Ghulam Muhammad, Governor General of Pakistan in Maulvi Tamizuddin Khan Case. We have produced the relevant passage from The .House of Lord's judgement in re Lemon Vs White House 19 AC 617 as Appendix B

Rushdie affair and British Superior Court

Muslims of Britain, in view of Lord Scarman's above judgment, moved Chief Metropolitan Stipendiary Magistrate to take notice of Salman Rushdie's blasphemous book "Satanic Verses" which had outraged the Muslim nationals of Britain, but the complaint was dismissed on the ground that blasphemy is concerned with Christian religion only. It does not extend to attack on other religion such as Islam.39-B This decision was confirmed in judicial review by the Queen's Bench Division of the High Court whereby it was held that the law of blasphemy only protect the Christian religion and more specifically, the established Church of England. Lord Watkins went to this extent that even if law of blasphemy is extended to religions other than Christianity as such

Islam he will not press it into service. So he says: "We think it right to say that, were it open to

us

not only to respect the feelings of all but also to ridicule and contempt."39-A

judgment of Lord Scarman is aware of Blasphemy which shows disrespect to Jesus's scripture and refrain from insulting the leaders of all religions even to ridicule some of Lord's point of view. According to him, blasphemy does not exist in Islam (which is Diplock is well-known to Mr. Ghulam Muhammad, Maulvi Tamizuddin Khan's famous passage from *The Lemon Vs White House*

or Court

view of Lord Scarman's Metropolitan Stipendiary Magistrate's blasphemous remarks outraged the Muslim community and was dismissed on appeal. Unlike Christianity, other religions such as Islam are not in judicial review of the High Court whereby the law only protects the majority, the established religion to this extent that no religions other than Christianity can be brought into service. Courts, were it open to us

"to extend the law to cover religions other than Christianity, we should refrain from doing so

No Amendment of Law of Blasphemy--British Govt's

Stand

In the year 1989, during the proceedings of blasphemy case a number of influential British Muslims had also approached the Minister of State for the Home Department, Mr. John Patten to make amendment in blasphemy law to protect the honour of their holy Prophet and proscribe blasphemous book "The Satanic Verses" of Rushdie. The Minister representing the British government turned down this proposal. The relevant portion of his reply, inter alia, is as follows

Many Muslims have argued that the law of blasphemy should be amended to take books such as "The Satanic Verses" outside the boundary of what is legally acceptable. We have considered their arguments carefully and reached the conclusion that it would be unwise for a variety of reasons to amend the law of blasphemy, not the least the clear lack of agreement over whether the law should ".be reformed or repealed

-: Judgment of European Courts of Human Rights

After being dissatisfied with the decision of the House of Lord, Muslim community of England filed a petition in the European Court of Human Rights with expectation of getting some sort of relief in the matter of their blasphemy case. They requested the learned court only to afford an opportunity of hearing to them along with the most famous blasphemy case of Wingrove versus the United Kingdom pending for adjudication. Mr. Wingrove had challenged the British Law of Blasphemy, inter alia, on the ground that it is discriminatory law because it does not treat on an .equal footing the different religions practised in the United Kingdom

The Muslim citizens urged the same plea of Wingrove in their petition which the apex court of
 :Human Rights of Europe refused to entertain on the following grounds

It is true that the English Law of Blasphemy only extend to the Christian faith. Indeed the
 anomaly of this state of affairs in multi-denominational society was recognized by the Divisional
 Bench in R.V. Chief Magistrate Exparte Choudhry. However, it is not for the European Court to
 rule in abstracto as to the compatibility of domestic law with convention (Constitution of
 ".European Court of Human Right)

The petition of Mr. Wingrove was also dismissed after full-dress debate on the most important
 points relating to modern global society like freedom of expression and democratic society, soft-
 core pornography, religious ecstasy, sexual passion, masochism, lesbianism and auto- erotism and
 their far reaching effects on social life. Finally the court held that the United Kingdom was
 justified to impose ban on exhibition of blasphemous video film apprehending that it was likely to
 arouse a sense of outrage among those who believe and respect the Christian faith. Therefore, the
 action of the United Kingdom does not ultra vires Article 10 of the Convention (Constitution of
 .the European Court of Human Rights)

Author's Note

This judgment is one of the most important and exhaustive judgments of the European Court of
 Human Rights relating to the law of Blasphemy which was declared to be social necessity of a

democratic country. This shows how Europe is conservative in maintaining its own orthodox laws, but on the other hand Pakistan Government proposed to make amendments in the just and

equitable law of blasphemous country as a secular, mode

.Abstract of judgement Rights in re Vinogradov Vs 1996 is Appendix-C

Freedom of Press

.The privilege according to court's proceeding by the Section 3 of the Act does any blasphemous matter

A.V. Dicey, considers freedom of the press with England: "Until very recently recognized any privilege which is defamatory or based upon fact, has exactly the same or newspaper. It is hardly point of view, that

liberty of England.39-C

LAW OF BLASPHEMY

:One finds no difference of Britain and the secular of blasphemy law prevailing under

Blasphemy

Blasphemy is against the Christian religion, Christ or exposing the Blasphemy is an offence or written

words. Whoever committing an offence constitutes the crime.40

urged the same plea of ich the apex court of Human entertain on the following

ish Law of Blasphemy only Indeed the anomaly of this enominational society was al Bench in

R.V. Chief However, it is not for the acto as to the compatibility n (Constitution of European

ngrove was also dismissed st important points relating freedom of expression and pornography,

religious ism, lesbianism and auto- fects on social life. Finally Kingdom was justified to

blasphemous video film o arouse a sense of outrage espect the Christian faith. ed Kingdom does

.not ultra tion (Constitution of the)

the most important and ropean Court of Human Blasphemy which was of a democratic country.

vative in maintaining its e other hand Pakistan endments in the just and

.equitable law of blasphemy in an attempt to show the country as a secular, modern state

Abstract of juegement of European Court of Human Rights in re Vingrove Vs United Kingdom

.dated 25-11- 1996 is Appendix-C

Freedom of Press

The privilege accorded to newspapers report of the court's proceeding by the law of libel

Amendment Act. Section 3 of the Act does not authorize the publication of any blasphemous

.matter

A.V. Diecy, constitutional law expert writes about freedom of the press with reference to law of

blasphemy in England: "Until very recent time the law, moreover, has not recognized any

privilege on the part of press. A statement which is defamatory or blasphemous, if made in a letter or upon card, has exactly the same character if made in a book or newspaper. It is hardly an exaggeration to say from this point of view, that liberty of press is not recognized in England.^{39-C}

LAW OF BLASPHEMY IN THE UNITED STATES

One finds no difference between the blasphemy law of Britain and the secular state of America.

:Legal definition of blasphemy law prevailing in the United States reads as under

Blasphemy

Blasphemy is reviling of God, wantonly attacking the Christian religion, or contumelious reproaches of Jesus Christ or exposing the scriptures to contempt and ridicule. Blasphemy is an offence and may be committed by spoken or written words. Where a statute designates several ways of committing an offence, the doing of anyone of them constitutes the crime.⁴⁰

Case Law

In the absence of a statute, the offence may be committed either by spoken or written words. Christianity is the prevailing religion of the United States, so it is part and parcel of the law of land. It has been observed in *US-Vidal Vs Girad* 11.L.Ed 205, 8CJ P1120 N21 that Christianity, although a part of common law of the state, it is only so in the qualified sense that its divine origin .and truth are admitted

Distinction

But so far other religions are concerned, they may be attacked without incurring any liability of the prosecution. 40-A

Constitutional freedom of press and religious toleration: The US Constitution safeguards the rights about the freedom of the press and the liberty of conscience, so far religious opinions and worship are recognized as a protection to the extent of guaranteeing the rights above announced, but such constitutional provisions do not legalize profane scoffing, or stand in the way of legislature .enactments for the punishment of such acts. (8CJP1121N 35)

U.S Supreme Court Judgment

In the historical judgment of the US Supreme Court entitled as State Vs. Moccus, the learned judges had clearly interpreted the law of Blasphemy with reference to the liberty, freedom of speech and freedom of press as guaranteed by the constitution. 41

They concluded: “It is farthest from our thought to claim superiority for any religious sect, society, or denomination, or even to admit that there exists any distinct, avowed connection between church and state in the United States or in any individual state, but, as distinguished from the religi Muhammad, or even Abraha reason of the number, influenc within our territorial bounda the prevailing religion of this equal truth may it be sa civilization, the religion of a factor in determining its fo stability of government, in no the reverence and respect towards its prevalent religio

The relevant portio

.Appendix-D

Author's comments

It is significant to no church from the state, the se keep the flame of Chri apologetic. This open .secret pronouncements of the apex the United State. In stri blasphemy is nearer to the Church All the judgments o by apex courts of Huma Kingdom and Supreme Cou how strongly the superior j the western countries adh Despite advancement of introduced for the first tim Shafa'i, .one of the famous (Fiqah), the laws of wester essentially medieval

In Lemon's case, dismissed the appeal of C

tute, the offence may be written words. Christianity United States, so it is part has been observed
in US- 5, 8CJ P1120 N21 that ommon law of the state, it that its divine origin and

are concerned, they may g any liability of the ;

of press and religious afeguards the rights about liberty of conscience, so hip are recognized as a
anteeing the rights above onal provisions do not l in the way of legislature f such acts.

(8CJP1121N

of the US Supreme Court earned judges had clearly y with reference to the l freedom of press as
hest from our thought to gious sect, society, or t that there exists any een church and state in
ndividual state, but, as

distinguished from the religions of Confucius, Gautama, Muhammad, or even Abraham, it may be
truly said that, by reason of the number, influence, and station of its devotees within our territorial
boundaries, the religion of Christ is the prevailing religion of this country and of this state. With
equal truth may it be said that from the dawn of civilization, the religion of a country is the most
important factor in determining its form of government, and that stability of government, in no
small measure, depends upon the reverence and respect which a nation maintains towards its
prevalent religion."42

.The relevant portion of this judgment is as Appendix-D

Author's comments

It is significant to note that inspite of separation of church from the state, the secularized countries of the West keep the flame of Christianity alive without being apologetic. This open secret is apparent on very face of the pronouncements of the apex courts of the Great Britain and the United State. In strict senso, the U.S.A law of blasphemy is nearer to the orthodox religion of .Catholic Church

All the judgments of blasphemy cases pronounced by apex courts of Human Rights of Europe, United Kingdom and Supreme Court of United States clearly show how strongly the superior judiciary and the governments of the western countries adhere to their Christian religion. Despite advancement of legal jurisprudence, that was introduced for the first time in the legal history by Imam- Shafa'i, one of the famous doctors of Islamic Jurisprudence (Fiqah), the laws of western .countries still remain static and essentially medieval

In Lemon's case, the Queen's Bench Division dismissed the appeal of Gay News editor confirming the

trial court's judgment that in order to convict a blasphemer, his intention or motive is irrelevant, when facts of case stand proved. But in Islamic law, the basic ingredient of blasphemy or any other offence is the intention or motive, without which no punishment could be awarded to the accused. In *Exparte Choudhary's* case, the European Court of Human Rights refused to entertain the petition of Muslims community of the United Kingdom against Salman Rushdie in the matter of blasphemy and upheld the order of House of Lords observing that the U.K law of blasphemy only extends to the Christian faith. Therefore it shall not interfere with the domestic law of United Kingdom.

The Supreme Court of the United States in *Mockus* case, while dealing with reference matter of blasphemy in the context of constitutional and human rights principles declared that as the country is based on sure foundation of Christianity, no one is allowed to go beyond the limits of constitutional freedom and liberty to undermine the foundation of the state by ridiculing the God of Christian religion or the holy Scriptures. It is amusing to observe that Judaism considers only those persons to be blasphemers, who insult the prophets preceding Christ, while according to Christianity only the contempt of Christian God, Jesus Christ and Gospels constitutes blasphemy.

Be that as it may, both Judaism and Christianity are of the view that slanderous attack on other religions or the Prophet of Islam is not an offence punishable under law. This is in contrast to the wider vision of Islam which requires its followers to be respectful not only to their own prophet but to all the prophets without any distinction and whoever disobeys this Divine Commandment,

will be liable to the same punishment as fixed by the law of blasphemy in Islam. The dictum in this respect is laid down in the judgment of Federal Shariat Court of Pakistan on the petition of the author in “Muhammad Ismail Qureshy Pakistan (PLD 1991 FSC 1 blasphemy was passed by the one is unable to understand countries and especially the Pakistan Government to abroad regard to Islamic law of blasphemy laws, while they claim the democracy and believe in Blasphemy Law is part of the western Governments has double standards towards the

Religious Freedom Reports

In the International 2003 prepared by US Department with Bureau of Democracy a law of blasphemy .has continuation of their pre blasphemy law was enacted property of minority citizen abuse It is a fact that no superior courts in Pakistan promulgation in the year 19 of his faith or religion, has profess, practise and maintain frivolous charge has been levelled of blasphemy is a threat to communities .in Pakistan has failed to protect the religious minorities

er to convict a blasphemer, evant, when facts of case w, the basic ingredient of is the intention or motive, could be awarded to the s case, the European Court entertain the petition of United Kingdom against blasphemy and upheld the ving that the U.K law of Christian faith. Therefore it domestic law of United

e United States in Mockus ce matter of blasphemy in d human rights principles sed on sure foundation of o go beyond the limits of berty to undermine the ling the God of Christian is amusing to observe that ersons to be blasphemers, g Christ, while according t of Christian God, .Jesus sphemy

laism and Christianity are t on other religions or the ce punishable under law. r vision of Islam which tful not only to their own ithout any distinction and nmandment, will be liable y the law of blasphemy in ect is laid down in the ourt of Pakistan on the

petition of the author in the year 1991 reported as "Muhammad Ismail Qureshy Vs. Federal Government of Pakistan (PLD 1991 FSC 10)". Furthermore, the law of blasphemy was passed by the Parliament of Pakistan. But one is unable to understand the attitude of European countries and especially America who are pressurizing Pakistan Government to abrogate the Act of Parliament in regard to Islamic law of blasphemy along with Hudood laws, while they claim themselves to be champions of democracy and believe in majority rule. Moreover the Blaspehmy Law is part of the law of land. This policy of western Governments has exposed their hypocrisy and double .standards towards the Muslim World

Religious Freedom Reports of USA

In the International Religious Freedom Report for 2003 prepared by US Department of States in consultation with Bureau of Democracy and Human Rights, the Islamic law of blasphemy has been criticized harshly in continuation of their previous reports. In fact the blasphemy law was enacted to protect the life, liberty and property of minority citizens from discrimination and abuse.

It is a fact that no one has been convicted by the superior courts in Pakistan under this law since its promulgation in the year 1991, nor any citizen irrespective of his faith or religion, has ever been denied the right to profess, practise and manage religious institutions, yet a frivolous charge has been leveled in the report that the law of blasphemy is a threat to the security of non-Muslim communities in Pakistan and that the Government has failed to protect the religious and human rights of the minorities.

New-Conservatism

The Religious Freedom Report of 2003 is self- contradictory but perfectly in accordance with the state policy makers of American Government. On the one hand they want to make Pakistan a modern, enlightened and progressive state which should conform to the secular and social order of the day, on the other hand, the US President takes an oath of allegiance to the God of Christians and salutes the more than two thousand years old scriptures with great reverence. The US Congress and State legislature open their sessions with prayers to the deity of their Christian religion as observed in the judgment of their Supreme Court. The Government claims itself to be NEOCON's (New Conservative Christian) Government. In fact, the United States remains the most religious country of the western world. Yet Islam is not acceptable religion to NEOCON Government and its associates. They do not like the Muslims to live according to the Divine law; which is neither against the teachings of Jesus Christ nor against the commandments of Jewish religion. Islam does not advocate aggression, fanaticism, injustice or killing the innocent people

The Neo conservatism is the product of a master mind of a Jewish political philosopher of the new millennium — Leo Strauss. He surpassed his predecessor Machiavelli, who had taught the rulers to exploit people by fraud and deception to establish their authority. In addition, Strauss amalgamated Machiavellian formula with strong powerful ideology of Plato (427-347BC) and Nietzsche (1844-1900) that only the strongest is fit to rule this world and the weak are born to be ruled or they should be crushed by force if they attempt to rise. The Straussian thought has been

translated into action and deeds which is known as Bush pre-emptive doctrine. Invading a small country like Afghanistan with cruise missile and daisy cutter bombs and merciless bombing on Iraq is doctrine. The pretext is to re America with mass destruction found anywhere in Iraq by the Team. It is a clear manifest Conservatism will

CRUSADE AND SULTAN SA

The attack on Afghanistan to the Crusades, military expeditions Christians between 1095 and 12 "when the Christian entered Jerusalem and Jewish inhabitants of the city massacred them with a brutality own contemporaries.^{42-A}

In the third crusade (J (Salahud din), the famous Muslim the most disastrous and fatal movement. Jerusalem, holy to Christians alike, surrendered to army. In stark contrast to the city rulers, when blood flowed like of its inhabitants, the Muslim city civilized good faith and courtesy and his troops. Both in the become a symbol of a wise ruler commander, and in the West stature as a paragon of the chivalry

Salahuddin and Reginald—The

Salahuddin's generous city by his enemies—the crusaders. non-Muslim historians. Stanley account of Sultan's achievement famous book "Saladin and fall of

Report of 2003 is self-ccordance with the state ernment. On the one hand modern, enlightened and onform to the secular and her hand, the US President he God of Christians and sand years old scriptures US Congress and State ith prayers to the deity of ed in the judgment of their ent claims itself to be Christian) Government. In he most religious country not acceptable religion to sociates. They do not like the Divine law; which is sus Christ nor against the 1. Islam does not

advocate or killing the innocent

the product of a master hilosopher of the new urpassed his predecessor ulers to exploit people by eir authority. In addition, an formula with strong -347BC) and Nietzsche it is fit to rule this world or they should be crushed e Straussian thought has eeds which is known as ng a small country like

d daisy cutter bombs and

merciless bombing on Iraq is implementation of this doctrine. The pretext is to restrain Iraq 99 from attacking America with mass destruction weapons, which were not found anywhere in Iraq by the United Nations Inspectors Team. It is a clear manifestation of pro-zionist Neo

.Conservatism will

CRUSADE AND SULTAN SALAHUDDIN

The attack on Afghanistan was not much different to the Crusades, military expedition organized by western Christians between 1095 and 1291. During the 11th century, "when the Christian entered Jerusalem, they fell on Muslim and Jewish inhabitants of the city with zeal of Joshua and

massacred them with a brutality that shocked even their own contemporaries.42-A

In the third crusade (1187 AD), Sultan Saladin (Salahud din), the famous Muslim hero of all times, gave the most disastrous and fatal blow to the whole crusading movement. Jerusalem, holy to both the Muslims and Christians alike, surrendered to the Sultan Salahuddin's army. In stark contrast to the city's conquest by Christian rulers, when blood flowed like water during their massacre of its inhabitants, the Muslim conquest was marked by the civilized good faith and courteous behaviour of Salahuddin and his troops. Both in the East and the West, Sultan became a symbol of a wise ruler and consummate military commander, and in the West he has attained a legendary stature as a paragon of the chivalrous enemy.

Salahuddin and Reginald—The Blasphemer

Salahuddin's generous chivalry was admitted even by his enemies—the crusaders. It is acknowledged by the non-Muslim historians. Stanley Lane Poole gave a detailed account of Sultan's achievements and nobility in his famous book "Saladin and fall of Kingdom of Jerusalem".

In 1187 A.D Reginald, King of Kerak despite a truce between him and Salahuddin attacked and looted a caravan of Muslims merchants and arrested all of them, who were subjected to humiliating treatment. When the captured people appealed to him to set them free, he said tauntingly; "you believe in Muhammad why don't you ask him to get you free". When this news reached Salahuddin he swore to kill him with his own hands. Salahuddin, who had been regenerating his forces by an intense and unwavering devotion to Jihad, declared a decisive war against Latin Kingdom. After victory of Hattin in 1187, Reginald of Chatillon, the inhabitants of Jerusalem and the King Guy of Lusignan were brought before the Sultan as prisoners of war. Salahuddin gave full protocol to the captive king and his knights were also treated honourably. Salahuddin ordered the prisoners to be released. Syrian or Greek Christians and the Jews who decided to remain there, were permitted to settle in Jerusalem and other cities within the dominion of Sultan. Qazi Bahauddin Ibn Shadad, a close courtier of Sultan, writes that Reginald was trembling with fear as atrocities committed by him flashed back his mind and made his blood freeze. The Sultan reminded him of the contemptuous words uttered by him against the holy Prophet and said: "Now I seek the help of my Prophet" and the next moment he beheaded Reginald. The King Guy was very much frightened at this occasion, but Sultan told him that Muslims do not kill the people without any reason. Reginald had exceeded his limits and had abused the Prophet of God which could not be tolerated. No doubt, you fought against us in the battle, but now you are a royal guest as a King. The Sultan followed in the footsteps of the holy Prophet and the rightly guided

.Caliphs in the art of war and peace

Rules regulating Art of War

The Prophet had en Abdur Rehman Ibn Auf. "N nor treachery, nor mutilate a women during the fight in pact of God and conduct guidance" 42-B

Salahuddin's fame h though not undramatic, facts Syria, Northern Mesopotami and acknowledged as the su of Muslims who had conque But when this powerful and Muslim empire died in the y years, he had not left enou funeral⁴³ just like the great buried according to his wi burdening the exchequer fo living man deserves to have therefore is not for self aggra

Caliph Abu Bakr en was proceeding to Palestine: God. Do not disobey, do not do not destroy churches, do n destroy cultivation, do not c old men, boys, children or w

In the battlefield, Sul noble rules prescribed by th Syedna Abu Bakr. After the of Egypt, Syria, Mesopo maintained peace and harm Jihad in Islam is not for wo according to Quran and Sun noble Quran says: "Make

d, King of Kerak despite a uddin attacked and looted a and arrested all of them, who treatment. When the captured them free, he said tauntingly; why don't you ask him to get ached Salahuddin he swore to . Salahuddin, who had been an intense and unwavering a decisive war against Latin Hattin in 1187, Reginald of rusalem and the King Guy of e the Sultan as prisoners of tocol to the captive king and ted honourably. Salahuddin released. Syrian or Greek decided to remain there, were m and other cities within the hauddin Ibn Shadad, a close Reginald was trembling with y him flashed back his mind e Sultan reminded him of the by him against the holy the help of my Prophet" and Reginald. The King Guy was occasion, but Sultan told him people without any reason. limits and had abused the not be tolerated. No doubt, attle, but now you are a royal ollowed in the footstep of the guided Caliphs in the art of

Rules regulating Art of War and Peace

The Prophet had enjoined upon his commander, Abdur Rehman Ibn Auf. "Never commit breach of trust, nor treachery, nor mutilate any body nor kill any minor or women during the fight in the path of Allah. This is the pact of God and conduct of His Messenger for your guidance".42-B

Salahuddin's fame has outstripped the authentic, though not undramatic, facts of his life. He was the ruler of Syria, Northern Mesopotamia (Iraq), Palestine and Egypt and acknowledged as the successor of the first generation of Muslims who had conquered half of then known world. But when this powerful and the generous ruler of the vast Muslim empire died in the year 1193 A.D at the age of 55 years, he had not left enough money to be paid for his funeral⁴³ just like the great

Caliph Abu Bakr who was buried according to his will in his old clothes without burdening the exchequer for his own self. He said: "A living man deserves to have new clothes. The war in Islam, therefore is not for self aggrandizement

Caliph Abu Bakr enjoined upon Usama while he was proceeding to Palestine: "I enjoin upon you the fear of God. Do not disobey, do not cheat, do not show cowardice, do not destroy churches, do not inundate palm trees, do not destroy cultivation, do not cut down fruit trees, do not kill old men, boys, children or women."43-A

In the battlefield, Sultan Salahuddin observed these noble rules prescribed by the Prophet of God and Caliph Syedna Abu Bakr. After the end of war this powerful ruler of Egypt, Syria, Mesopotamia (Iraq) and Palestine, maintained peace and harmony throughout his dominion. Jihad in Islam is not for worldly gains or to amass wealth according to Quran and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet. The noble Quran says: "Make ready against them (enemies)

whatever force and war mounts you are able to muster, so that you might deter thereby the enemies of God, who are your enemies as well. (8: 60)43-B “And if they incline to peace, incline thou to it as well, and place trust in God.”43-C

The noble Prophet said: “Do not be eager to meet the enemy, perhaps you may be put to test by them, but rather say: O God! Suffice for us, and keep their might away from us.”43-D

These were the rules and regulations of war observed by the rightly guided Caliphs and their .followers like Sultan Salahuddin

Expeditions of Neo Colonial Crusaders

NEOCONS/New Conservative crusaders have extended their full support to Zionists. They are ambitious to establish a frightening state in Palestine. US has given open licence to Israel to use weapons of mass destruction with genocidal vicious agenda against the unarmed Palestinians as if they have no right to live in their own homeland. Israel’s target assassination of wheel chair bound paraplegic spiritual leader of Palestine, Sheikh Ahmad Yasin along with his son and companions was sheer an act of state terrorism, which was condemned by all the Governments of East and West except the United States, which has always sponsored and encouraged Israel in its inhuman activism. US Government is guilty of committing war crimes genocide, illegal detention, denial of justice and violation of human rights. A report of Human Rights Watch on Afghanistan says that US administration’s practice and refusal to follow the Geneva Convention or .any other rule of law has led to heinous abuses in violation of fundamental American values

Lord Johan Van Zyal Steyn, who sits as a Lord of Appeal on the Britain's highest court, made a scathing indictment of American justice. We are reproducing short

ts you are able to muster, so he enemies of God, who are 43-B “And if they incline to , and place trust in God.”43-C

1: “Do not be eager to meet be put to test by them, but or us, and keep their might

s and regulations of war l Caliphs and their followers

Crusaders

nservative crusaders have Zionists. They are ambitious s in Palestine. US has given weapons of mass destruction nda against the unarmed o right to live in their own sassination of wheel chair eader of Palestine, Sheikh son and companions was which was condemned by all d West except the United sored and encouraged Israel S Government is guilty of ide, illegal detention, denial an rights. A report of Human ays that US administration’s the Geneva Convention or heinous abuses .in violation s

Steyn, who sits as a Lord of est court, made a scathing . We are reproducing short

:excerpt from Lord Steyn’s speech which he delivered in London on November 25, 2003

What takes place at Guantanamo Bay today in the name of the US will assuredly in due course,“ be judged at the bar of informed international opinion. As matter stands at present, the US court would refuse to hear a prisoner at Guantanamo Bay who produces credible evidence that he has been and is being tortured. They would refuse to hear prisoners, who assert that they were not combatants at all. The blanket Presidential order deprives them all of any rights whatsoever. As a

lawyer brought up to admire the ideals of American democracy and justice, I would have to say
that I regard this as a monstrous failure of justice.”⁴⁴

It reminds us of Inquisition established in Spain in 1478, when Christian regained power 800 years after Muslim rule. These tribunals operated with great severity against Muslims and the Jews. They were notorious for the use of torture till the 19th century. They have acted as interrogators, prosecutors, defence counsel, judges and executioners of death sentence on refusing conversion to Christianity. The conversion to Christianity from Islam was at the point of sword in Europe

The immoral and torturous criminal acts committed by US male and female soldiers giving electric shocks to the private parts and urinating over the faces of tied up prisoners at Abu Gharib Jail of Iraq and killing them after severe beatings and rape are unforgivable crimes against humanity. The detainees were forced to abandon their religion to save their skin. This is the darkest phase of human society. How they claim to be the champions of the human rights

Islam abhors inhuman and ill treatment with the prisoners of war. They were treated with kindness in compliance with the directive of the holy Prophet. No one

was ever forced to embrace Islam. The world has witnessed this fact even during the 'orthodox' Taliban Government of Mulla Omar in Afghanistan before the US invasion in 2001. Yvonne Ridley, British born journalist who remained as a captive of Taliban is the living witness to this reality.

The noble Prophet of God after conquest of Makkah did not kill the war lords, but declared a general amnesty even for his arch enemies.

Huntington's Clash of Civilizations

The question is why only Islam and the Muslims are target of tyranny and repression. The answer has been given by Mr. Samuel. P. Huntington in his book "The clash of civilizations". He says: "A comparable mix of factors has increased the conflict between Islam and the West in the late twentieth century. First, Muslim population growth has generated a large number of unemployed and disaffected young people who become recruits to Islamist causes, exert pressure on neighbouring societies, and migrate to the West. Second, the Islamic resurgence has given Muslims renewed confidence in the distinctive character and worth of their civilization and values compared to those of the West. Third, the West's simultaneous efforts to universalize its values and institutions, to maintain its military and economic superiority, and to intervene in conflicts in the Muslim world generate intense resentment among Muslims. Fourth, the collapse of communism removed a common enemy of the West and Islam and left each the perceived major threat to the other. Fifth, the increasing contact between intermingling of Muslims and Westerners

stimulate in each a new sense of their own identity and how it differs from that of the other. Interaction and intermingling also exacerbate differences over the rights of the members of one civilization in a country dominated by members of the other civilization. Within both Muslim and Christian

t. The world has witnessed x' Taliban Government of fore the US invasion in n journalist who
 .remained g witness to this reality

after conquest of Makkah eclared a general amnesty

ons

slam and the Muslims are . The answer has been on in his book "The clash mparable mix of
 factors n Islam and the West in Muslim population growth r of unemployed and come recruits to
 Islamist hbouring societies, and Islamic resurgence has ence in the distinctive civilization and
 values est. Third, the West's salize its values and military and economic conflicts in the Muslim
 among Muslims. Fourth, ed a common enemy of e perceived major threat sing contact between
 erners stimulate in each and how it differs from nd intermingling also hts of the members of ated
 by members of the Muslim and Christian

.societies, tolerance for the other declined sharply in the 1980s and 1990s

The causes of the renewed conflict between Islam and the West thus lie in fundamental questions
 of power and culture. Ko? Kovo? Who is to rule? Who is to be ruled? The central issue of politics
 defined by V.I Lenin (1870- 1924) is the root of the contest between Islam and the West. There
 is, however, the additional conflict, which Lenin would have considered meaningless, between two
 different versions of what is right and what is wrong and, as a consequences, who is right and who
 is wrong. So long as Islam remains Islam (which it will) and the West remains the West (which
 is more dubious), this fundamental conflict between two great civilizations and ways of life will

continue to define their relations in the future even as it has defined them for the past fourteen centuries."44-A

Mr. George W. Bush as Commander-in-Chief of American Armed forces in 2001 declared "crusade" against a Muslim state of Afghanistan. U.S Government is projecting his image as a crusader of 21st century accepting Huntington's theory of clash of civilization rhetoric. Former US Secretary Mr. Powel was blunt enough to make a statement before 9/11 inquiry commission in the month of March 2004 that "US had launched the crusade to topple Taliban Government". The Taliban were declared as Mujahideen by America, when they were fighting against the Communist Soviet Union, but when they started rebuilding their country on Islamic model after defeating Russia a secular super power of the world, American Administration of NeoCons apprehended it to be a threat to its unipolar imperialist power. Such is U.S Government's hidden fear or phobia of Muslim potential power that crusade idea still persists into the present 21st century. "The conviction that, in certain circumstances, war might be just become more deeply enrooted in the conscience of the

West.⁴⁵ Thus the war is going on in the name of crusade and so-called democracy. Nuclear destruction of Hiroshima and Nagasaki of Japan in August, 1945 was American democratic gift to 20th century world. Since last decade of past century till present time U.S Governments and its western allies are raining death and destruction upon the people of Iraq for imposing their brand of democracy. It would serve twofold purposes; Firstly, occupation of the oil producing country of Iraq in order to quench its imperialistic thirst and to boost the market economy and secondly, to .crush the Muslim threat of insurgence

The irony is that the Christians and the Jews have comfortably forgotten the generosity of Muslim rulers. We would like to shed light on dynamic rule of the Muslims in Spain in the next chapter to .show their humane behaviour towards the non-Muslim citizens and their contribution to the West

CHAPTER VIII

Muslim Spain (Andalusia)

Emergence of civilization and slanderous attack on Prophet of Islam

Looking back in the history, wherever in Asia, Africa, East and the West and whenever, the Muslims have been in power, they shared living peacefully with the people of other faiths. We would not give the chronicle account of Muslim historians, lest they may be deemed to be supporters of their own cause, but present the events through our adversaries

Historians of the West tell us that when Europe was deep in its dark ages of ignorance, Tariq crossed the Strait of Gibraltar in Spain not on his own initiative, but was invited by Visigoths—Romanized Christians. Muslims on their arrival brought radical change and revolutionized the entire social order in the country. The new regime relaxed the heavy burden of taxes. The Jews were no longer persecuted but placed on an equal footings with Hispano—Romans & Goths, who remained within the Christian fold. Serfs, who accepted Islam were treated as free citizens of the state. Thus in the first half of the 8th century, a new and entirely different society was evolved in Muslim Spain. It is universally recognized that with establishment of Muslim rule in Spain, a dynamic civilization emerged from there and rapidly spread across the primitive societies of the

Europe.⁴⁵

Seat of Learning

Lane Poole with reference to his predecessor Dozy, a well known orientalist/historian presents us innumerable cities that sprang up in Andalusia (Muslim Spain) under the Muslim rule as shining examples of civilized and enlightened state. Arts, literature, science, mathematics

astronomy, botany, chemistry, medicine, history, philosophy, law and jurisprudence were to be mastered in Spain. No other country in Europe ever succeeded in attaining the cultured dominion of Muslims. Students flocked from Asia, Africa, Arabia, and mostly from France, Germany, England and other parts of the West to drink from the fountains of the learning which flowed only in the cities of Muslim Spain. These students had free lodging and boarding and received education free of charge upto university level. Even centuries later eminent English scholars like Adelard Bath and Roger Bacon were advising European students to go to Muslim schools in preference to native ones.⁴⁶

Ibn-e-Khaldun

From Spain arose Ibn Khaldun (1332-1406) who wrote his massive masterpiece, "The Muqaddimah" which introduced historical methodology, providing the criteria for sifting historical truth from error. Robert Flint, an eminent scholar, eulogized him" as a theorist on history he had no equal in any age or country. Plato, Aristotle, Augustine were not his peers. Twentieth century English historian Arnold Toynbee has described his amazing original work as "a philosophy of history which is undoubtedly the greatest work of its kind that has ever been created by any mind at any time or place". Above this, his study of nature of society and social change led him to .evolve a new science, which he called "Ilm al Umran" the science of culture and human society

His unique work is studded with brilliant observation on historiography, economic, sociology, politics, education and human psychology. It is acknowledged by all great scholars of social

science that Ibn-e-Khaldun presented concept of "asabiyah" (social cohesion) to the world for the first time which has provided the new dimensions to the life of society.⁴⁷

Besides being occupie work, his was a restless soul. V Al Azhar, associated as Minis Chief Justice of Egypt and als the King of Egypt fought a became prisoner of war but th Timur treated him with grea knowledge and wisdom and d unique historian.⁴⁸

We have briefly spotl of Ibn-e-Khaldun so that ou rational approach of the great 14th century to integrate "asabiyah" i.e. social cohe mentality of Samuel Hunti disintegrate the mankind ,civilizations, which, in fact

Abdur Rehman I (756-788

Muslim Spain mar During the period of Abd despite internal trouble Rehman-I was the great on ambitious Charlemagne, R

Abdur Rehman II

great political and cultural maintained friendly relat Frankish King Charles managed to subdue the i Christian zealots incited Alvaro. The misguided fa of Islam publicly to unde state. First, Abdur Rehma to retract from their disavowed by their own

medicine, history, were to be mastered in order ever succeeded in of Muslims. Students and , mostly from France, of the West to drink which flowed only in the tents had free lodging and free of charge upto later eminent English er Bacon were advising schools in preference to dun (1332-1406) who Muqaddimah" which providing the criteria for. Robert Flint, an theorist on history he ntry. Plato, Aristotle, tieth century English scribed his amazing of history which is ind that has ever been ace". Above this, his l change led him to "Ilm al Umran" the ded with brilliant onomic, sociology, psychology. It is social science that "asabiyah" (social which has provided .47

Besides being occupied with highly intellectual work, his was a restless soul. We find him as a professor at Al Azhar, associated as Minister of several governments, Chief Justice of Egypt and also a brave soldier, who with the King of Egypt fought against Timur. After defeat, became prisoner of war but the ferocious world conqueror Timur treated him with great respect for his profound knowledge and wisdom and discussed geography with this unique historian.48

We have briefly spotlighted the monumental work of Ibn-e-Khaldun so that our readers may appreciate the rational approach of the great Muslim historian in the era of 14th century to integrate the human society through "asabiyah" i.e. social cohesion and how so low is the mentality of Samuel Huntington, a Christian zealot, to disintegrate the mankind by his theory of .clash of civilizations, which, in fact, is the clash of religions

Abdur Rehman I (756-788 A.D)

Muslim Spain marked the zenith of civilization. During the period of Abdur Rehman and his successor despite internal trouble and external threats, Abdur Rehman-I was the great organizer .and he had to cope with ambitious Charlemagne, Roam Emperor of 8th century

Abdur Rehman II (822-852) inaugurated the era of great political and cultural splendour for Muslim Spain. He maintained friendly relations with the Byzantine empire Frankish King Charles and Sovereigns of Thart. He managed to subdue the intriguing hysterical campaign of Christian zealots incited by extremist chiefs Eulogies and Alvaro. The misguided fanatics began to revile the Prophet of Islam publicly to undermine the foundations of Muslim state. First, Abdur Rehman sought to persuade the criminals to retract from their nefarious activities but as they disavowed by their own ecclesiastical authorities, he was

obliged to impose the death penalty as required by the Islamic Law. Lane Pool commenting on this activist movement says that “those stupid misguided Christians threw away their lives without cause.”⁴⁹

Abdur Rehman-III (912-961) Lane Pole, paying tributes to Abdur Rehman-III says that it was he who had attained the high ideal of kingly greatness. A great King is the result of a great need. Under him and his son Al Hakam II(961-976) Andalusia enjoyed its prime of affluence, fame and influence. He brought about such a change in the condition of Spain as the wildest imagination could hardly conjure up. Out of chaos and imminent destruction Abdur Rehman had evolved order and prosperity. He restored peace and good governance throughout the Muslim domains. Never was the state so triumphant over disorder and the rule of law so widely felt and respected as during 50 years of his rule. The Sultan kept his treaties with Christians in good faith and observed the utmost clemency to those who remained faithful to the state. Ambassadors came to pay him court from the Emperor Constantinople, from the Kings of France, of Germany and of Italy. His power, wisdom, and opulence were a byword over Europe, Africa and the farthest limits of Muslim Empire in Asia. Berbers being associated with Muslims were no more barbaric, but became men of character. Same was the case with Spaniards who cheerfully adopted Islam and their conduct was dictated by the strict observance of the religion during the period of Muslim rule in Spain. Never was Andalusia so well cultivated, so teeming with gifts of nature, brought to perfection by the skill of industry of men as during his long rule

Never was Cordoba so rich and prosperous as under the rule of Sultan Abdur Rehman III, who proclaimed himself to be caliph in 929 A.D and defender of faith. Cordoba, according to Arab writers was the bride of

lty as required by the nting on this activist misguided Christians „49

l) Lane Pole, paying hat it was he who had tness. A great King is and his son Al Hakam me of affluence, fame uch a change in the gination could hardly ent destruction Abdur sperity. He restored oughout the Muslim nphant over disorder l respected as during ept his treaties with the utmost clemency state. Ambassadors eror Constantinople, y and of Italy. His yword over Europe, m Empire in Asia. ms were no more Same was the case d Islam and their observance of the le in Spain. Never eming with gifts of of industry of men

rosperous as under , who proclaimed defender of faith. was the bride of Andalusia and a German orthodox nurse lamenting on the crucifixion of Eulogies, describe ".Cordoba as jewel of the world, young and exquisite, proud in its might

Qurtabah, says Al-Maaqasi, a learned man of Andulas, "Surpass all the cities of the world in four principal features: its bridge over the Guadalquivir (a corrupted Arabic name of Al Wadi-al-Kabir), its grand mosque, its beautiful palace Al-Zahra. above all the sciences cultivated and ".developed there

Qurtubah (Arabic origin Qaryah-Tayyibah) was a pristine pleasant town. When all Europe was plunged in darkness and ignorance and savage manners, Qurtubah was intellectual capital of the Europe. It had carved its place as the most civilized city due to its material prosperity and higher intellectual attainments. Arts, literature and science prospered there as nowhere else in Europe. The surgeons and doctors were in the van of science. Abdul Qasim Al- Zahrawi (d.1013) was the

founder of European surgery. His work was translated into Latin (1187) and was brought to Oxford, as late as 1778.⁵⁰ Women were encouraged to devote themselves to serious study of science and medicine and lady doctors were not unknown among the people of Qurdoba.⁵¹

Mosque of Qurtabah and Taj Mahal

Abdur Rehman and al Hakam's contribution to the Grand mosque of Qurtabah is still the wonder and delight to travelers. Iqbal, the poet of the East immortalized it in his classical poem; Masjid-e-Qurtabah. It is the most beautiful mosque ever built and there is no equal of it in the world. Taj Mahal of India is also one of the beautiful monuments of Muslim architectural art. But it is a dream of an emperor's love wrought into marble for the departed soul of his beloved queen.

Unlike Taj, mosque of Qurtabah is human accomplishment of universal inspiration

reflecting absolute beauty of cosmos and the aesthetic dimensions of Islamic social life and Muslim civilization with its historical background. It served as a symbol of Islamic democratic and socialistic institutions existed centuries before the dawn of western democracies. There in the .mosque the ruler and the commoner stood side by side with the spirit of equality and brotherhood

The probable dimension of the great mosque with the splendid gates was within the area of not less than 10 miles.⁵² The whole city was dotted with beautiful buildings, 50,000 houses for the state functionaries, 1,30,000 houses for common people, 37 libraries, numerous book stores, 150 hospitals, 900 educational institutions, 80455 shops, 600 inns, 700 mosques and as many as 900 public baths.⁵³ Baths are important features of civic life of Muslims who believe physical cleanliness as half of their faith and an essential preparation for prayers and recitation of holy Quran. While the monks and nuns of Europe boasted of their filthiness, so much so that a nun recorded with pride the fact that upto the age of sixty she had never washed any part of her body.⁵⁴ Christians usually forbade washing and even the scholars in Oxford and Paris regarded bathing as a heathen practice.⁵⁵ The people of Qurtabah enjoyed a high standard of living and refinement and walked on paved streets with lamp posts giving light at night. All this at a time when Parisians and Londoners were still trudging on muddy, dark streets.⁵⁶ The gardens of Qurtabah had tempting names, which seems to invite one to repose beside the trickling waters and .enjoy the sweet scent of flowers and fruits

Great thinkers of Qurtabah

Besides the social and cultured life, the Qurtabah was an international intellectual centre of the world. It was the house of influential thinker of Islam like Ibn-Rushd (Averroes (1126-1198),

first commentator of Aristotelian

Philosophy in Europe, the gre Mohiuddin Ibn Arabi, founde Unity of Being” (Wahdat-al (994-1064), grandson of a Spa most original thinkers whose al-Milal-wa-Al Nihal in whic Christianity and Zoroastrianism genius His varied character ce jurisprudence, logic, history and theology. He exhibited a profound insights about t relationship.^{56-A} Professor Egyptian Scholar differs with ancestors were Arab. This i historians, geographers, polit We have narrated the historic in the words of Christian comparative study of supreme in Spain with that of contem respect to the free education, all spheres of life. They intro minded tolerance to all relig priesthood in Islam. No one God. So one can claim to be s pity (taqwah). The powerful compelling atmosphere of m (natives of Spain) towards Is growing religion in Muslim orthodox priests had lost th state, so a group of ambitio was misguided and incite Eulogius and his associate create 'monstrous trouble f Muslim dynasty. Most of the anxious to emphasize their c well treated, free to wors

Philosophy in Europe, the greatest Sufi, Sheikh-ul-Akbar Mohiuddin Ibn Arabi, founder of mystics school of "The Unity of Being" (Wahdat-al Wajud), Imam Ibn Hazam (994-1064), grandson of a Spanish convert and one of the most original thinkers whose decisive work is al-Fasal Fi- al-Milal-wa-Al Nihal in which he treats Islam, Judaism, Christianity and Zoroastrianism. Ibn Hazam was a versatile genius His varied character covers an impressive range of jurisprudence, logic, history ethics, comparative religion and theology. He exhibited a sensitive spirit and expressed profound insights about the dimensions of human relationship.^{56-A} Professor Muhammad abu Zuhara, Egyptian Scholar differs with this view and says that his ancestors were Arab. This is not the exhaustive list of historians, geographers, political thinkers of that period. We have narrated the historical background in some detail in the words of Christian writers for the purposes of comparative study of supreme art of peace of Muslim rulers in Spain with that of contemporary Kings of Europe with respect to the free education, moderation and temperance in all spheres of life. They introduced humanitarianism, broad minded tolerance to all religions. Above all there is no priesthood in Islam. No one is mediator between man and God. So one can claim to be superior only on the ground of piety (taqwah). The powerful appeal of equality of man and compelling atmosphere of mosques attracted the Spaniards (natives of Spain) towards Islam, which became the fastest growing religion in Muslim dominions of Spain. As the orthodox priests had lost their authority of church over state, so a group of ambitious and enthusiastic Christians was misguided and incited by the extremist's chief, Eulogius and his associate Alvaro. They attempted to create monstrous trouble for the peaceful reign of the Muslim dynasty. Most of the

Christians were by no means anxious to emphasize their creed as they found themselves well treated, free to worship as they pleased with no

hindrance from the rulers or their functionaries and also free to trade with their Muslim neighbours. The Christians and the Jews were holding high positions in the state administration. But the zealots especially Eulogius and his companion Alvario instigated their followers to revile publicly the Prophet of God, which could not be tolerated by the rulers and Muslim citizen of Qurtabah, as the noble Prophet is the nucleus of their faith

Tolerance of Muslim Rulers

Muslim rulers left the fanatics unpunished, who even reviled and insulted them before public. Once one of the leaders of rebels was dragged before Al Hakam. Addressing the Sultan in the heat of emotional rage the rebel leader said that he hated him as he was obeying the command of God. The king made the memorable reply: "He, who commanded thee, as thou dost pretend to hate me, commands me to pardon thee. Go and live, in God's protection."⁵⁷ But so far as the highly sensitive issue of the dignity of the Prophet of God is concerned, no Muslim ruler of Spain let any blasphemer go unpunished when his guilt is proved by the court after affording him an opportunity of hearing. Taking undue advantage of tolerance and benevolence of the rulers, the zealots started the hysterical movement of blasphemy which was intensified during the period of Abdur Rehman .II

In the next chapter we would describe the malicious and highly provocative activities of the fanatic clergy in Muslim Spain especially in its delightful capital Qurtubah during the period of peace and tranquility of Muslim rule as narrated by the well-known historians, Reinhart Dozy and

.Stanley Lane Pole

BLASPHEMY MOV

When Muslims became they treated the Christians with the reign of Abd-al-Rahman ruler, several hundred thousand fold of Islam. In fact they were decent social behaviour of the So writes Reinhart D Cordova in his famous book Christians many and those that bewailing their lot; they suffered allowed the free exercise of content with the government in Army while others held Court. At the same time they profess, practise and propagate for the pupils of their community.

Eulogius and Flora

In Muslim Spain, Eulogius the priest of the church of S. by fasting and showed the level And yet his religious life and feeling of sexual love which candour. Reinhart Dozy often character, saying that .inspired special pleasure

There was then living girl named Flora between whom Eulogius grew up a strange mixed marriage, she was born however, having died during been instructed by her mother brother, a devoted .Muslim, attend the church frequently

BLASPHEMY MOVEMENT IN SPAIN

When Muslims became rulers of Andalus (Spain), they treated the Christians with grace and modesty. During the reign of Abd-al-Rahman III, who was a kind-hearted ruler, several hundred thousands of Christian came into the fold of Islam. Infact they were much impressed by the decent .social behaviour of the Muslims

So writes Reinhart Dozy about the Christians of Cordova in his famous book "Spanish Islam" "The Christians many and those the most enlightened were far bewailing their lot; they suffered no persecution, they were allowed the free exercise of their religion, so they were content with the government policy. Many of them served in Army while others held lucrative posts at the Royal Court. At the same time the clergy had also the right to profess, practise and propagate their ".religious instructions for the pupils of their community

Eulogius and Flora

In Muslim Spain, Elogius was the first deacon and the priest of the church of S. Zoilus. He mortified the flesh by fasting and showed the least interest in worldly affairs. And yet his religious life and gloom is stained with intense feeling of sexual love which he confessed with delightful condour. Reinhert Dozy often alludes to this aspect of his character, saying that inspection of .nunneries gave him special pleasure

There was then living in Cordova a young beautiful girl named Flora between whom and a sexy-starved priest Eulogius grew up a strange mystical relation. The child of a mixed marriage, she was born as a Muslim , her father, however, having died during her early infancy, she had been instructed by her mother in Christian faith, but her brother, a devoted Muslim, did not like that she should attend the church frequently. This constraint weighted upon

Flora's mind and without her brother's knowledge she left her home with her sister. She under the apprehension that Christians may be persecuted on her account, returned voluntarily to her home and appeared before brother and declared that she was Christian whereupon he asked her: "Do you know, unhappy girl that by our law the penalty of apostasy is capital punishment". "I know well" she replied and said that she would find happiness in death. She was given beatings but it produced no effect. Her brother seeing that violence was fruitless, endeavoured to influence her by gentle persuasion. This proving equally ineffective, he took her to the court of Kadhi, before whom she rebuked her brother and denounced Islam. Kadhi after awarding punishment of whipping asked her brother to instruct her in the law of the state, as she was a minor at that time. If she did not change her mind, she should be brought back to him. Again she escaped from the house of her brother under the cover of night and remained in concealment for a considerable period in the house of a Christian acquaintance and it was there Eulogius saw her for the first time. Flora's beauty, the irresistible charm of her speech and manners, her romantic adventures, all combined to inflame the imagination of young priest accustomed though he was to self mistrust and self restraint.

Fearing his arrest he left Flora for somewhere else. Flora also disappeared from Cordova to seek refuge outside the city. After a lapse of six years he communicated his message of love to her recalling in details the deep romantic remembrance of their first meeting. In a passionate letter he wrote: "When I departed from there I was as one that walketh in a dream

After her search at different places Flora was recovered and arrested with her Christian companion Mary whose brother was among the six monks who had offered themselves for punishment of death for committing

gross contempt of the Prophet before the court of Kadhi, defaming the holy Prophet. So the proceedings of court. Eulo once again when she was in Marry. Here Flora related him and her adamant attitude in the those words" he wrote "from th I strave to confirm her in her crown which was laid up for he and her companion Mary pe scaffold, he writes: "24 Nove his triumph". "My brother" "our virgins (Flora and Mary) joyously to meet the brideg heavens. Invited to the marria entered the abode of the b Stanley Lane Poole says: "Flo she had sacrificed herself for a

Such events redoubled the clergy of Cordova. They cells and hiding places to laun publicly. The goal of their lon this end they had merely to regard a monk Isaac set an Christian parents of Cordov Arabic. He was still a raw you a Katib (secretary) in the cour But at the age of 24, he ga ensconced himself in the co studied the books of biased pr of an overpowering sentim holiness by sacrificing his monastery and appeared be Cordova and said: "I want to

other's knowledge she left under the apprehension that on her account, returned before brother and whereupon he asked her: by our law the penalty of "I know well" she replied piety in death. She was effect. Her brother seeing unable to influence her by usually ineffective, he took one whom she rebuked her Kadhi after awarding her brother to instruct her in minor at that time. If she could be brought back to the house of her brother hidden in concealment for a house of a Christian gius saw her for the first time charm of her speech nature, all combined to priest accustomed though faint for somewhere else. As to seek refuge outside as he communicated his story in details the deep first meeting. In a I departed from there I

different places Flora her Christian companion the six monks who had died of death for committing gross contempt of the Prophet. When they were brought before the court of Kadhi, they did not retract from defaming the holy Prophet. So they were kept in jail during the proceedings of court. Eulogius managed to meet Flora once again when she was in prison along with her friend Marry. Here Flora related him the blasphemy proceedings and her adamant attitude in the court of Kadhi. "As I heard those words" he wrote "from those lips sweeter than honey, I strived to confirm her in her resolve by pointing to the crown which was laid up for her". The day which saw Flora and her companion Mary persisting themselves on the scaffold, he writes: "24 November 851 A.D was a day of his triumph". "My brother" he further writes to Alvaro, "our virgins (Flora and Mary) instructed by us have gone joyously to meet the bridegroom who reigned in the heavens. Invited to the marriage feast by Christ they have entered the abode of the blessed." Commenting on it

Stanley Lane Poole says: "Flora was as real a heroine as if she had sacrificed herself for a worthy sake".57-A

Such events redoubled the hatred and fanaticism of the clergy of Cordova. They decided to come out of their cells and hiding places to launch the blasphemy movement publicly. The goal of their longing was death so to achieve this end they had merely to revile the Prophet. In this regard a monk Isaac set an example. Born of wealthy Christian parents of Cordova, Isaac was well versed in Arabic. He was still a raw youth, when he was appointed as a Katib (secretary) in the court of Amir Abd-ur-Rahman II. But at the age of 24, he gave up the charm of life and ensconced himself in the convent of Tabanos. There he studied the books of biased priests and came under the spell of an overpowering sentiments that he should achieve holiness by sacrificing his life. One day he left the monastery and appeared before the Court of Khadi of Cordova and said: "I want to
"embrace your religion. Kindly

instruct me in it". Pleased with it, the Kadhi began to explain the Islamic discipline and tried to
 .bring him around

But during the discourse, due to access of fanaticism which bordered on frenzy, he directly defiled the sacred name of the holy Prophet and used nonsensical derogatory remarks against him. The kadhi opened his lips without being able to utter a word, and shedding tears smote Isaac on his cheek. "Calm down yourself" said the Counselors "Be mindful of your prestigious status and remember that our Islamic law forbids us to insult even the man who has been condemned to
 ."death

Unhappy man" said the Kadhi addressing the monk "perchance you are drunk or have lost the" reason and don't you know the immutable law of Islam. You have spoken so recklessly about the
 ".Prophet that comes within the mischief of law of blasphemy

Condemn me to death" insisted the monk, "I am longing for it". The death sentence of the Monk "
 .was executed by the order of the King Abdur Rehman on June 3, 851

Two days after Isaac's execution one of the Sultan's guard named Sancho blasphemed the Prophet. He was also put to death. On 7th June six monks among whom was Jeremias Isaac's Uncle and one Habentius appeared before Kadhi and cried: "We also echo the words of our holy Brothers." They all were beheaded. Thereafter; two other priests who blasphemed the holy Prophet also went to the gallows. But the majority of Christians who had been living in peace were naturally perturbed by this strange outbreak. "The Sultan", they said to zealots, "allowed us to exercise our

own religion and does not oppress us, to what purpose, then, is this fanatical zeal? Those whom you dub martyrs are nothing of the kind; they suicide to cause chaos which is sinful in

Christianity. Had they read Gospel they would

have found written therein: "I them that hate you." Inst Muhammad they ought to h of Jesus

"Slanderers shall no Such were the arguments us but majority of the priests annoyed that they

rebuked preaching tolerance and pea they were inciting hatred Christian endowed with an a was

Katib (secretary to the able to speak and write Ara elegance. He had supreme disapproved the

suicidal a any reason.58

Council of Bishops to prev

The Muslim gover this strange form of revolt vengeance. The pressing p how to prevent this

activit of faith. If these man Muhammad, the penalty w law of Islam. The Sultan powers at his

command to force, did not do so and p in a dignified way. He, Bishops for their conse church to

.declare a blasphemous death as martyrdom. T Christian henceforth

Gomez played an declaration by this representative of the crow promulgated with the Eulogius and

his friend u

.with it, the Kadhi began to nd tried to bring him around

course, due to access of renzy, he directly defiled the ophet and used nonsensical m. The kadhi opened his lips word, and shedding tears lm down yourself" said the our prestigious status and . "forbids us to insult even the o death

the Kadhi addressing the or have lost the reason and e law of Islam. You have Prophet that comes ".within y

insisted the monk, "I am ntence of the Monk was ng Abdur Rehman on June '

ecution one of the Sultan's d the Prophet. He was also monks among whom was Habentius appeared before to the words of our holy ded. Thereafter; two other y Prophet also went to the stians who had been living d by this strange outbreak. s, "allowed us to exercise press us, to what

purpose, e whom you dub martyrs cide to cause chaos which y read Gospel they would have found written therein: "Love your enemies, do good to them that hate you." Instead of reviling the Prophet Muhammad they ought to have borne in mind, the saying of Jesus "Slanderers shall not enter the Kingdom of God". Such were the arguments used not only by common man but majority of the priests as well. And Alvaro were so annoyed that they rebuked the priests who had been preaching tolerance and peace with unbelievers. Especially they were inciting hatred against Gomez, a modest Christian endowed with an active and penetrating mind. He was Katib (secretary to the Government of Spain) and was able to speak and write Arabic with remarkable

purity and elegance. He had supreme contempt for fanaticism and disapproved the suicidal acts of the poor priests without any reason.⁵⁸

Council of Bishops to prevent blasphemy movement

The Muslim government of Spain was alarmed at this strange form of revolt with savage desire of political vengeance. The pressing problem for the government was how to prevent this activity of self-destruction in the name of faith. If these maniacs blasphemed the Prophet Muhammad, the penalty was death according to immutable law of Islam. The Sultan Abdur Rehman, having all the powers at his command to crush the seditious movement by force, did not do so and proceeded to resolve this situation in a dignified way. He, therefore, formed a council of Bishops for their consensus of opinion to prevent the church to declare a blasphemer's self imposed penalty of death as martyrdom. The council resolved to forbid Christian henceforth

Gomez played an important role in obtaining this declaration by this ecclesiastical council as the representative of the crown. When the decree of the council promulgated with the approval of the chamberlain, Eulogius and his friend used it as an instrument against the

council. They arrogantly defied the authority of prelacy without foreseeing the consequences of their own audacity. The chief of Metropolitan Church, faithful to his promise, ordered the arrest of the leaders of the faction. So the police officers after due warning arrested him in the midst of his terrified family. He and his partymen who were also hiding themselves were sent to the prison .after the arrest. All of them were tried by the established court of law and sentenced to death

Eulogius was executed on March 11, 859 AD during the period of King Muhammad son of Abdul Rehman-II. After the death of Eulogius, the Christian Zealots lost their heart and we hear no more .of their fanatic movement against the Muslim government

Karen Armstrong is also of the same opinion. In the biography of the Prophet “Muhammad” she :writes

Ninth-century Cordova was not like Bradford in 1988. The Muslims were powerful and “confident. They seemed extremely reluctant to put these Christian fanatics to death, partly because they did not seem in control of their faculties but also because they realized that last thing they needed was a martyr-cult. Muslims were not averse to hearing about other religions. Islam had been born in the religious pluralism of the Middle East, where the various faiths had coexisted for centuries. The Eastern Christian empire of Byzantium likewise permitted minority religious groups liberty to practice the faith and to manage their own religious affairs. There was no law against propaganda efforts by Christians in the Islamic empire, provided they did not attack the beloved figure of the Prophet Muhammad. In some parts of the empire there was even an

established tradition of scepticism and freethinking which was tolerated as long as it kept within the bounds of decency and was not too disrespectful.”58-A

the authority of prelacy ces of their own audacity. n, faithful to his promise, the faction. So the police d him in the midst of his men who were also hiding n after the arrest. All of shed court of law and

.n March 11, 859 AD ammad son of Abdul Eulogius, the Christian no more of their fanatic nment

:he same opinion. In the ad" she writes

s not like Bradford in l and confident. They hese Christian fanatics ot seem in control of realized that last thing ms were not averse to had been born in the st, where the various The Eastern Christian ed minority religious to manage their own against propaganda mpire, provided they e of the Prophet re there was even an l freethinking which thin the bounds of 58-A

CHAPTER IX

The Blasphemy Law in Islamic Countries

It is well-established unanimous religious opinion prevalent in different Islamic compendium of law that the punishment of blasphemy of the holy Prophet is death. It is worth mentioning that in all ages the Muslim countries without exception had been awarding death punishment for blasphemy of the Holy Prophet. Let us say that as long as the Shariah had been under practice in Hijaz, Syria, Egypt, Sudan, Morocco, Spain, Turkey, Samarkand, Bukhara, Iran, Afghanistan and even in India throughout the Muslim period, this penalty had continued to be enforced as divine law. In fact there has been a consensus of opinion on this extremely sensitive matter. Nor for that

matter had the reasonable adherents of other religions opposed it. Because from the Islamic point of view all prophets had basically given humanity the one and the same message. Yet in terms of being close to God, moral excellence and achievements, they were certainly not analogous to each other. What is more, Islam forbids its followers to humiliate even the deities of other religions, lest they should have the excuse to do the same with regard to Allah and His Prophet. However, it prescribed the penalty of death for a despicable wretch who feels no scruple of conscience in blaspheming the holy Prophet Muhammad or other Prophets of God.

Arabian Peninsula

In the Prophetic era and post-caliphate period speaking ill of the Prophet Muhammad would bring death penalty to the culprit in the Arabian peninsula. This is born out by Abdur Razzaq, the author of Al-Musnnaf who was teacher of Imam Bukhari. He has reported that death sentence was awarded to a Christian for blaspheming the Holy Prophet during the post Khilafat period.

Egypt

In Egypt the courts gave decisions according to this law because all the schools of thought which had their own courts were united on the penalty of death for blasphemy.

In Syria: A Heinous Crime Of Conspirators

During the reign of Sultan Nuruddin Zengi (1118- 1174 AD), a heinous but abortive attempt was made by two Christians to break into the tomb of the holy Prophet Muhammad. Miraculously, Sultan Nuruddin Zengi had a dream in which the holy Prophet pointed towards two blue eyed persons and asked him", Protect me against them". The Sultan was much upset when he awoke. He offered non-obligatory cycle of prayers. When he lay down to sleep, he had the same dream. This happened thrice so he got up. After consultation with his senior minister, the Sultan began preparation for going to Medinah. On the 16th day, he reached his destination. Now the problem for him was to formulate modus operandi to achieve his object. At last the minister made the announcement that the Sultan was on a visit to Medinah and wanted to distribute gifts and bounties to the citizens of Madina. Almost all the people appeared before the Sultan and received gift from him one by one. The Sultan kept looking at the face of each person so as to identify the faces he had seen in the dream. All the people of Medinah passed by him but the culprits could not be traced. The Sultan ordered that if anyone was left, he should be presented before him. He was told that only two men could not turn up because they were too pious, self- secluded and spend all their time in worshipping Allah. They were also sent for and the Sultan recognized them

at the very first glance. "Who are you, and why are you staying here"? He asked. They replied that they belonged to the West and had come for Haj but the sight of the Prophetic tomb had held them back from returning to their country. The Sultan left both of them there and went to their quarter

in a nearby inn. But nothing the Sultan and that made him

The people of Medin persons and mentioned al including fasting, prayers an remained dissatisfied with a came into his mind and h (made of palm leaves) o underneath was a stone. W tunnel came .to sight which tomb

On inquiry they dis and had been deputed by Prophet's body and take it been digging out the tunne earth in the vicinity of Me

Sultan Nur-ud-din heard the details. But hi think that the holy Proph his humble follower for orders the two heinous morning and their bodi evening. In order to aver he got dug out a deep ci filled it with molten lead

Afghanistan

In Afghanistan a death under this law century. Allama Alusi about the enforcement Samarkand and Bukhar

in a nearby inn. But nothing suspicious was found there by the Sultan and that made him all the more perplexed.

The people of Medinah said a lot in favour of those persons and mentioned all details of their devotions, including fasting, prayers and night vigils. But the Sultan remained dissatisfied with all that, all of a sudden an idea came into his mind and he turned over the prayer-mat (made of palm leaves) of those two suspects. There underneath was a stone. When the stone was removed a tunnel came to sight which had reached up to the Prophet's tomb.

On inquiry they disclosed that they were Christians and had been deputed by their monarch to dig out the Prophet's body and take it away from the grave. They had been digging out the tunnel at night and throwing away the earth in the vicinity of Medinnah.

Sultan Nur-ud-din Zengi became furious when he heard the details. But his voice choked with emotion to think that the holy Prophet Muhammad had chosen him as his humble follower for this noble cause. On the royal orders the two heinous culprits were murdered in the morning and their bodies were consigned to fire in the evening. In order to avert such a dastardly attempt in future he got dug out a deep circular trench around the tomb and filled it with molten lead.

Afghanistan

In Afghanistan a contemptuous Qadiyani was put to death under this law before partition of India in 20th century. Allama Alusi and Allama Abu-ul-Layth tell us about the enforcement of the Blasphemy Law in Turkey, Samarkand and Bukhara.

Iran

Iran has enforced the Blasphemy Law and issued edict of death against Salman Rushdie for publicly blaspheming the holy Prophet of God. This proclamation of death was again reaffirmed by Islamic Council of Iran in 2004. Despite all western protection, Rushdie is constantly living under the shadow of death.

During Muslim Rule in Spain

On gaining political ascendancy in Spain, the Muslims brought with them a progressive culture and liberalism characterizing socio-economic justice and material welfare which directly ran counter to the fossilised anti-human outlook of the clergy. This change was, no doubt, an unwelcome sign for the feudalistic and privileged classes of Christian community but came trailing in its wake relief and uplift for the masses. The loss of clout made the church hostile against Islam and Muslim rulers, so a vindictive mood overwhelmingly came over it. If the clergy had made the Muslim rule or the political system of Islam the target of their criticism with venomous outpourings, the government would have ignored it. Traditionally, the rightly guided caliphs and Muslim rulers would large-heartedly forgive their denigrators. The ecclesiastical leadership was fully conscious of this particular trait of their character. At the same time, they knew that Muslims and their rulers were very sensitive about the insult of their Prophet. The Spanish priests hatched a mischievous conspiracy to blaspheme the Prophet of Islam.

In pursuance of this conspiracy they launched a campaign of Blasphemy against the holy Prophet in the Muslim Spain. It was highly provocative criminal activity and punishment of death was prescribed for such slanderous attacks on their beloved prophet.

All the courts of the Blasphemy Law a Justice Qazi Ayaz in same book also mention who, according to the Andalus, were given the Prophet Muhammad. We practice with regard to God in Spain in previous

During the Muslim rule

During the Muslim rule the Prophet were punished we mention two blasphemy Mughal period. One case an illiterate King, with Hindu consorts and his courtiers. All the state lines. Mulla Abdul Qasim has mentioned a historical book, Muntakhab-ut-Tawarikh the Qazi of Mathura, recorded (Sheikh Abdul Ghani, the local Muslims were arrogant Brahman to possession and started (as a Judge) wanted the said accused, he in speak ill of the Prophet The Grand Sheikh said Therefore, the King brought him to Delhi King and stated what affirmed that the Brahman the holy Prophet. The Ab ulema were divided into

blasphemy Law and issued an Rushdie for publicly f God. This proclamation of Islamic Council of . Iran in ti n, Rushdie is constantly

scendancy in Spain, the progressive culture and o-economic justice and an counter to the fossilised gy. This change was, no feudalistic and privileged but came trailing in its asses. The loss of clout Islam and Muslim rulers, ngly came over it. If the or the political system of ticism with venomous ould have ignored it. aliphs and Muslim rulers their denigrators. The lly conscious of this At the same time, they ers were very sensitive t. The Spanish priests cy to blaspheme the

.iracy they launched a e holy Prophet in the cative criminal activity prescribed for such rophet

All the courts throughout Muslim Spain enforced the Blasphemy Law as mentioned by Cordoba's Chief Justice Qazi Ayaz in his classical book Ash-Shifa. The same book also mentions several cases of blasphemers who, according to unanimous decision of the ulema of Andalus, were given death penalty for slandering the holy Prophet Muhammad. We have given in detail the law and practice with regard to blasphemy of the holy Prophet of God in Spain in previous chapter of this .book

During the Muslim rule in India

During the Muslim rule in India, the slanderers of the Prophet were punished under the Blasphemy Law. Here we mention two blasphemy cases which took place in the Mughal period. One case is related to the period of Akbar, an illiterate King, who was under the influence of his Hindu consorts and had been misled by his sycophant courtiers. All the state affairs were being run on

secular lines. Mulla Abdul Qadir Badayuni, a courtier of Akbar, has mentioned a historic case of blasphemy in detail in his book, Muntakheb-ut-Tawareekh. He writes: "Abdur Rahim, the Qazi of Mathra, referred a case to the Grand Sheikh (Sheikh Abdul Ghani, Chief Justice of India), stating that the local Muslims wanted to erect a mosque. But a wealthy, arrogant Brahman took the construction material in his possession and started building a temple with it. When he (as a Judge) wanted to initiate penal proceedings against the said accused, he in the presence of witnesses, began to speak ill of the Prophet and wildly abused the Muslims. The Grand Sheikh sent for him, but he refused to appear. Therefore, the King sent Birbal and Abu-al-Fadhal who brought him to Delhi. Abul-Fadhal submitted report to the King and stated what he had heard from the witnesses. He affirmed that the Brahman used abusive language against the holy Prophet. About the punishment of blasphemy the ulema were divided into two groups. One group was of the

view that death penalty should be awarded to the blasphemer and the other group laid stress on
punitive action against him.

The matter was prolonged and the Sheikh urged the King to give assent to his judgment for execution of the sentence. The King did not allow it explicitly and vaguely remarked: "This is
"?Shariah matter and its implementation is within your jurisdiction. Why do you seek our opinion

The Brahman remained in prison for a long period on account of this dispute. The consorts in the palace kept entreating with the King for his release. Since Akbar had great regard for the Sheikh, he did not give order of his release. When the Sheikh persistently urged the King to order the
".execution of the Brahman, he gave same reply: "I have already told you to do what you deem fit

Immediately after this the Sheikh ordered the execution of the Brahman. Consequently he was
".beheaded

Mullah Abdul Qadir Badayuni, who knew all the details of the case, further says: "Suddenly one day, the King caught sight of me from a distance and asked me to come forward. When I reached nearer the king, he said: Have you heard about the saying of the Prophet that if ninety-nine witnesses go against an offender and one witness goes in his favour, a Mufti would prefer evidence of one witness. I said: 'yes my lord, it is' exactly so. I quoted the Prophetic saying and translated it into Persian for him. 'Punishment should be avoided in case of doubt.'" However, I said: "the case was decided according to Maliki Fiqh. Then the king asked me, was the Sheikh
?"".unaware of this moot-point

Despite the promptings of his Hindu consorts and sycophant courtiers, Akbar could not dare to
hold the

Sheikh accountable for this action because he knew that the majority of ulema were in favour of
 .the Sheikh' decision

The other important case is related to the last period of Mughal rule in the very city of Lahore. A Hindu historian Dr. B.S. Najjar has narrated the detail of the case when Zakariyah Khan (1707-1759) was the Governor of the Punjab. He writes that a Sikh student Haqiqat Rai, who was married to a Hindu girl blasphemed the holy Prophet and used derogatory remarks against his beloved daughter Fatima. The Muslim teacher referred the case to the court which awarded 80 stripes for insulting Fatima and death sentence for blaspheming the holy Prophet. The Governor refused to pardone the culprit on appeal of the Hindu and Sikh population. So the sentence was executed accordingly. The Sikh and Hindu community of the Punjab celebrate Basant fare in memory of Haqiqat Rai as martyr of faith. Dr. Najjar further says that Haqiqat Rai had uttered the
 insulting words when his master spoke ill of deities of Hindu religion. 59

It should be borne in mind that a biased Hindu historian has narrated this incident with an ulterior
 .motive to poison the minds of the Hindus and Sikhs against the Muslims

Factually speaking, Islam forbids its followers to speak ill of the leaders of other religion lest somebody should react insolently towards God and His Prophet. The Muslims respect all Prophets passed away before him. They never repudiated the historical status of Hindu demigods, Ram Chandarji and Krishan Maharaj. Besides, the Muslims consider Guru Nanak as a preacher of monotheism. Hence the charge against Haqiqat Rai's Muslim teacher that he had insulted the
 .Hindu demigods seems to be implausible and spurious

As for the penalty relating to the insult and slandering of the Prophet Muhammad and his daughter Fatima, the then Punjab Governor had awarded the punishment according to the Islamic Law, disregarding any external pressure. But the background of this incident with regard to the Muslim teacher seems to have been concocted by the biased mind of the author. This bias mostly pervades the whole book. The author even fails to resist the prejudice of calling the partition of India as unnatural and unpardonable crime of history. This clearly shows the biased mind of the so called .historian

-

CHAPT

A Zealous Apologist For Sat

A self-styled Maulana wrote some articles which were Urdu captioned, “Shatm-e-Ras the Prophet's Blasphemy). U “tolerance”, “freedom”, “freedom of thought” he has cause of Salman Rushdie, Verses”. In his book Wahid speaking ill of the Prophet offence and the large-scale pro a “foolish campaign”. In olden concept of freedom of speech peculiarity of modern age, journalist, :Edward Mortimer Rushdie, condemning protest says

We find ourselves in a war of ideas... Their (British less passionate feelings of equally offensive to “our religion mean Christianity. The Christians very awkwardly placed in strongly of incitement to manifest sympathy with the Muslim “blasphemy”. But Christians Britain in the sense that Islam not

Christianity that binds because we have long since religious uniformity on ours and members of other faith national life. The religion of world” to which it belong founding fathers are Loc

ing to the insult and nmad and his daughter rnor had awarded the ic Law, disregarding any und of this incident with s to have been concocted his bias mostly pervades ils to resist the prejudice dia .as unnatural and This clearly shows the n

CHAPTER X

A Zealous Apologist For Satanic Verses Of Rushdie

A self-styled Maulana Wahiduddin of India in 1996 wrote some articles which were published in a book form in Urdu captioned, "Shitm-e-Rasool ka Masla" (The issue of the Prophet's Blasphemy). Under the pretext of terms like "tolerance", "freedom", "freedom of expression" and "freedom of thought" he has tried to vindicate the diabolic cause of Salman Rushdie, the author of the "Satanic Verses". In his book Wahiduddin takes the position that speaking ill of the Prophet Muhammad is, indeed, no offence and the large-scale protests in this regard were just a "foolish campaign". In older days, he believes, there was no concept of freedom of speech and thinks it to be the only peculiarity of modern age, as elucidated by a British journalist, Edward Mortimer. In his article on :Salman Rushdie, condemning protests against Rushdie Mortimer, says

We find ourselves caught up in a religious war, a war of ideas... Their (British people) reaction" arouses no less passionate feelings of outrange in us, because it is equally offensive to our religion. By "our religion" I do not mean Christianity. The Christian establishment is, in fact, very awkwardly placed in this affair: it disapproves strongly of incitement to murder but clearly feels some sympathy with the Muslim demand for censorship of "blasphemy". But Christianity is no

longer the religion of Britain in the sense that Islam is the religion of Iran. It is not Christianity that binds us together as a community, because we have long since given up trying to impose religious uniformity on ourselves or to exclude unbelievers and members of other faiths from full participation in our national life. The religion of this country, and of the "free world" to which it belongs, is, precisely, freedom. Its founding fathers are Locke, Voltaire, Burke, Wilkes

Thomas Paine, the authors of the American Constitution and of the Declaration des Droits de l'home. Unlike Iranians, we are brought up to think it primitive to fight over metaphysical belief, but to think of fighting for freedom as something admirable. Of course, like other peoples, we practise this religion imperfectly, and not everyone takes it as seriously as do journalists, the self-appointed priests or mullahs of the cult. But the idea of sentencing a writer to death for what he wrote is just as offensive to modern western sensibilities as the idea that Christ might have liked to make love to Mary Magdalene, or that the Prophet might occasionally have listened to Satan, is
 ".to traditional Christian or Muslim ones

After referring to the above passage from the article of British journalist Wahiduddin says: "For the first time in history this freedom has opened all the doors of the expression of thought for everybody. Freedom of thought has today become the inalienable right of man which is hardly
 ."deniable

The same fallacious plea of freedom of expression was invoked to justify sacrilegious cartoons and caricatures of the holy Prophet of Islam published by a Danish Newspaper and re-printed by newspapers of other western countries in the year 2006 in violation of the UN Charter of Human Rights. This outraged the feelings of 1.5 billion Muslims across the world. We discussed this issue here at length as the blasphemous cartoons are extension of Rushdie's novel "Satanic Verses". Maulana Wahiddudin defending Rushdie writes: "Rushdie has used this right of freedom
 ".of expression in the Satanic Verses

In our opinion this is perverse thinking of the Maulana. In every age Satan (Devil) insinuates into human mind ever new terms and phraseologies which are apparently very attractive and appealing

but in reality they are the most effective weapons to corrupt and dehumanize

the human mind. In the 18 justification for obscenity and "Art for the sake of Art". Wh fossilized and time-worn, it wa its guise, all manner of pervers play. When his aims and objec in the name of "Art" then Sa "freedom of thought". This unbridled and wiped out all m nurtured in Europe and

Ameri they were deprived of mora Thereafter it spread to other co

Very early it had streak by Iqbal, the philosopher poet mischief was rooted. He, ther Asia that the freedom of thou origin. Through it Satan thro religion and all modes of mo behaviour. For it he perpetu succour and reinforcement to followers. Propped up by the Rushdie was audacious enoug

onslaught against the heart an that is, the holy Prophet Muhar

The worst aspect of th rootless and self-styled Mau India, is the only full-fledged amongst the whole Ummah "tolerance is the substitute for for mental enslavement. Pitia journalist's article he has com intellectuals such as Locke, role models because in his vi standard bearers of freedom

thought, devoid of the prophet

he American Constitution Droits de l'home. Unlike think it primitive to fight to think of fighting for le. Of course, like other ion imperfectly, and not s do journalists, the self- the cult. But the idea of what he wrote is just as nsibilities as the idea that love to Mary Magdalene, sionally have
".listened to - Muslim ones

e passage from the article ays: "For the first time in d all the doors of the ody. Freedom of
thought e right of man which is

of freedom of expression s cartoons and caricatures published by a Danish spapers of other
western tion of the UN Charter of e feelings of 1.5 billion ncussed this issue here at oons are
".extention of ?. Maulana Wahiddudin ie has used this right of c Verses

erverse thinking of the l) insinuates into human raseologies which are aling but in reality they
corrupt and dehumanize

the human mind. In the 18th century Satan provided justification for obscenity and immodesty in
the name of "Art for the sake of Art". When the term started getting fossilized and time-worn, it
was named as "Art" and under its guise, all manner of perversion and obscenity came into play.
When his aims and objects remained below the target in the name of "Art" then Satan invented the
phrase of "freedom of thought". This rendered mans' thought unbridled and wiped out all moral
structures. First of all it nurtured in Europe and America as consequence of which they were
.deprived of moral and religious restrictions. Thereafter it spread to other countries of the world

Very early it had streaked into socio-religious focus by Iqbal, the philosopher poet of the East as to where the mischief was rooted. He, therefore, warned the people of Asia that the freedom of thought is a war of Satanic origin. Through it Satan throws up a challenge to faith, religion and all modes of morality and dignified human behaviour. For it he perpetually keeps sending support, succour and reinforcement to his hangers-on and camp- followers. Propped up by the diabolic vanguard, Salman Rushdie was audacious enough to launch his most wicked onslaught against the heart and soul of Muslim Ummah, that is, the holy Prophet Muhammad.

The worst aspect of this sordid episode is that a rootless and self-styled Maulana Wahiduddin Khan of India, is the only full-fledged supporter of Rushdie from amongst the whole Ummah. In his scheme of things "tolerance is the substitute for flattery whereas "freedom" for mental enslavement. Pitiably, after reading the British journalist's article he has come to believe that the western intellectuals such as Locke, Rousseau are the exemplary role models because in his view only they are the original standard bearers of freedom. Whereas their defective thought, devoid of the prophetic light, could not reach up to

the heights of the divine reality from which came the first ideal charter of Human Freedom on the occasion of the holy Prophet Farewell Haj Address. In fact Rousseau and his contemporaries failed to see the moral values which pervaded freedom on account of Islam alone. In order to ignite the flame of rebellion against the shackles of the Church and the Crown, they raised the slogan of absolute and unbridled freedom which erupted like a volcano with fury strength of the masses. They were not able to foresee the dangerous turn which it has taken and is currently afflicting humanity. Unless the moral restrictions of Islam are imposed on the freedom of thought and action humanity will never be able to acquire the objectives of freedom in its real sense and the process of human development shall remain incomplete. Wahiduddin has taken the two-century old untenable western concept as the phoenix of freedom. A lot of positive changes have occurred in the irrational and negative concept of freedom after quieting of revolutionary disquiet and upheavals in Europe. Because, at last the world had to seek recourse to the prophetic declaration of human freedom and the Caliph Umar's historic remark which had determined the limits of freedom with emphasis on moral values. Without moral sense human dignity and freedom of conscience are deceptive words and man remains on the border of hypocrisy. But

.Wahiduddin seems to have washed his hands of this established fact

A study of Wahiduddin Khan's book reveals that he is ignorant of even the fundamentals of political science, constitutional law, and principles of jurisprudence. Otherwise he would not have beaten about the bush in a thoughtless way like a novice. We have already discussed the circumstances which had led Rousseau to stress the idea of absolute freedom. We may also not

ignore the fact that Rousseau was full of paradoxes in his views related to social sciences. On the contrary Edmund Burke, his British contemporary, looked askance at the utopian view and wishful thinking of the revolution break up long nourished social political illusions and fantasies. Freedom and violence he had given birth to military dictatorship. In the Commonwealth with a more realistic views about freedom, he had to find that freedom was necessary, identified and established. In an approach as conservative and a try to find in it balanced, pragmatic elements which are characteristic for. We can safely say without there is no such law or constitution of the world which is not based reflecting limits of freedom with

Freedom of Expression in the

world

Here we refer only to Constitutions which are a provision for absolute freedom. Constitution of France of 1795 that France shall ensure the equality of the law, without discrimination of religion, and that it shall respect speech also secured, albeit with Government censors. 60

Similarly, according to the Republic of Germany 1990, the shall be bound by law and justice. "Legislation shall be subject to Executive and the Judiciary justice." 61

wishful thinking of the revolutionaries. He was unwilling to break up long nourished social institutions for the sake of political illusions and fantasies. Condemning absolute freedom and violence he had predicted that it would give birth to military dictatorship. Recommending to constitute the Common Wealth with a moral base and expressing his views about freedom, he had told the House of Common that freedom was necessary, but its limits should be identified and established. Instead of labeling such an approach as conservative and anti-revolutionary, we should try to find in it balanced, pragmatic, sensible and cohesive elements which are characteristic of the values Islam stands for. We can safely say without the fear of contradiction that there is no such law or constitution, written or unwritten, in the world which is not based on .the Islamic principles reflecting limits of freedom with moral obligations

Freedom of Expression in different constitution of the world

Here we refer only to some of the leading Constitutions which are avowedly secular but without any provision for absolute freedom. First of all we refer to the Constitution of France of 1958. Its Article No.2 provides that France shall ensure the equality of all citizens before the law, without discrimination based on origin, race, or religion, and that it shall respect all beliefs. Freedom of speech also secured, albeit within limits, as evidenced in Government censors. 60

Similarly, according to the Article No.20(3) of the Republic of Germany 1990, the Executive and the Judiciary shall be bound by law and justice. Article No.20 (3) states: "Legislation shall be subject to the constitutional order; the Executive and the Judiciary shall be bound by law and justice."⁶¹

It is obvious that rights conferred by the Constitution shall be used by remaining within the limits
 .of law, rules and regulations with respect to individual's honour and dignity

In the United States of America the freedom of expression was given after incorporating the first amendment in its Constitution. But it does not provide any provision for absolute freedom. Even the U.S Constitution, consistent with the decisions of the Supreme Court, put restrictions on its citizens speaking or writing in a manner that may cause incitement to the masses. The state is, therefore, authorized to seize through the use of its coercive powers, such freedom as may cause breach of peace or corrupts morals. In its historic verdict, the U.S. apex court has written that no one can be allowed to blaspheme Jesus Christ in the name of religious freedom because it injures the feelings of his followers.⁶² An excerpt from this verdict has already been given in a previous

.”chapter of this book — It is appendix “D

According to unwritten British Constitution, no one is privileged to use one's tongue or pen in violation of British law under the pretext of civil liberty. In this regard, the celebrated legal and

:constitutional thinker of Europe A.V. Dicey writes

The administration of the modern statutory law gives a wide discretion to the administrator that“
 he is in a position to encroach upon individual liberty of action. Even so it remains important to
 ensure that all administration is conducted in accordance with law.”⁶³

In Britain, however, an arrangement has been made for the freedom of speech. A small place known as the Speaker's Corner is marked in London's Hyde Park. In this small place every one is at liberty to say whatever he or she likes within the fixed hours. Yet none is allowed to display

.lack of respect towards Je Britain in any manner

Wahiduddin Khan unwisely with regard to the to read the Articles of hi Article 19 of the Constituti liberties and other rights, C the existing laws shall not put it in this way, these ri which are in force in India. make laws to bring these restraints appertaining to I as well as with the law .an the individual, moral v Interestingly, the word “de India's constitution, does constitutions

We have not deem Article of the Constitution lest Wahiduddin Khan sho not a secular constitutio inappropriate to mention Constitution of Pakistan. ' restriction with civil liber Article of the Indian Con culture and morality as w differentiates it from India world is the emphasis th disregard the .GLORY OF liberties

Since Wahiduddin say with almost certainty the words GLORY OF ISL that it was Islam which presenting practically to

rights conferred by the law within the limits of his respect to individual's

America the freedom of incorporating the first But it does not provide any Even the U.S Constitution, of the Supreme Court, put it on or writing in a manner before the masses. The state is, though the use of its coercive power cause breach of peace or disorder, the U.S. apex court allowed to blaspheme Jesus

-- freedom because it injures none except from this verdictous chapter of this book

British Constitution, no one is ever in violation of civil liberty. In this regard, a rational thinker of

Europe

the modern statutory law administrator that he is in a full liberty of action. Even that all administration

is .,63

arrangement has been made in a place known as the London's Hyde Park. In this to say whatever he

or she one is allowed to display

.lack of respect towards Jesus Christ or the monarch of Britain in any manner

Wahiduddin Khan would not have said things unwisely with regard to the freedom of speech if he cared to read the Articles of his own country's Constitution. Article 19 of the Constitution of India is germane to civil liberties and other rights, Clause 2 of this article says that the existing laws shall not be affected by these rights. To put it in this way, these rights shall not outstep the laws which are in force in India. The state shall be empowered to make laws to bring these civil liberties under reasonable restraints appertaining to India's sovereignty and security as well as with the law and order situation and honour of the individual, moral values, culture and decency.

Interestingly, the word "decency", as specifically used in India's constitution, does not appear clearly in other constitutions.

We have not deemed it fit to mention the relevant Article of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, lest Wahiddudin Khan should get unnerved, because it is not a secular constitution. However, it will not be inappropriate to mention here only Article 19 of the Constitution of Pakistan. This Article also tags the same restriction with civil liberties as mentioned above in the Article of the Indian Constitution pertaining to decency, culture and morality as well as law and order. But what differentiates it from India's and other constitutions of the world is the emphasis that no one shall be allowed to disregard the GLORY OF ISLAM under the pretext of civil liberties.

Since Wahiduddin Khan's psyche is secular, we can say with almost certainty that he will not be able to digest the words GLORY OF ISLAM. Yet we do want to tell him that it was Islam which had played pioneering role in presenting practically to the world the concept of civil

liberties bracketed with moral restrictions. Significantly all the countries of the world had already accepted Islamic ethos and made it an integral part of their Constitutions. Indeed the lack of moral conditions would push human society into chaos and anarchy, nor would for that matter any state or government would be able to maintain its survival. On account of little knowledge, which is more dangerous than ignorance, Wahiduddin Khan has been unable to grasp the exact and full import of freedom. No law on earth permits anybody to go beyond moral and legal obligations and conditions, renouncing in the name of freedom all that is good and decent. It would let out a stream of invective against others in total disregard of their sentiments and come to take it as a right of freedom of expressing his mind. Let Khan demonstrate the use of his right of freedom on the roof top of the Red Fort by making a tirade against Gandhi Ji, Jawahar Lal Nehru and the President of India. Then the police will befittingly make him understand the meaning of the freedom of speech by landing him at a place which is meant for lunatics and insane persons. Let us pose a question to those who preach the gospel of tolerance to Muslims and tell them to be patient with a blasphemer like Rushdie and blasphemous cartoonists of the West of 21st century. Would they be able to put up with all the slanderous, filthy and abusive language as used in his satanic book, if the same is directed at their own mothers, sisters and daughters? Or would they allow someone to ridicule and insult Jesus Christ publicly in their own democratic western societies.

Our campaign with respect to the dignity of the holy Prophet Muhammad resulted in enforcement of the Blasphemy Law in Pakistan. In my Urdu book 'Namoos-e- Rasool and Qanoon-i-Tauheen-i-

Risalat' (Dignity of the Prophet Muhammad and the Law of Blasphemy), I had already shattered the diabolic ideas which had been simmering in the minds of Wahiduddin and his like minded

restrictions. Significantly all had already accepted Islamic part of their Constitutions. Conditions would push human . nor would for that matter be able to maintain its knowledge, which is more Wahiduddin Khan has been full import of freedom. No go beyond moral and legal nouncing in the name of decent. It would let out a s in total disregard of their as a right of freedom of demonstrate the use of his of the Red Fort by making wahar Lal Nehru and the lice will befittingly make he freedom of speech by s meant for lunatics and stion to those who preach ims and tell them to be ushdie and blasphemous tury. Would they be able ous, filthy and abusive ok, if the same is .directed aughters? Or would they ult Jesus Christ publicly cieties

to the dignity of the holy n enforcement of the Urdu book 'Namoos-e- Risalat' (Dignity of the y of

Blasphemy), I had deas which had been ldin and his like minded

favourites. One is just flabbergasted to imagine the swiftly changing 'U turns' taken by Wahiduddin Khan out of his overpowering fervour to support Rushdie. His hit-target is the Muslims all over the world without exception, whom he accuses of fomenting mischief and trouble for Rushdie. He writes: "The senseless agitation and protests were launched in every part of the world to bring Rushdie to book. This has prompted the West to take up the cudgels on behalf of Rushdie and girded up its loins against the Muslim world. We cannot interpret the western world's support to Salman Rushdie in terms of hostility towards Islam as Muslim leaders are thoughtlessly saying so. Rather in reality it is the defense of their own belief, as Muslims are active in defence of their own religion. Hence it is no more a fight between Muslims and Rushdie, ".instead Muslims versus the west have got sucked into this fight

Wahid-dudin Khan seems to be dead to all feelings of Islamic respectability and remains placidly unconcerned if someone vituperatively attacks Islam or blasphemes the Holy Prophet of Islam. Still worse, he wants Muslims to remain silent spectators without displaying any resentment against such nuisance. He argues that the Prophet of Islam is compassionate and merciful to the entire mankind without any discrimination between believers and unbelievers. True, the Holy Prophet is a mercy for all Humanity. But what would he say about the God, who surpasses all in the display of His infinite mercy. Even He declares that those who disobey His Prophets are

?destined to the torments of hell-fire

The Maulana must have noticed that Rushdie's book has been put to severe criticism even from a qualitative point of view. What is more, the criticism has come from the West itself. Renowned British critic Auberon Waugh has suggested that Rushdie should be punished for writing a type of

.English which is below the mark

In the words of G.H. Jansen "The whole of the book, not just a particular chapter, is a foul mouthed cynical parody of the life of the Prophet." He further writes: "What is truly terrible is that Rushdie's unreadable novel brought about a veritable Kuturkampf, a civilizational confrontation between a post-Christian West and the Muslim world. The spirit of the crusades is abroad again. And curiously enough Rushdie's insult to the Prophet is much like that of Christian writers of the medieval period...Rushdie has succeeded in only one thing-he has done immense harm to the ideals of human fraternity and of religious reconciliation."⁶⁴

Mr. Naqi Hussain Jafri in Times of India, New Delhi March 6, 1989 writes: "Rushdie's work, I am afraid, derives its popularity more than the ill-conceived and blasphemous treatment of Mohammad rather than any literary merit. It is a matter of great concern that what offends and hurt Muslims all over the entire world should be a source of vicarious pleasure to Islam-baiters".and publishers in the name of freedom of expression

Anti-Rushdie protests of the Ummah lie indeed heavy on Wahid Khan's mind which is not attuned to Muslim destiny. He has put the Muslim Ummah and its leaders in the dock by branding the anti-Rushdie protests as unlawful and offensive. Framing charge sheet against them he says: "In the last analysis, to be certain, this mistake, rather insurrection, on the part of Muslim leaders amounted to an unpardonable crime. This crime of agitational tumult is, indeed, more grim than that of Salman Rushdie. The Muslim leaders, in an effort to send Salman Rushdie as a culprit prisoner behind the bar, have put themselves in the dock for a worse crime indeed." On the one

hand Wahiduddin alleging the Muslim's crime as unpardonable gave the verdict of collective death punishment to all the leaders of Muslim Ummah and on the other hand he not only absolved Salman Rus him a literary hero.' So he under the royal British Um step on the part of Muslims

Since the Maulana had no option but to show laws of Blasphemy and co he gives two examples: 'statute book in Britain s which the blasphemy of offence. But despite the film 'The last Temptation Cinema which negated the

Giving an opposit "Peter Wright is an Engli the British Intelligence De published his memoirs u This book discloses the Department. The Gover book "Just think over Maulana: "The country blasphemy of relating to the government did not particular law. On the c contempt of state takes geared up for proscript Wahid interprets this di "What is the reason of th that the Britain is aw ". "contempt of state" "blasphemy of Christ

The Maulana ap the judgment delivered whereby a blasphemmer w

ansen "The whole of the apter, is a foul mouthed the Prophet." He further that Rushdie's unreadable ulturkampf, a civilizational Christian West and the crusades is abroad again. s insult to

the Prophet is writers of the medieval n only one thing—he has of human fraternity and of

in Times of India, New hdie's work, I am afraid, n the ill-conceived and ammad rather than any

".great concern that what r the entire world should re to Islam-baiters and of expression

the Ummah lie indeed which is not attuned to Muslim Ummah and its anti-Rushdie protests as

harge sheet against them be certain, this mistake, uslim leaders amounted ne of agitational tumult f

Salman Rushdie. The d Salman Rushdie as a e put themselves in the ." On the one hand crime as

unpardonable punishment to all the the other hand he not

only absolved Salman Rushdie of all crimes but also made him a literary hero.' So he says:

"Salman Rushdie is sitting under the royal British Umbrella as a result of this foolish step on the

"part of Muslims

Since the Maulana claims to be Mr. Know-All, he had no option but to show a difference between

the British laws of Blasphemy and contempt of the state. In this regard he gives two examples:

"The Law of Blasphemy on the statute book in Britain since seventeenth century under which the

blasphemy of the Jesus Christ is a punishable offence. But despite the existence of the statutory

law a film 'The last Temptation of Christ' was shown in London Cinema which negated the very

".purpose of law

Giving an opposite example of Britain he writes" "Peter Wright is an Englishman. He was a high ' official of the British Intelligence Department. After his retirement he published his memoirs under the caption "Spy Catches". This book discloses the secrets of the British Intelligence Department. The Government immediately banned the book "Just think over the comparative example" says Maulana: "The country is the same. The incident of blasphemy of relating to Jesus Christ takes place there but the government did not take notice of violation of this particular law. On the contrary when the incident of the contempt of state takes place, the government machinery geared up for proscription of the said book." Maulana Wahid interprets this difference in his own way stating: "What is the reason of this difference? The only reason is that the Britain is aware of ".the significance of the "contempt of state" but she doesn't bother about "blasphemy of Christ

The Maulana appears to be miserably ignorant of the judgment delivered in the recent past in England whereby a blasphemmer was convicted by the trial court and

.his conviction and sentence have been maintained by the House of Lords in the year 1990

Abstract of judgment by House of Lords of England in blasphemy case is given in the appendix

.""B

-: Distortion of Historical Evidence

Leaving aside the inductive logic of historicism which transcends Wahiduddin's perverse mind, what is surprising is the fact that he has attempted to warp the historical evidence. He has referred to an obscene film made on the unblemished life of Jesus Christ in support of his secular concept of the sovereignty of state against the Islamic concept of sovereignty of God. The matter related to the said film "The last temptation of Christ" has been discussed and differentiated in Lemon's case by the House of Lords in its detailed judgment. What is worse, he has deliberately concealed the facts in order to support his secular concept of State. Let us quote his exact words: "The Muslims of today betray a strange paradox regarding Blasphemy of the Prophet. Theoretically speaking, they would say that vilification against any of the prophets is equally an offence. They believe that such a slanderer is liable to capital punishment. But practically they would burst into anger only on the contempt of their Prophet Muhammad. As for other prophets, any sort of disrespect to them

".won't foment a Muslim reaction

This statement is baseless and against the objective reality. When the above-mentioned film, "The Last Temptation of Christ", was being shown in the British metropolis, the author was in London at that time. He and his colleagues launched a campaign against the screening of this film. On 2nd

September 1988, we picketed in front of a cinema hall, where we were also joined by a group of Christians and Jews. World Association of Muslim Jurists gave a notice to the British Films Institute to stop the

exhibition of the film, otherwise the filmmaker; the cinema proprietor and the BFI would be prosecuted under the Blasphemy Law of England. Apart from my interview against this film, my articles were also published in the London-based newspaper in this regard. Consequently the king-sized posters of Christ with a prostitute were removed from the underground stations of London .and the film badly flopped before any action was taken under the law of the land

The Muslims believe that they have inherited the traditions of the Prophets of scriptures. According to the Quran there is no difference between these prophets of God, though their stature in the sight of God is determined by the importance of their mission and their achievements. Hence the Muslims cannot tolerate the insult of any prophet and they do whatever their position and circumstances allow them in this regard within the limitation of law. As mentioned earlier, Muslims were enraged on the screening of the film which offended the dignity of Jesus. If the British government did not rise up to the occasion, it had violated its own laws, but this is also incorrect in view of the judgments in Lemon's case and in case of *Vingrove Vs United Kingdom* in the European Court of Human Rights. Abstracts of the judgments are enclosed in the schedule of this book. What has been stated by Wahiduddin Khan is not the whole truth. The British courts had punished the blasphemer on the complaint even in the last decade of 20th century which we .have already stated above

Maulana Wahiddudin would not refrain from degrading the Muslims who instinctively love their Prophet. He condemns Qudrat Ullah Shahab for projecting the Muslim's point of view with .respect to deep sense of devotion for the Holy Prophet

Qudratullah Shahab

Qudratullah Shahab had been holding high and important positions in the Government of Pakistan and was also one of the eminent writers of the country. His autobiography Shahabnamah has been much admired by the literary circles of Pakistan and India. In his autobiography he analyses the Muslim psyche of overwhelming devotion to the Holy Prophet with reference to an incident of his own childhood. He writes: "If someone speaks ill of the holy Prophet of Islam it would outrage the feelings of Muslims. This involves no discrimination of good, nominal or bad Muslims. Rather observation tells us that those who sacrificed their lives to uphold Prophetic dignity were neither apparently known for their learning, nor did they stand out in respect of piety and practise of Islamic injunctions. A common man's overwhelming fervour which he feels on Prophetic sanctity completely submerges his psychic life. It is suggesting more of devotion than belief. This devotion has taken the form of immense love for their Prophet which is beyond imagination of ".followers of other religions

Wahiduddin Khan says that Qudratullah Shahab has rightly identified the national psyche of the Muslims. Yet he comments that this type of emotion and devotion on the part of Muslims are clear deviation from the right path. He declares both Qudratullah Shahab and the general Muslims as unbelievers of true faith. He writes: "The men who are living under such illusion should wait for the Day of Judgment when it would be revealed to them that it was in fact a new religion which they had fabricated". Yet Mr. Know-All Maulana has made a paradoxical proposition by sitting in judgment as final authority to announce verdict against the Muslims prior to the

Doomsday that they have wandered off the right path and hence liable to punishment of the hell-
.fire

l been holding high and nment of Pakistan and was ers of the country. His s been much admired by tan and India. In his he Muslim psyche of oly Prophet with reference od. He writes: "If someone Islam it would outrage the lves no discrimination of Rather observation tells us lives to uphold Prophetic known for their learning, t of piety and practise of on man's overwhelming hetic sanctity completely is suggesting more of on has taken the form of phet which is beyond ".religions

t Qudratullah Shahab has che of the Muslims. Yet otion and devotion on the n from the right path. He and the general Muslims rites: "The men who are d wait for the Day of ed to them that it was in ad fabricated". Yet Mr. radoxical proposition by ity to announce verdict oomsday that they have nce liable to punishment

Rushdie and Lady Diana

Could Wahiduddin Khan shed light on the double standard of his ideal state of Britain and its free press in regard to Rushdie when he passed remarks on the accidental death of Princess Diana? The whole British Press was furious over Rushdie's view that excessive sexual gratification of Diana was the cause of her death. The widely circulated newspaper of Britain, "The Times", branded Rushdie's remarks as "Satanic Nuisance". "The Outlook", a weekly of London has very rightly commented that the same British press commended Rushdie's "Satanic verses" wherein he used abusive language against the dignified personalities of Islam, but condemned Rushdie when he .passed unpleasant remarks against their Lady Diana

UNBALANCED WESTERN SOCIETY

When one calls civil liberties are considered to be vitally related to the spirit of democracy and growth of society in modern times, that is good enough, because it is in consonance with the objectives of Islam. Islam is all out for the growth and promotion of human societies but the West has made its society lopsided by banishing religion from human affairs and solely depending on material gains of science and technology as sources of happiness and pleasure in life. Unlike the West, Islam lays emphasis on a cohesive, holistic and balanced view of life without separating matter and spirit from each other. It is here that Western outlook comes into conflict with the Islamic view of life. With its extremist and unhealthy emphasis on individualism, the secular order has come to take the "freedom of thought" and the "freedom of speech" as the progressive step of this age in order to maintain the hold of its materialistic and capitalist civilization over the world.

It

is under this cloak the West is systematically engaged in instigating, supporting and protecting blasphemers like Rushdie and aggressive Danish cartoonist so as to undermine the foundation of .Muslim societies

Wahiduddin Khan's western mentors, as discussed in the foregoing pages of this book, mistakenly equate the expression of intellectual anarchy and unbridled passions with civil liberties. No healthy society can be built on such a morbid pattern of thinking. This is an objective lesson of history. Likewise the “noble virtue” has been wrongly interpreted by them. On the contrary what we understand as “noble virtue” from the Islamic point of view is that man should control his instinctive propensities and thoughts and deeds in order to keep discipline and order by remaining under divine rules. Such was indeed the highest virtue of life that was bestowed on humanity by the holy Prophet of Islam who is the symbol of the highest virtue in human history. Small wonder a Muslim never considers his life as well as all the things, which are most dear to him, too weighty or valuable to be sacrificed for the honour and prestige of such a unique human personality who is the very essence of faith and Deen (Religion). The philosopher poet Allama Iqbal most eloquently portrayed the distinction of a believer and an infidel foe in the following verse: “Strive to reach yourself to the noble Prophet because he is altogether living embodiment of Deen (faith). Otherwise you are on the wrong track of fire brand Abu Lahab. (Treacherous opponent of the holy Prophet)

CHA

Blasphemous Cartoons an

Freedom of expres valuable human rights th constitutions of almost all have dealt with this subjec

.”chapter of this book: “A J Satanic Verses

Briffault, a wester inspired the Declaration of of American constitution i ultimate inspiration and

so bear in mind that the right other basic right is not a directive, this important rig principled

limits of morali setup and civilized societie law and constitution to m public order. But the wes

.international law and thei sacrilegious caricatures i freedom of press

A Danish newspap provocative cartoons in Sep Prophet of Islam in abusiv France, Germany, Italy

an Obviously it was deliber created a widespread rese feelings of 1.5 billion Mus slanderous

attack on the Islam for whom the imm Muslims is unprecedented a great and highly provoca to

insult and humiliate the

.systematically engaged in tecting blasphemers like n cartoonist so as to m societies

rn mentors, as discussed k, mistakenly equate the and unbridled passions iety can be built on such is an objective lesson of ue" has been wrongly y what we understand as oint of view is that man nsities and thoughts and and order by remaining ed the highest virtue of by the holy Prophet of ighest virtue in human ver considers his life as most dear to him, too ed for the honour and personality who is the igion). The philosopher ortrayed the distinction n the following verse: e

(Prophet because he is een (faith). Otherwise re brand Abu Lahab. rophet

CHAPTER XI

Blasphemous Cartoons and Freedom of Press

Freedom of expression, no doubt, is one of the valuable human rights that have been incorporated in constitutions of almost all the countries of the world. We have dealt with this subject in some . "detail in the previous chapter of this book: "A Jealous Apologist for 'Rashdie's Satanic Verses

Briffault, a western scholar says; "The Idea that inspired the Declaration of Rights that guided the framing of American constitution is not invention of the West. Its ultimate inspiration and source is Quran." But one should bear in mind that the right of freedom of expression or any other basic right is not absolute. According to Islamic directive, this important right is to be exercised within the principled limits of morality and decency. In a democratic setup and civilized societies this liberty is also restricted by law and constitution to maintain social equilibrium and public order.

But the western bigots openly flouted the international law and their own laws by publication of sacrilegious caricatures in recent past in the name of freedom of press

A Danish newspaper Jyllands Posten printed 12 provocative cartoons in September, 2005 depicting the holy Prophet of Islam in abusive light. Newspapers in Norway, France, Germany, Italy and Spain reprinted these cartoons. Obviously it was deliberate and premeditated plot which created a widespread resentment and outraged the intense feelings of 1.5 billion Muslims across the world. This was a slanderous attack on the person of the holy Prophet of Islam for whom the immense love and reverence of the Muslims is unprecedented in the history of mankind. It was a great and highly provocative offence motivated by racism to insult and humiliate the Muslims. The authors of these

offensive caricatures and those who are guilty of abetting them clearly violated Article 129 of Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Clause 2 of the article prescribes following limitations of freedom. "In the exercise of his rights and freedoms everyone shall be subject to such limitations as are determined by law solely for the purpose of securing due recognition and respect for the rights and freedom of others and for meeting the just requirements of morality, public orders and general welfare in a democratic society". Freedom of expression cannot be exercised to erode .social fabric or undermine religious dogmas of peaceful co-existence

Disapproving the offensive cartoons, the then U.N. Secretary General Kofi Annan stressed: "Freedom of expression is never absolute. It entails responsibilities and judgment." These provocative caricatures, he said, amounts to "Pouring oil on fire". All these blasphemous cartoons were ultra vires of article 10 (2) of the Convention (Constitution) of European Court of Human Rights. It says: "The exercise of these freedoms (of human rights), since it carries with its duties and responsibilities, may be subject to such conditions and restrictions as are prescribed by law and are necessary in a democratic country for protection of morals and protection of the reputation or rights of others and for maintaining the authority and impartiality of the judiciary. It is also ."

"deemed to be a social necessity

Interpreting this article 10 (2) of the Convention in a case about movie film on Jesus Christ, the honourable judges of European Court of Human Rights observed in Wingro Case against U.K. (Case No. 174190 reported in 1995) observed that the scenes shown in regard to objects of veneration in the impugned film were deeply offensive to the Christian Community. It was,

therefore, held that the ban imposed by the Government of U.K. on its exhibition and sale was justified. The relevant parts of the said

who are guilty of abetting 9 of Universal Declaration of the article prescribes n. "In the exercise of his shall be subject to such law solely for the purpose respect for the rights and ng the just requirements of ral welfare in a democratic n cannot be exercised to ine religious dogmas of ve cartoons, the then U.N. n stressed: "Freedom of entails responsibilities and ricatures, he said, amounts ese blasphemous cartoons (2) of the Convention of Human Rights. It says: (of human rights), since it nsibilities, may be subject s as are prescribed by law c country for protection of . "utation or rights of others y and impartiality of the social necessity

of the Convention in us Christ, the honourable uman Rights observed in No. 174190 reported (2) in hown in regard to objects lm were deeply offensive as, therefore, held that the of U.K. on its exhibition evant parts of the said

judgment is given in appendix "C" of this book. Beside this authoritative judgment, there are many other rulings of the honourable Court of Human Rights in similar blasphemy cases of Lingens VS Austria (No 8/86) Thorgeirson vs Island (No 25 of 1992) and Otto Preminger Institute vs Austria. It is held in the above Wingro case that respect for religious feelings of believers guaranteed by article 9 can legitimately be thought to have been violated by provocative portrayal of objects of religious veneration which can be regarded as malicious violation of the spirit of tolerance. In an another important case Dubowska and Skup vs Poland 40 it is declared that the state is under a positive obligation to protect minorities with strongly held beliefs from attack". A wider margin of appreciation is generally available to the contracting states according to the above judgments. In all the above judgments the ratio decidendi is that freedom of

expression is not absolute in relation to matters liable to offend intimate personal convictions within sphere of moral, especially religion. These judgments are binding on all the member states of European Union. In addition to the case law cited above, the constitutional and national laws of all the states of Europe have also provided protection of life, liberty and honour to all citizens including Muslims community.

The Government of Denmark, triggered hatred and racism and flagrantly violated the law of the land by publication of malicious cartoons. Section 140 of Danish Criminal Code forbids any person from publicly ridiculing or insulting the dogmas of lawfully existing religious community. According to section 266B of the same code, the dissemination of statements or other information by which a group of people is threatened, insulted and degraded on account of their religion is a criminal offence. Despite the availability of these statutory laws the state through its prosecuting agency did not proceed against the offender cartoonists who had committed the aforesaid

offences. It was extremely surprising event that instead of taking a legal action against the offender cartoonist, Danish Prime Minister with continuous obstinacy defended the cartoonist's so called right of freedom of press. The editor of the same Danish newspaper advocating the right of free speech refused to print the anti Christ cartoons in his paper, obviously on the ground that it would offend the feelings of Christian community. Shakespeare had rightly said in 'Hamlet' that something is rotten in Denmark. However Denmark is not the only country to blame for this mischief. The entire Christian West is upholding the same disgusting double standard policy so far as Muslims are concerned. In all the above cited cases, European Court of Human Rights justified the inviolability of law of blasphemy of Christ. No one is permitted accordingly to undermine this law on the pretext of free speech or freedom of expression. Muslims wholeheartedly supported this cause as they believe an anti blasphemy law to be a Divine Law of the Bible and Qur'an. They feel aggrieved by unfairly treatment of the west refusing to extend the blasphemy law for .protection of dignity of all prophets

prising event that instead of offender cartoonist, Danish us obstinacy defended the freedom of press. The editor advocating the right of free Christ cartoons in his paper, would offend the feelings of people had rightly said in ten in Denmark. However to blame for this mischief. holding the same disgusting Muslims are concerned. In an Court of Human Rights of blasphemy of Christ. No undermine this law on the freedom of expression. Muslims cause as they believe an anti law of the Bible and Qur'an. rely treatment of the west may law for protection of

AAMIR CHEMA SHAHEED - A Young Muslim

Martyr

Amir was the only son of Professor Nazir Ahmed of Pakistan. He was brought up in a educated family of Islamic discipline. After graduation from National College of Engineering, he proceeded to Germany in the year 2004 for higher education in the field of technology. There he devoted himself to his studies and was not associated with any religious or political group.

In the month of February 2006, during the period of his studies, the Newspapers of Germany, France and other European countries reprinted the malicious cartoons of Danish paper Jyllands Posten demonizing the Holy Prophet. This mischief hurt the feelings of more than a billion Muslims around the world. Obviously Aamir was one of youth who felt aggrieved by this grave nuisance. Muslims were constantly demanding an apology from the editors of the papers who had printed and reproduced the insulting caricatures and cartoons. Aamir in order to show his

resentement and communicate the anxiety of muslim students of the university directly went to the office of the chief editor of one of the newspapers responsible for offending the Muslims sensibilities. The pressmen were so afraid of his appearance there that at once they called the police officials. The young boy was arrested on the complaint that he trespassed the office to attack the chief editor and the staff of the news paper, whereas he was unarmed without having .any weapon of offence

After arrest on March 20, 2006, Aamir was confined in a special cell separate from all other prisoners. Surprisingly, all of a sudden, Pakistan Embassy was informed on May 3, 2006 that Aamir detainee was found dead in his cell. This caused uproar throughout the country and there were strikes and protests in Pakistan and other parts of the Muslim world. Realizing the consequences of

this crucial event, the German officers released reports about the cause of death of Aamir which were self contradictory. According to the first report it was a suicidal act. The jugular vein was stated to have been cut by the prisoner himself. In subsequent report it was said that the suicide was committed by means of a rope having been tied round the neck. As both reports were unreliable, there was pressing demand by the hundreds of millions of Muslims citizens to take punitive action against the persons responsible for this extra judicial murder. However the Government of Pakistan constituted two member commission of F.I.A. to probe the causes of death of Aamir. The commission was required to submit the report before Senate's Functional Commission of Human Rights headed by an eminent Jurist senator and former Law Minister Mr. S.M. Zafar. The investigating team visited Berlin in the aftermath of Aamir's murder. The head of high powered commission was not allowed by the Government of Germany to have an access to the vital clues leading to the facts and record of the case. This was contrary to the assurance given .by German authorities to extend their co-operation in this behalf

According to the material and record available, the only conclusion that could be withdrawn is that .it could not be a case of suicide

Above all Aamir being deeply religious minded educated student was conscious of the fact that committing suicide is haram (Strictly forbidden) in Islam. Thus he could not have committed .suicide to jump into the hell fire

In view of the above reasons it is clearly visible that Aamir died as a martyr in Germany in the cause of protecting the honour and dignity of the Holy Prophet so he shall live in the hearts and minds of Muslims for ever and his immortal soul is destined for eternity.

INTER-FAITH DIALOGUE

Pope Benedict XVI envoys in September, 2006 Muslim world for citation of one Byzantine emperor Man "the spread of Islam was by its Prophet were inhuman". the Christian emperor in 1 Magna of the university of R ignited a global Muslim reaction sober feelings with respect for a Christian - Muslim dialogue can deny the importance of that the Pope is not oblivious language of Islam is dial argument of sword. A Ch O'Leary has truly said that that Islam had spread at the Quran has prescribe conversations which should be common good. The Muslim followers of earlier revelation we and you hold in common God." (3:64). So when the Emperor (Heraclius) took a verse of Quran in his letter enjoined to invite the people and in kindly manner. Quran unto thy Sustainer's praise exhortation and argue with manner." (16:125)

The stress is on appeal when inviting the people immutable laws of God compulsion for conversion

INTER-FAITH DIALOGUE WITH POPE BENEDICT

Pope Benedict XVI held a meeting with Muslim envoys in September, 2006 to defuse the anxiety of the Muslim world for citation of offensive remarks passed by one Byzantine emperor Manuel II of the 14th Century that "the spread of Islam was by sword and that the teachings of its Prophet were inhuman". Unfortunately the Pope quoted the Christian emperor in his lecture delivered in Aula Magna of the university of Regensburg in Germany, which ignited a global Muslim reaction. The Pope expressing his sober feelings with respect to Islam emphasized the need for a Christian - Muslim dialogue. Indeed no saner person can deny the importance of such a dialogue. We believe that the Pope is not oblivious to the historical fact that the language of Islam is dialogue which has replaced the argument of sword. A Christian scholar of History De O'Leary has truly said that it is .fantastically absurd myth that Islam had spread at the point of sword

Quran has prescribed the methodology for religious conversations which should be only on the point of common good. The Muslims have been ordained: "Say: O followers of earlier revelations come unto the tenet which we and you hold in common that we worship none but God." (3:64). So when the holy Prophet invited Byzantine Emperor (Heracalius) to accept Islam, he quoted the above verse of Quran in his letter of invitation. Muslims are enjoined to invite the people to the right path with wisdom and in kindly manner. Quran says: "Call thou (all mankind) unto thy Sustainer's path with wisdom and goodly exhortation and argue with them in the most .kindly manner." (16:125)

The stress is on application of kindness and reason when inviting the people to surrender themselves to immutable laws of God, hence there cannot be any compulsion for conversion to

Islam by use of sword

Any act of violence or terrorism by some desperate individuals or by certain outlaw (force) groups is against the peaceful teachings of Islam. However, religion is not a motivating force for such inhuman activity. Such people are found almost in all communities. Karen Armstrong, a well known historian of present times, who was once a devoted nun of Vatican writes in her widely published article 'West cannot afford to maintain age-old bias against Islam :

It is significant to note that the religious history has recorded one of the worthiest letters of Pope Gregory VII of 11th Century wherein he wrote to Andalusian ruler Al- Nasir: “Almighty God wishes that all men should be saved and not lost, approves nothing in us so much as that man after loving himself should love his fellow and that he does not want done himself, he should not do to others. You and we owe this charity to ourselves especially because we believe in one God, admittedly in different way and daily praise and venerate Him, the Creator of worlds and Ruler of this world.”⁶⁵

This noble letter is reflection of the message of Quran inviting the people of the book as referred to above.

The charismatic personality of Pope John Paul II, who had studied Islam with unbiased mind laid down reliable foundation for Christian-Muslim dialogue based on the spiritual and common values of peace, liberty and social justice for authentic service to humanity.^{65-A}

In the context of his invitation to Interfaith dialogue, we believe and hope that the attitude of the present Pope would be like his predecessors, one of respect for the faith which Muslims possess so .that the followers of two major religions of the world may live together peacefully

References Part II

Chapter V

Al-A'Raf 7: 32. The .1

.Muhammad Asad publis 1980

Surah 12 Ayat 92: The m .2

Maududi page 429, Islam

Ibid, page 380 .3

The Glory of Muhamm .4

International Centre Ka

Surah Al Ahzab 33.56 .5

.A'la Maudodi

The Holy Bible, Deut .6

page 148, American Bi

Faqirullah vs Khaliquz .7

Al Bukhari Kitabul Ha .8

Kanzul-Ummal-Hadith .9

Section 1 & 2 of cha .10

published by Swefta M

Juristic opinion pro .11

Khaliquzzaman case 1

Deuteronomy 17:12-1 .12

Chapter VI

The Story of Philosop .13

New Encyclopedia Br .14

Thomas Hobbes (158 .15

Locke (1632-1704 A .16

Francois Marie Volta .17

Jean Jacques Rousse .18

The speech was deli .19

.the Oxford Centre fo October 1995

Islamic Foundation I .20

Surah 7:157, The .21

.Muhammad Asad P Gibraltar

)Surah Al-A'RAF .22

The Qur'an by Muh

ce or terrorism by some desperate in outlaw groups is against the lam. However, religion is not a h inhuman activity. Such people ommunities. Karen Armstrong, a present times, who was once a

ites in her widly published article maintain age-old bias against

note that the religious history has iest letters of Pope Gregory VII e wrote to Andalusian ruler Al- hes that all men should be saved hing in us so much as that man love his fellow and that he does should not do to others. You and urselves especially because we edly in different way and daily

he Creator of worlds and Ruler

.reflection of the message of the book as referred to above

sonality of Pope John Paul II, ith unbiased mind laid down tian-Muslim dialogue based on ues of

peace, liberty and social o humanity.65-A

his invitation to Interfaith hope that the attitude of the is predecessors, one of respect possess so

that the followers of e world may live together

References Part II

Chapter V

Al-A'Raf 7: 32. The Message of The Quran by .1

.Muhammad Asad published by Dar-al-Andalus Giblartar 1980

Surah 12 Ayat 92: The meaning of the Quran by Abul A'la .2

.Maududi page 429, Islamic Publications, Lahore

Ibid, page 380 .3

The Glory of Muhammad Page 70 published by Seerat .4

.International Centre Karachi

Surah Al Ahzab 33.56. The Meaning of Quran by Abul .5

.A'la Maudodi

The Holy Bible, Deuteronomy Chapter 17 verse 12,13 .6

.page 148, American Bible Society

Faqirullah vs Khaliquzzaman 1999 SCMR 2203 .7

.Al Bukhari Kitabul Hadith page-1 .8

.Kanzul-Ummal-Hadith No.12957 .9

Section 1 & 2 of chapter 23 Archbold 43 Ed page 2422 .10

published by Swefta Maxwell (London)

Juristic opinion propounded by Supreme Court in .11

Khaliquzzaman case 1994 SCMR 2203(2214)

.Deuteronomy 17:12-13 of the Holy Bible .12

Chapter VI

- .13 The Story of Philosophy by Will Durrant page 47
- .14 New Encyclopedia Britannica Vol.8 page 1183
- .15 Thomas Hobbes (1588-1679-A.D)
- .16 Locke (1632-1704 AD)
- .17 Francois Marie Voltaire 1694-1778 AD
- .18 Jean Jacques Rousseau (1712-1778)
- .19 The speech was delivered by Charles, Prince of Wales, at
.the Oxford Centre for Islamic studies, Oxford U.K. on 27 October 1995
- .20 Islamic Foundation Newsletter 22nd September, 2003
- .21 Surah 7:157, The Message of the Quran translated by
- .Muhammad Asad Pages 226 published by Dar Al-Andalus Gibraltar
- .22 Surah Al-A' RAF(7:158). Note: 125 of The Message of
- .The Qur'an by Muhammad Asad page-226

.23 Surah 7:158, Ibid, page 227

.24 Note: 126 of Surah 7:158, Ibid page 227

.25 The Prophet's last Sermon, published by Pakistan

.Progressive Associate (PPA), Lahore

.26 Ibid

.27 Al-Hadees

.28 Sura ANNISA - 4:1, Al-Quran by Muhammad Asad

.page 100

.29 Khandan Ghulaiman, Dair-ul-Marif Islamia Vol.II

.30 Ibid

.31 Ibid

.32 Spanish Islam page 295. by Dozy

.33 The Moors in Spain page 77 by Stanely Lane Poole

.34 Ibid

.35 Surah 5 Ayat 32, The Message of the Quran, page 147, by

.Muhammad Asad

.Al-Baqarah (The Cow) Ayat 190-Ibid .36

Chapter VII

The New Encyclopaedia Britannica Vol.II page. 74-75 .37

Studies in political thought & administration page 8 by .38

.Haroon Khan Shewrani

.Blackstone's Criminal Practice of 1995 page 653 .39

A Brief history of blasphemy pp 64-65 (New York, The-39 •

.(Orwell Press

B Blackstone's Criminal Practice of 1995 page 653. All-39 •

.England Law Reports 306 (318)

,C Introduction to the Law of constitution by A.V. Dicey-39 •

.page 246-7, New York St. Martin Press, 1959

.Corpus Juris Secundum, page 1 .40

.A Del-state Vs chandler 2 Del 553-40 •

.State Vs Mockus 113A.39.422,120ME14ALR 371 .41

.Corpus Juris Secundum, page 1 .42

• 42-Karen Armstrong by (page 229) The History of God .A

• 42-Sirah of Ibn-Hisham, page 992 .B

43. The New Encyclopaedia Britannica page 177-178

• 43-Hamidullah, page 201 .A Muslim conduct of state by Dr.

• 43-Muhammad Asad by The Message of Qur'an 8:60, Surah 8 .B

• 43-Ibid, Surah 8:61 .C

• 43-Kitabul Hart: Hadith D

44. Impact International

• 44-Clash of civilization .A

211-212 Edition 1997 by Samue .by

45. The New Encyclopa

Chapter VIII

46. Capital Cities of

published by Unive

47. The New Encyclop

48. Ibid, page 149

The Moors in Spain .49

The Capital Ciy of .50

Stanley Lane Pole .51

The Moors in Spa .52

by United Limited

The Capital Cities .53

The Moor in Spain .54

The Capital Cities .55

Ibid .56

A. The New Encyclo-56 •

The Moors in Spa .57

.Limited, Lahore

A The Moors in Sp-57 •

.Limited, Lahore

.Ibid page 66 .58

A Muhammad - A-58 •

Chapter IX

Punjab under the .59

Chapter X

Constitution of .60

by Routledge, L

.Ibid, page 88 .61

corpus Juris S .62

.US652

.Ibid page 227. rmon, published by Pakistan 'A), Lahore .27

,l-Quran by Muhammad Asad

.ul-Marif Islamia Vol.II-

.y Dozy 7 by Stanely Lane Poole

sage of the Quran, page 147, by

.t 190-Ibid

tanica Vol.II page. 74-75 . & administration page 8 by

ce of 1995 page 653. pp 64-65 (New York, The

tice of 1995 page 653. All 18). constitution by A.V. Diecy, artin Press, 1959. 1.

53. ,120ME14ALR 371. 1. by Karen Armstrong. . nnica page 177-178 Hamidullah, page 201.

.ur'an by Muhammad Asad

.D Hadith: Kitabul Harb-43 •

.Impact International Dec. 2003 .44

A. Clash of civilization and the remaking of world order, page-44 •

.by Samuel P. Huntington. Touchstone Book Edition 1997 211-212

.The New Encyclopaedia Britannica Vol. 5 page 310 .45

Chapter VIII

Capital Cities of Islam page 155 by Philip K. Hitti .46

.published by University of Munneota Press, Munneapolis

.The New Encyclopedia Britannica Vol. 9 page 148 .47

.Ibid, page 149 .48

.The Moors in Spain by Lane Pole page 68 .49

.The Capital Ciy of Islam, by Philip K. Hitti page 154 .50

.Stanley Lane Pole in his preface, the Moors in Spain .51

The Moors in Spain (page 91) by Stanley Pole, published .52

.by United Limited, Lahore

.The Capital Cities of Islam by Philip K. Hitti page-155 .53

.The Moor in Spain, Lane Pole page 92 .54

.The Capital Cities of Islam by Philip K. Hitti page-155 .55

Ibid .56

.A. The New Encyclopaedia Britanica, Vol 9, page 147-56 •

The Moors in Spain, by Stanley Pole, published by United .57

.Limited, Lahore. page 53

A The Moors in Spain, by Stanley Pole, published by United-57 •

.Limited, Lahore. page 68

.Ibid page 66 .58

.A Muhammad - A Biography of the Prophet, page 22-58 •

Chapter IX

.Punjab under the later Mughal by Dr. BS Najjar, page 279 .59

Chapter X

Constitution of the World by Robert L. Maddex, published .60

.by Routledge, London, page 83

.Ibid, page 88 .61

corpus Juris Secundum, Vol. XI, pages 359-360: 286 .62

.US652

.63 A. V. Dicey, Introduction to the law of constitution

.Macmillan & Co. Ltd. New York, 1959, p.xxv

.64 The times of India, New Dehli, March 9, 1989

Chapter XI

.65 Christianity and Islam. Reflections on Recent Teachings of

.the Church by Rev. Thomas Michel, S.J. page 11-12

.A. Ibid page 23-65 •

.on to the law of constitution, w York, 1959, p.xxv. Dehli, March 9, 1989

.flections on Recent Teachings of as Michel, S.J. page 11-12

PART 3

ANCIENT AND 20TH CENTURY

ULEMA'S STAND POINT

REGARDING BLASPHEMY

CHAPTER XII

Ancient Ulema's (Muslim Scholars') Research

Regarding Blasphemy

Abu-al Fadhl Qadhi Ayadh distinctively stands among the medieval Ulema of Andalus (Spain). Born in 496 A.H. in the city of Morocco, but passion for higher education took him to Andalus which was Europe's centre of learning science and art in those days. Apart from Hadith, he made an in-depth study of exegesis, Islamic fiqh (jurisprudence), literature, syntax, genealogies and contemporary sciences under the guidance of learned teachers. He belonged to the Maliki school of thought but was a man of wide vision with a vast intellectual horizon. In addition, he was an acknowledged poet of Arabic, speaker and author of several classical books. Above all, he had a loving heart for the holy Prophet of God. "Ash-shifa ba-tareof ul haqooqul Mustafa", his historic book on the holy Prophet, is a testimony to the same devotional sentiment with research being an inextricable feature of his intellectual life. In 531 A.H. he became the Chief Justice of Granada, the Andalusian metropolis. The later seerat writers have benefited themselves from this book with regard to the Prophet's dignity, stature and excellence and also that which comes under the head of blasphemy. We reproduce some of the important passages from his book

:In the chapter on Blasphemy he says

A person who abuses the holy Prophet (God Forbid); or slanders him or attributes any" imperfection to him); or ascribes any defect to his person or ancestry or religion or any of his habits; or insolently compares him to anything; or calls him deficient; or lowers his position or speaks ill of anything concerning him, it means that he calls the Prophet by ill name. Such a ,person comes under the law of blasphemy and is liable to punishment of death sentence

".which would be unquestionably awarded to one who abuses the Holy Prophet

Similar is the case of an individual, who curses the holy Prophet; or invokes a malediction upon him; or wishes him ill; or ascribe to him something unworthy of him with the design to slander or do him evil, or talks in a way to make him look small; or defames or speaks ill of him or belittle him on the basis of his hardships in lifetime, is forbidden by law. There has been an all-time consensus of opinion of the companions of the holy Prophet and Ulema with the ability to exercise .legal reasoning (Ijtehad) that the punishment of blasphemy is death

Caliph Abu Bakr is of the firm opinion that such a blasphemer should be put to death and the repentance of such a person is unacceptable. This is established opinion of all eminent jurists. Imam Abu Hanifah, the great jurist and his associates, besides Auzaie and Kufians are of the same view. However, some authorities say that "it is an act of apostasy" (conversion from Islam to other religion). So a blasphemer becomes an apostate. Imam Abu Hanifa, and his associates are quoted as declaring that whosoever finds faults with the holy Prophet, is a blasphemer. Opinion differs whether such a renegade should be urged to repent or declared as an unbeliever, or whether he should be subjected to execution or simply excluded from the fold of Islam. However, there is no difference on this matter that such a person (blasphemer) shall be executed. The Ummah of the old ages and ulema all over the Islamic world are unanimous on this point. Some say that there is no difference of opinion about the execution and excommunication of such a person. Whereas others, including Abu Mohammad Ali bin Ahmad Al-Farsi, say that they have

reservations about the excommunication of a blasphemer. But it is widely believed with reference to Sehnoon that: "All Ulema of the Ummah are unanimous

that a blasphemer of the holy Prophet or one who finds faults with him is an unbeliever and liable to punishment of death. They believe that he must be executed. And a person who doubts whether .a blasphemer is an apostate, himself is an apostate

Punishment for blasphemy

Ibn al-Qasim writes in his book Eittah: "Execution is the punishment of a person who abuses the holy Prophet or slanders him or finds faults with him. In the considered opinion of the entire Ummah that a blasphemer is like an apostate and is liable to death punishment because respect of ".the holy Prophet has been made obligatory by Allah

Imam Ahmad Bin Ibrahim quotes Imam Malik as saying: "If a person, whether believer or unbeliever, abuses the Prophet of Islam or any other Prophet, he must be put to the sword without accepting his repentance." Abdullah bin Abdul Hakam also says: "If a person, whether believer or ".unbeliever abuses the Prophet, he must be executed rejecting his repentance

The majority of Ulema are also unanimous: "That a blasphemer must be executed without giving .him an opportunity of repentance if he imprecates curses or evil upon any of the Prophets

There is an edict of executing a person who says about the holy Prophet: "He was a load-carrier, or he was an orphan nephew of Abu Talib", because by this he intends to insult the holy Prophet.

.This is the opinion of Abu al-Hasan Qasibi

A man heard some people talking about the appearance of the holy Prophet. Just at that moment an ugly and odious person passed them. That man said to them, "Do you want to see the Prophet? They replied, "Yes". Pointing to the ill-looking dishevelled and bearded man he said, "The Prophet was exactly

Zaid al-Qayravani said, "Rep person must not be accepted." him! He lied whatever he said faith .could utter such a thing (edict) for his execution

The Andalusian jurists for the execution of Ibn Hati declamation shown disrespect had expressed his opinion th was not self-willed; rather if luxuries, he would have broug

The Qayrvan jurists an a fatwa (juridical verdict) fo Fazari. He was a poet wit branches of literature. A cha that he had shown disrespec His Prophets and the holy P produced before the .court of many eminent jurists were death punishment to the c accordingly

Habib bin Rabi Farvi a pupils hold this view: "If any with the holy Prophet, he accepting his ".repentance

Ibn Ataab says: "T Sunnah that a man must be indirectly, explicitly or impl small, to the holy Prophet." have considered all such thi holy Prophet. They believe blasphemmer must be put to punishment for such a heinou

phet or one who finds liable to punishment of executed. And a person s an apostate, himself is
 ook Eittah: "Execution buses the holy Prophet him. In the considered blasphemmer is like an nment

".because respect ligatory by Allah

uotes Imam Malik as or unbeliever, abuses het, he must be put to ntance." Abdullah bin , whether
 believer or must be executed

unanimous: "That a out giving him an cates curses or evil

a person who says -carrier, or he was cause by this he is the opinion of

lking about the t moment an ugly an said to them, replied, "Yes". bearded man he
 said, "The Prophet was exactly like him". Abu Muhammad Zaid al-Qayravani said, "Repentance
 of such a wicked person must not be accepted." The curse of Allah be upon him! He lied
 whatever he said. No man with a wholesome faith could utter such a thing. Al-Qayrvani gave a
 .fatwa (edict) for his execution

The Andalusian jurists unanimously issued a fatwa for the execution of Ibn Hatim Talecli who had
 during a declamation shown disrespect towards the Holy Prophet He had expressed his opinion
 that the Prophet's temperance was not self-willed; rather if he had been given worldly luxuries, he
 .would have brought them under his use

The Qayrvan jurists and Sehnoon's pupils had given a fatwa (juridical verdict) for the execution of
 Ibrahim Fazari. He was a poet with a command over several branches of literature. A charge was

framed against him that he had shown disrespect in his poetry towards God, His Prophets and the holy Prophet. He was arrested and produced before the court of Qadhi Yahya bin Umar where many eminent jurists were present. The Qadhi awarded death punishment to the culprit and he .was hanged accordingly

Habib bin Rabi Farvi as well as Imam Malik and his pupils hold this view: "If anybody finds any ".sorts of faults with the holy Prophet, he should be executed without accepting his repentance

Ibn Ataab says: "This is rooted in Quran and Sunnah that a man must be put to death if he, directly or indirectly, explicitly or implicitly, ascribes a fault, though small, to the holy Prophet." Because the Ulema of all ages have considered all such things as blasphemy against the holy Prophet. They believe and announced fatawa that a blasphemer must be put to the sword, which is .the only punishment for such a heinous crime

Unacceptability of Penitence

Imam Malik, his associates and ulema of early phases of Islam believe that a penalty should be declared against the ribald and impertinent person for slandering the holy Prophet. He should be put to death, not for his unbelief but as punishment for breaking the law prescribed for blesphemy. He may have repented but in such a delicate matter his repentance would be unacceptable, and the .matter of his repentance may be acceptable to the God

One who is disrespectful to the holy Prophet has a different case because it also involves perpetual personal right of the Prophet. Let us try to understand this point in this way that somebody murdered or slandered someone at the time of turning apostate. To be sure, his repentance for .committing the crime of apostasy cannot exonerate him from the penalty of murder and slander

It may be noted here that acceptance of the offender's repentance cannot invalidate the penalties incurred by crimes like theft and murder. Now if a person comes under the penalty of insolence and slandering with regard to the holy Prophet, it is not on account of his unbelief. Instead it is for this reason that he has tried to dilute the dignity and sacredness of the Prophet and that is why his .repentance cannot exonerate him from the penalty prescribed by law

Sheikh Abu al-Hasan Qabisi a prominent scholar is of the view that even if a blasphemmer reverts to the faith by confessing his crime and his repentance is manifested too, even then he would be punished for the offence of blasphemy. Because execution alone is the punishment of this crime.

However Abu Mohammad bin Zaid thinks that his punishment is beyond any doubt. Yet since his repentance is a matter between him and God, it may therefore bring him benefit hereafter

ciates and ulema of early penalty should be declared nt person for slandering the put to death, not for his breaking the law prescribed pented but in such a delicate be unacceptable, and the .acceptable to the God

l to the holy Prophet has a nvolves perpetual personal to understand this point in ed or slandered .someone at be sure, his repentance for asy cannot exonerate him lander

that acceptance of the invalidate the penalties murder. Now if a person ence and slandering with s not on account of his ason that he has tried to of the Prophet and that is ate him from the penalty

si a prominent scholar is er reverts to the faith by tance is manifested too, ed for the offence of ne .is the punishment of nad bin Zaid thinks that doubt. Yet since his him and God, it may r

SHEIKH-UL-ISLAM IMAM IBN-E-TAYMIYAH

The learned people hardly need introduction of Sheik-ul-Islam Imam Ibn-e-Taymiyah. So lofty and prestigious is his place in the domain of learning, religious disciplines, projection and practise of Islamic principles, steadfastness and revival of religious thought that one has yet to parallel him .even after the passage of seven centuries

Allama Shibli Numani has rightly observed about the all-embracing personality of Imam Ibn Taymiyah: “Countless have been ulema, savants, rationalists, jurists and statesmen in Islam but ”there are hardly a few Mujaddid

- : There are three pre requisites for a Mujaddid (revivalist)

• (1) He should have introduced a dynamic healthy

,revolution in religion, learning or politics

• (2) The basis of his thinking should be nonconformity

• (3) He should have undergone miseries and tribulations

and risk of his life

If the third condition is not considered as binding, Imam Abu Hanifah, Imam Ghazali, Imam Razi and Shah Waliullah would have been deemed as revivalists. But to be true to reality, only Imam

Ibn-e-Taymiyah can befittingly be called Mujaddid (revivalist) of Islam

Ibn-e-Taymiyah was so overpowered by the intense love of the holy Prophet that he vehemently exposed the grimness of ribaldry against the Prophet and exerted all his intellectual and physical energies to wipe it out from the society. This is evidenced from his valuable book on blasphemy.

It lays bare all evil and anti-human sides contrasted with the unique stature of the Holy Prophet of

Islam in social, historical and religious perspective. In the

following pages the reader would find to his interest the abbreviated version of some of the chapters from his famous book "As Saram-al-Masloul Ala Shatim ur Rasool" (A hanging sword over the blasphemers).

Justification for blasphemer's punishment

All prophets sent by Allah for the guidance of humanity were free from defects and blemishes of all manners. The noble and the Last Prophet is comparatively the greatest one and his Prophethood is for the entire humanity and for all times to come. Whether someone believes him or not it may not transcend his prophethood. As an embodiment of excellence and the sublime virtues and qualities of heart and soul, he is the dearest and the most elevated and respected in the sight of Allah and his followers. So one who shows the slightest disrespect to such a unique figure .not only ceases to be a Muslim but is also relegated from humanity to the lowest ebb

Quoting the Book of Allah, Ibn-e-Taymiyah says that to show (hateful) animosity and hostility to the Prophet is to cause distress to him, and for this wicked doing one would be definitely .subjected to eternal hellfire and tortures

If anyone, so goes a Prophetic saying, took to ribaldry and slander against the Prophet, he would ask (addressing his companions): "Who would suffice my enemy for me?" (That is, who would ?"finish my enemy opposing the cause of humanity)

:First there is a warning in the holy Quran for such opponents

Surely the most abject of the creatures are those who resist Allah and his Messenger. Allah has "
written down: 'I and My Messengers shall certainly prevail'¹

.(d find to his interest the ...the chapters from his ol Ala Shatim ur Rasool" emer

unishment

ah for the guidance of ts and blemishes of all Prophet is comparatively ethood is for the entire ome. Whether someone anscend his prophethood. and the sublime virtues e is the dearest and the

.e sight of Allah and his e slightest disrespect to es to be a Muslim but is : lowest ebb

h, Ibn-e-Taymiyah says d hostility to the Prophet r this wicked doing one o eternal hellfire and

phetic saying, took to Prophet, he would ask ho would suffice my ould finish my enemy

he holy Quran for such

the creatures are those ger. Allah has written certainly prevail¹

Explanation: The nations which did not accept their (Messengers) message and adopt a way

contrary to their teachings, were ultimately doomed to destruction.²

Ibn-e-Taymiyah says that a person showing insolence to the Prophet, may be a believer or disbeliever, would be executed. All religious authorities agreed on this point that repentense of blasphemmer will not be accepted. In support of his contention, he quotes the learned doctors of

: fiqh

Imam Ahmad bin Hambal, quoting Abu Abdullah, says that a person, whether believer or" unbeliever, would be subjected to execution, if he slandered or spoke ill of the holy Prophet.

Tradition tells us that a blind companion killed a woman who would speak ill of the holy Prophet.

Abdullah Ibne Umar too has said that one who shows impudence to the Prophet would be
".executed

Umar bin Abdul Aziz has said that one who insults the holy Prophet would be put to the sword for being an apostate, and a Muslim can never use abusive language against the Prophet. If he does so, he would be no more a Muslim. Abdullah Bin Umar has said "I asked my father (Umar) about a person who blasphemes the holy Prophet whether he would be asked to show remorse for". He (Syedna Umar) said, "his execution is unavoidable and he won't be asked for repentance". Khalid bin Valid, put a man to the sword without demanding for repentance when he insulted the holy
.Prophet in his presence

Syedna Ali has been reported as saying "A Jewish woman used to rail at the holy Prophet. A man
.strangled her throat till she died. The holy Prophet said her blood had gone to waste

Syedna Abu Bakr has said; "After the Prophet, nobody is supposed to kill anybody for his own
insult." Yet the execution of the Prophet's blasphemer is not

conditioned by space and time — it would stand as a permanent rule, regardless of his belief or .unbelief

Imam Ibn-e-Tamayah refers to a ruling of the holy Prophet of God with regard to the execution of blasphemer. Ka'b Bin Ashraf was a prominent and influential Jewish leader of Madina. When he heard that the Quresh had been defeated at Badr, he joined Quresh in Makkah and began to revile and insult the Prophet. After spending sometime there in Makkah, Ka'ab returned to Madina. There, too, he used to hurt the holy Prophet and his companions. The holy Prophet asked his companions "who among you execute my order for Ka'ab's death? who has evoked the wrath of Allah by reviling and hurting his Prophet ". This order of the holy Prophet was carried out by Muslama and Abu Nai'la. Similarly the Prophet had ordered the killing of persons who reviled or .lampooned him

What is authentic and authoritative report about the execution issue regarding the Prophet's :blasphemer is the following saying of Syedna Umar

One who abused Allah or any of His Prophets must be put to the sword." So Caliph Umar" .specially issued order of killing of contemner of the Holy Prophet

Ibn-e-Abbas says: "If a person is living in a Muslim state as Ma'hid under the covenant with the Muslim state turns to be contumacious and abuses Allah and any of His Prophets, and does so ".overtly, it means he has broken the agreement. So he must be put to death

Caliph Abu Bakr wrote Al Mahajir about a woman who had reviled at the Holy Prophet: "If that were not the thing which you have already done about that woman, I would have ordered you to kill her, because the Haddood which is imposed on account of the Prophet's blasphemy is not like ordinary Hadd. If a Muslim does so he would turn apostate, and if a person, who blasphemes he is a combatant

A man who breaks Prophet names, his position disbeliever. And if that man with us by teasing" Allah exemplary punishment must from the following Quranic ve oaths after their covenant, and the chief of unfaith; for their that thus may be restrained."3

Here believers are to war on those unbelievers who being sucked into a persecute Prophet and his followers. instructions that hurting the crime, worse than waging war Quran: "Fight against them! your hands, and will bring you against them and H those who believe and will re: hearts."4

According to Imam directive has two-dimension specific. When a Dhimmi (Non-Muslim) launches a blasphemous campaign a two-fold result would flow from

Our agreement with (i) •

.intact

Such a man causes an (ii) •

the Holy Prophet and root of faith (Deen Prophet. This is some

.it would stand as a elief or unbelief -

rs to a ruling of the holy execution of blasphemer. nt and influential Jewish that the Quresh had been in Makkah and began to fter spending sometime o Madina. There, too, he his companions.

The holy who among you execute has evoked the wrath of Prophet ". This order of t by Muslama

.and Abu d ordered the killing of him

oritative report about the phet's blasphemer is the

.or any of His Prophets - Caliph Umar specially er of the Holy Prophet

son is living in a Muslim nt with the Muslim state ses Allah and any of His means he has broken

".the ath

Mahajir about a woman het: "If that were not the one about that woman, I her, because the

Hadood e Prophet's blasphemy is m does so he would turn

apostate, and if a person, who is a party to the covenant blasphemes he is a combatant and a

".covenant breaker

A man who breaks the covenant by calling the Prophet names, his position is worse than the"

combatant disbeliever. And if that man backed out of the covenant with us by teasing Allah and

His Holy Prophet, an exemplary punishment must be his fate. This is born out from the following

Quranic verse: "But if they violate their oaths after their covenant, and attack your Faith, fight ye

the chief of unfaith; for their oaths, are nothing to them: that thus may be restrained."3

Here believers are commanded by Allah to wage war on those unbelievers who violate their pledges by being sucked into a persecuting mania against the holy Prophet and his followers. We have a clear Divine instructions that hurting the Prophet's soul is heinous crime, worse than waging war against him as says the Quran: "Fight against them! God will chastise them by your hands, and will bring disgrace upon them; and will scour you against them and He will soothes the bosoms of those who believe and will remove the wrath that is in their hearts."4

According to Imam Ibn-e-Tamayah the divine directive has two-dimensional form, both general and specific. When a Dhimmi (Non-Muslim minority tax payer) launches a blasphemous -:campaign against the holy Prophet, a two-fold result would flow from it

Our agreement with him would no more remain (i) •

.intact

Such a man causes annoyance to Allah, His Apostle (ii) •

the Holy Prophet and believers. He strikes at the root of faith (Deen) by blaspheming the holy Prophet. This is something worse than his act of

.scuttling the peace agreement and his position would be like a combatant

One form of breach of agreement is this that a disbeliever commits adultery with a Muslim woman or robs away the belongings of Muslims by murdering them. In such a case his pledge-breaking offence will take on a more ugly and heinous form. Because adultery or dacoity or murder is in itself a crime, but the violation of an accord is a separate crime too. Similarly, speaking ill of the Prophet of God is in itself a crime apart from that of infringing an agreement. Specific to it is a punishment given in this world and hereafter punishment is different from that which is incurred .by maligning prophetic mission

Wiping out Blasphemy Indispensable

In the light of the foregoing authoritative views of Imam Ibn-e-Taymiyah one can clearly see that the removal of irritants obstructing the way of peace, harmony and social cohesion is indispensable to any state or government. The enormous one is that which spawns moral chaos and creates unnatural gaps between human beings. Promoting the religious argument—religion is hardly bereft of its social and political dimension—we would say that no class of human society must be a safe heaven for criminals. Doubtless the blasphemers, if seen in a psychological perspective, are the more dangerous criminals, than even the terrorists. Quite logically, if any state which sponsors or connives at the blasphemous pursuits of malefactors, it manifestly breaks international law. Every state, especially the Islamic state, is under obligation to punish blasphemers. Surely, the Muslims are not supposed to force disbelievers to accept the Islamic

doctrine. They are entitled to live in an Islamic state as law-abiding citizens. Yet they cannot be allowed to do anything repugnant to the law of the land, still less the Blasphemy Law which is directly related to the Muslim sensitivity

ement and his position

agreement is this that a Muslim woman or robs by murdering them. In fence will take on a more adultery or dacoity or violation of an accord is eaking ill of the Prophet rom that of infringing an .unishment given in this different from that which mission

able

g authoritative views of arly see that the removal of peace, harmony and any state or government. pawns moral chaos and man beings. Promoting is hardly bereft of its would say that no class heaven for criminals. en in a psychological s criminals, than even state which sponsors or uits of malefactors, it Every state, especially to punish blasphemers. d to force disbelievers are entitled to live in ns. Yet they cannot be o the law of the land, s directly related to the

The execution of a blasphemer, if disbeliever, will not be because of his disbelief but in compliance of Shariah Hadood. As mentioned earlier, it is such a crime as transcends even disbelief and the crime of murder. The holy Prophet as well as his companions had ordered the execution of such person; whereas it is not the punishment for disbelief and murder. To this

".Caliph Abu Bakr's directions are clear: "The Hadood of the Prophet are unlike other Hadood

In a Islamic state openly calling the holy Prophet by ill names is the worst type of wickedness and crime, a matching punishment is the prerequisite of checking its recurrence as has been established .by the Prophetic example and the collective theological opinion

There is only one instance of pardon to Abdullah Ibn-e-Sarh, who was ordered to be killed for act of blasphemy. Syedna Usman begged pardon for him on the day of conquest of Makkah. The prophet of Allah declined the requests of Syedna Usman who made appeal repeatedly. However, he was pardoned after waiting for a while, whereafter he asked his companions who were sitting around him: "why had not they killed the blasphemer Abdullah Ibn-e-Sarh when he had refused to grant pardon to him." The logical conclusion of this event is that the followers of the holy Prophet are required to kill the blasphemer, of course, in compliance with the order of a jurisprudential authority. Yet after his demise the situation became more grave and serious. To condemn a person who is insolent to the holy Prophet of God would be put to death without a demand for repentance in accordance with Quran and Sunnah. Syedna Umar had murdered a Muslim, without giving him an opportunity of hearing when he approached him to reverse the judgment of the holy Prophet .who had decided a case in favour of a Jew rejecting the unjust claim of the so-called Muslim

CHAPTER XIII

Twentieth Century Ulema's (Muslim Scholars)

Standpoint

In the last century, poet-philosopher Allama Iqbal, Maulana Abu-ul-Kalam Azad, and Maulana Syed Abul A'la Maududi were the epoch-making luminaries of Islam. They repudiated the irreligious arguments of modern Europe and orientlists with their deep insight and vast knowledge and wisdom. They brought about the Islamic renaissance. One may differ with their political approach but their wide vision and intellectual integrity are beyond any shadow of doubt. I am at one with Allama Iqbal and Maulana Azad by my heart and soul, but I have the privilege to be enlightened by Maulana Maududi's thought and epoch making approach to the socio-religious movement of our present times through my personal contact and frequent meetings with him. The total and overwhelming commitment to the Prophet was indeed the fascinating aspect of their character which drew one into the intellectual orbit of these personalities. Here is an article by Maulana Maududi that reflects his frame of thought and sense of commitment. The historical significance of this article lies in the fact that it had been written in 1927 when Justice Daleep Singh of the Lahore High Court had exonerated the ignoble Raj Pal from the charge of ribaldry towards the holy Prophet. The article, though brief, is comprehensive and covers all the .fundamental points

BLASPHEMY LAW OF TH

Maulana Syed Ab

What accurately refle regarding the sanctity of the Pro death is the punishment for tha Prophet, so
: a person who cause not liable to be punished i contemner. Abu Buradha Al Nisai in many ways

Caliph Abu Bakr resentment towards a man. speaking in an open mee permission from the"

".Caliph hearing it his anger cooled o enjoys this privilege after th beheaded for insulting him

In another Hadith Ib saying that in Madinah the bondmaid spoke ill of the killed her with a
dagger. Th of her murder reached the man who has done this thin blind man came trembling
Prophet of Allah! I have bondmaid. She was kind to forbade her but she never all fall flat upon
her. Last of you. Thereupon I rose t belly." After hearing this people should stand witn was of no

value. (The n Diyat 'blood money')"⁶

Similarly the inci has been mentioned in

BLASPHEMY LAW OF THE HOLY PROPHET

Maulana Syed Abul A'la Maududi

What accurately reflects the Muslim feelings regarding the sanctity of the Prophet is the fact that in Islam death is the punishment for that man who speaks ill of the Prophet, so a person who causes the death of blasphemer is not liable to be punished if he proves the guilt of contemner.

:Abu Buradha Al Aslami has been quoted in Nisai in many ways

Caliph Abu Bakr Siddiq was showing his resentment towards a man. who insulted him while" speaking in an open meeting. Abu Bardha sought permission from the Caliph to kill the contemner. On hearing it his anger cooled down. He remarked: No man enjoys this privilege after ".the Prophet that one should be beheaded for insulting him

In another Hadith Ibn Abbas has been reported as saying that in Madinah there was a blind Muslim whose bondmaid spoke ill of the Holy Prophet and her master killed her with a dagger. The following day when the news of her murder reached the Prophet he said: "I adjure the man who has done this thing to stand by" On hearing it, the blind man came trembling before the Prophet and said: "O Prophet of Allah! I have done this thing. She was my bondmaid. She was kind to me. She had spoken ill of you. I forbade her but she never came round. If I rebuked her, it all fall flat upon her. Last night she was again speaking ill of you. Thereupon I rose to my feet, pierced a knife into her belly." After hearing this the Prophet of Allah said: "All people should

stand witness that the blood of that woman was of no value. (The murderer is not liable to Qisas or Diyat 'blood money')"⁶

Similarly the incident of Ka'b bin Asrhrاف's murder has been mentioned in Kitab-ul-Maghazi of Bukhari. He

would malign the Prophet by lampooning him and instigating the Quraysh against him. The holy Prophet therefore got him killed through Mohammad Ibn Salmah. Abu Daud had given the following reason with regard to the murder of Ka'b bin Ashraf: "He used to lampoon the Prophet and instigate the feelings of the Quraysh against him .7

:Ibn Sa'd, too, has given the same reason for his murder

Explicit rules and commands have been given in fiqh books regarding punishment for blasphemy of the holy Prophet. Jurists may have difference of opinion with regard to execution but all are at one on the dignity, sanctity and greatness of the Prophet and in their collective opinion they have unanimously declared that one who blasphemes the Prophet must be put to death. This highlights the feelings of the Ummah in respect of this sensitive issue and no one can be allowed to change .this established law of Islam

FATWA OF GRAND MUFTI OF SAUDI ARABIA

Grand Mufti of Saudi Arabia, Samahat-ush-Sheikh Abdul Aziz bin Baz was a distinguished personality of the Muslim world. He issued a fatwa (decree) with reference to the Holy Quran, Ahadith and consensus of opinion of the Ulema of the past centuries that the only punishment .prescribed for the blasphemmer is death

The author has the privilege of many meetings with this religious authority in Makkah-al-Mukaramah in 1986, when Dr. Luqman a brilliant scholar was his secretary. This fatwa was .issued on the request of the author

MAULANA AHMAD SAEED

The late Maulana Ahmad, an eminent theologian, jurist and discussed with him in detail that in Pakistan. He
: had a clear- about the punishment of blas writes

Looking into the Ummah's consensus and the doubt and we are sure that those who blasphemes"
the Prophet by reviling the holy Prophet punishment of death. The because they have opposed Allah
opposes Allah and His Messenger for him in retribution. This is it now: you should also know hellfire for
those who deny it

The Divine command and contemptors is that by which they have blasphemed and by Quran frequently
spells out outright act of waging war. A Muslim becomes an apostate faith and an apostate simply
described in the Holy Quran

Say unto those because you will be called upon (protest in war: you will die) or they"
surrender. God will bestow on you away as you turned away with grievous chastisement

MAULANA AHMAD SAEED KAZMI

The late Maulana Ahmad Saeed Kazmi was an eminent theologian, jurist and scholar. The author had discussed with him in detail the enforcement of Islamic law in Pakistan. He had a clear-cut :and unambiguous view about the punishment of blasphemers of the Prophet . He writes

Looking into the Book, Prophetic practice, Ummah's consensus and the collective opinion, we" have no doubt and we are sure that death is the only penalty for one who blasphemes the Prophet. Open hostility is established by reviling the holy Prophet, which requires the severe punishment of death. The noble Quran says: "This is because they have opposed Allah and His Messenger: who opposes Allah and His Messenger, surely Allah is severe for him in retribution. This is punishment for you: so taste it now: you should also know that there is the torture of hellfire for those who deny the truth."9

The Divine command for killing the blasphemers and contemnors is that by opposing Allah and His Prophet they have blasphemed and have been insolent to them. The Quran frequently spells out that insulting the Prophet is an outright act of waging war against him. To go further, a Muslim becomes an apostate after conversion to another faith and an apostate simply faces the :penalty of death as described in the Holy Quran

Say unto those bedouin who stayed behind: In time you will be called upon (to fight) against" people of great prowess in war: you will have to fight against them (until you die) or they

surrender. And then, if you heed (that call), God will bestow on you a goodly reward: but if you turn away as you turned away this time, He will chastise you with grievous chastisement."9

The above verse which revealed as a prophecy was related to the apostates of Yamamah. Some refer it to the future war against Persia and Byzantium. But the following tradition of Rafay Bin :Khadij relates it to the apostates of Bani Hanifah (People of Yamamah)

Previously we recited this verse, but we never knew about the people under reference till Caliph" Abu Bakr Siddiq time when the Muslims were ordered to fight against Banu Hanifah (Yamamah ".apostates). At that time we came to know that these apostates alone were implied by the verse

MAULANA SYED MATEE

The late Maulana Sye was one of Pakistan's topm whole-heartedly stood for the Luckily the blasphemy case lifetime. When the author con him, he was so much pleased his head to express his sense Prophet. Tears rolled down achievement which was ch looked at this sensitive issu perspective: (Author's Note)

I believe that the r Islamic faith, rather the p religions have consensus ov so much so that even the p Him as the Creator, and the the Quran: "And thus it is (them, "who is it that has cre and sun and the moon subs will surely answer 'God' 10

Arguably, it is the h distinction between truth unbelief. To testify to his P him is ultra faith. This is the community, right from the today, has ever attempted t religion, the Prophet has be claimants to Prophethood, Thereafter all the heretics the target of their unjust, b Whether it is Dianand Sars and critics, they never ope the oneness of God or ot captiously concentrated on

MAULANA SYED MATEEN HASHMI

The late Maulana Syed Mateen Hashmi (d. 1992) was one of Pakistan's topmost research scholars who whole-heartedly stood for the Prophet's sanctity movement. Luckily the blasphemy case had been decided during his lifetime. When the author communicated this good news to him, he was so much pleased that at once he bowed down his head to express his sense of gratitude to Allah and his Prophet. Tears rolled down his cheeks out of joy for this achievement which was cherished desire of his life. He looked at this sensitive issue of blasphemy from a new perspective:

(Author's Note)

I believe that the noble Prophet is the root of Islamic faith, rather the paragon of faith. Because all religions have consensus over the existence reality of God, so much so that even the polytheists of Makkah accepted Him as the Creator, and the Lord of the Universe as says the Quran: "And thus it is (with most people) if 'thou ask them, "who is it that has created the heavens and the earth, and sun and the moon subservient (to His Laws)? - They will surely answer 'God'10

Arguably, it is the holy Prophet who drew a line of distinction between truth and falsehood, belief and unbelief. To testify to his Prophethood is faith and to deny him is ultra faith. This is the reason that if any individual or community, right from the Prophet's auspicious epoch till today, has ever attempted to turn Muslims away from their religion, the Prophet has been the first target. All the false claimants to Prophethood, came down hard upon him too. Thereafter all the heretics and unbelievers also made him the target of their unjust, baseless and capricious criticism.

Whether it is Dianand Sarsoti, or Jaypal or western priests and critics, they never openly objected to Muslim belief in the oneness of God or other doctrines of faith. They all captiously concentrated only on the Prophet because they

knew that Islam is simply bracketed with faith in him. It may not be wrong if I say that the Prophet is the only real symbol of faith.

The Ummah has, therefore, been unanimous in its belief that the Prophet's reviler must be put to death without accepting his repentance, and this consensus is rooted in the Quranic verses that have already been stated in "Assarim" of Imam Ibn-e-Tamayah ante

A clear and unquestionable historical evidence says that the Prophet's Companions would never spare the life of his blasphemer.

Arfah Ibn-ul-Harith and Ikramah, both companions, had participated in jihad against the apostates of Yemen. A Christian, namely Bandqoon, came across them. Arfah suggested him to accept Islam. He (Bandqoon) passed indecent remarks against the noble Prophet. He was seized and taken to Amr Bin al-As, the governor of Egypt. But the governor, pointing to the accused, said:

"We have given them (Non-Muslim) our pledge of protection." Thereupon Arfah said

God forbid! Did we give the blasphemer our pledge that they would be at liberty to malign us" and Allah and His Prophet? We only took the responsibility that we won't interfere with their religious affairs and their places of worship, that is, they would be free to worship in their churches and temples. Certainly we promised that we won't place burden on them beyond their capacity, and that we would protect their lives, property and places of worship. Also we won't interfere with the practice of their religious injunctions. But if they commit any cognizable offence

".or create law and order situation, we would decide it according to the commands of Allah

The governor Amr Bin al As said: "You have spoken the truth" (Al-Tabrani Fil Ausat)

Also another tradition Umayyah. It is mentioned on th Al Ausat Ameer says: "I had a p ill of the Prophet. One day I b killed her. My nephews raised there are many people whose p they have not been murdered murderer of our mother." Ameer be killed in revenge. He, there Prophet and related the whole in

?The Prophet asked him: I sister

Yes, O Prophet of Go asked him why?: "Ameer replied uttering scurrilous words against"

The Prophet called the so and apprised them of the facts contested, therefore, the Prophe the .charge of murder

.These two incidents hav standpoint and practice of the issue of blasphemy

It is worth mentioning repentance is accepted and the would start taking liberty with t spawning moral chaos all around

Allama Ahmad Fathi Bh to late Dr. Mohammad Yusuf M Hadood to a repentor, it means t people on the fallacious ground which is not in their hearts. A limits of Allah would be lost."11

eted with faith in him. It e Prophet is the only real

e, been unanimous in its r must be put to death e, and this consensus is have already been stated

:.yah ante

e historical evidence says ould never spare the life

amah, both companions, e apostates of Yemen. A me across them. Arfah He (Bandqoon) passed

Prophet. He was seized vernor of Egypt. But the said: "We have given protection." Thereupon

e the blasphemer our to malign us and Allah e responsibility that we affairs and their places free to

worship in their promised that we won't capacity, and that we and places of worship. actice of

" their religious cognizable offence or we would decide it

(As said: "You have sat

Also another tradition regarding Ameer bin Umayyah. It is mentioned on the same page of this

book, Al Ausat Ameer says: "I had a pagan sister who would talk ill of the Prophet. One day I

brought out my sword and killed her. My nephews raised hue and cry, saying that there are many

people whose parents are unbelievers, yet they have not been murdered. Besides, we know the

murderer of our mother." Ameer feared that some one may be killed in revenge. He, therefore,

.appeared before the Prophet and related the whole incident

?The Prophet asked him: Did you really murder your sister

Yes, O Prophet of God.” He said: The Prophet asked him why?: “Ameer replied: “She had “
”.annoyed me by uttering scurrilous words against you

The Prophet called the sons of the murdered woman and apprised them of the facts. As the matter
.was not contested, therefore, the Prophet exonerated Ameer from the charge of murder

These two incidents have clearly authenticated the standpoint and practice of the companions
.regarding the issue of blasphemy

It is worth mentioning here that if blasphemers' repentance is accepted and they are given pardon,
.they would start taking liberty with the sanctity of the Prophet, spawning moral chaos all around

Allama Ahmad Fathi Bhanti writes with reference to late Dr. Mohammad Yusuf Musa: “If we
start writing off Hadood to a repentant, it means that we would pardon such people on the
fallacious ground that it is something else which is not in their hearts. At that time the sanctity of
limits of Allah would be lost.”¹¹

DR. MUHAMMAD TAHIR-UL-QADRI

Dr. Muhammad Tahir-ul-Qadri is a well known person both in political and religious spheres. In the Federal Shariat Court he not only fully supported our Shariat petition in all its aspects and concurred with our constitutional and legal discussion but also assisted the court with his logical and argumentative caliber with regard to the punishment of death for a blasphemer of the holy Prophet. Dr. Qadri had gone to the extreme extent that even the intention and motive of the offender are irrelevant and cannot be taken into consideration while deciding the case of blasphemer. He said that a blasphemer must not be given an opportunity of hearing to prove his intention and motive in his defence. We differed with him on this point in the court, because according to Islamic injunctions an accused person has the right to defend himself and no one could be condemned unheard. There is Hadith of the holy Prophet that when Hazrat Ali was appointed as a Judge of Yaman the Prophet advised him to decide the cases after hearing both the parties.

Dr. Qadri, while quoting religious authorities argued that the execution of a blasphemer of the Prophet is unavoidable as nobody can nullify the Hadd. No one can change or amend it as it is a commandment of Allah. Court and government are under obligation to implement Hudood. It is something related to right of the Prophet and he alone can forgo it.

No court and government, according to law, can reduce or do away with the penalty imposed by law of Hudood for committing offences like adultery, theft and drinking, nor there is any provision

to pardon the offender. Then how can only the punishment for a heinous crime of blasphemy
?could be set aside on repentance of a culprit

MAULANA SALAHUDDIN

Maulana Salahuddin scholar. He is a Jurist Consul of Pakistan and Chief Editor has, in the light of

Quran, Ha submitted to the court his vi punishment of a blasphemer c

The standpoint of the Mr. Ismail Qureshi and those put their signatures thereon a fact,"

.unambiguous texts of consensus of the Ummah gi necessary detail of which petition

This is an acknowledged of punishment should matc minor punishment for m punishment for heinous

crim minor and major offences is We find the same unreason Pakistan Penal Code with religion

and religious pe important to remove this dis If a believer or non believer or mocks at the Prophet

.from he must be awarded punish must be given an opportun real facts of the case

MAULANA SALAHUDDIN YUSAF

Maulana Salahuddin Yusaf is a renowned Salfi scholar. He is a Jurist Consult of the Federal Shariat Court of Pakistan and Chief Editor of a weekly Al-Etesam. He has, in the light of Quran, Hadith and fiqh (jurisprudence), submitted to the court his views in writing regarding the :punishment of a blasphemer of the Prophet. He says

The standpoint of the author of the Shariat petition Mr. Ismail Qureshi and those ulema and" scholars who have put their signatures thereon are quite right and correct. In fact, unambiguous texts of Quran and Hadith and the consensus of the Ummah give validity to this issue. The .necessary detail of which is also given in the Shariat petition

This is an acknowledged principle that the quantum of punishment should match the gravity of crime, i.e., minor punishment for minor offences and severe punishment for heinous crimes. Yet similar penalty for both minor and major offences is unreasonable and unwarranted. We find the same unreasonableness in the provisions of Pakistan Penal Code with regard to offences relating to religion and religious personalities. It is extremely important to remove this discrepancy to make it reasonable. If a believer or non believer blasphemes, slanders, belittles or mocks at the Prophet from all theological considerations, he must be awarded punishment of death. But the offender .must be given an opportunity of hearing to ascertain the real facts of the case

MAULANA MOHAMMAD HUSSAIN AKBAR

Maulana Akbar is an enlightened and eminent scholar of Jafria School of thought and Principal of a seminary. He believes that in the light of Quran, Hadith and theological traditions, the execution : of a blasphemer of the Prophet is unavoidable. He says

Like our Muslim brethren who follow Hanafi, Shafii, Hanbali and Maliki schools of thought, the“ .Shia Community believes that one who blasphemes the holy Prophet must be put to death

In his book, Kitab-ul-Hadood (page 361), Ayatullah Syed Mohammad Shirazi says that if someone thinks that any person from amongst the followers of Prophet Mohammad is like him from the viewpoint of nobility and excellence he shall be treated as a blasphemer and should be .awarded punishment of death

Ayatullah Syed Abul-Qasim al-Koi believes that a listener is also under obligation to kill a man who blasphemes the Prophet provided he feels no danger to his life and honour at stake. Shirazi and his associates believe that the companions of all the Prophets in general and those of Prophet Mohammad in particular are respectable in the eyes of believers. None from amongst the Ummah enjoys that status of spiritual rank which is the privilege of the companions on account of their association with the Prophet. We shall commit great blunder if we fail to hold them in high regards. The companions are indeed pillars of exalted Prophetic edifice. It is therefore with a consensus, the punishment of flogging has been prescribed for those who revile them.¹²

Imam Raza has narrated a Prophetic tradition with reference to his forefathers that if anybody who speaks ill of Prophet must be executed, and if anybody insults the companion of the Prophet, he punishment of flogging

Similarly Imam Jafar the authority of his father as s share a common responsabil person hears anyone slande obligation to murder that com take the case to a authority case is presented to a judge, h blasphemmer executed.”¹³

Shariat-ul-Islam Kita Aqaid (p.241) by Sheikh Sad and Mullah Baqir Majlisi Hussain Lahori) and .Kitab Ayatullah Syed Mohammad same rulings

AIN AKBAR

ghtened and eminent nt and Principal of a t of Quran, Hadith and of a blasphemmer of the

who follow Hanafi, of thought, the Shia blasphemes the holy

Ayatullah f someone thinks that ollowers of Prophet wpoint of nobility and aspheimer ,(page 361)

and should

l-Koi believes that a o kill a man who feels no danger to his his associates believe s in general and

those are respectable in the t the Ummah enjoys the privilege of the ssociation with the er if we

fail to hold are indeed pillars of re with a consensus, prescribed for those

phetic tradition with ody who speaks ill anybody insults the

.companion of the Prophet, he would be subjected to the punishment of flogging

Similarly Imam Jafar Sadiq quoted the Prophet on the authority of his father as saying: “All

people (Muslims) share a common responsibility towards me. Hence if a person hears anyone

slandering me, he comes under obligation to murder that contemner. There is no need to take the

case to a authority i.e. judge. However if such a case is presented to a judge, he is required by

law to get the blasphemmer executed.”¹³

Shariat-ul-Islam Kitab al-Hudood (p.167), Shia Aqaid (p.241) by Sheikh Sadooq Abu Jafar

Babwiah Qumi and Mullah Baqir Majlisi (translation by Maulana Arif Hussain Lahori) and Kitab

al-Hudood and Tazirat by Ayatullah Syed Mohammad Shirazi (p.348-366) quoted the same
.rulings

MAULANA MOHAMMAD SADIQ LALA SAHRAEE

Lala Sahraee is one of those high-ranking devotees, who have consecrated their heart and soul and pen and tongue to extolling the holy Prophet. He has also happened to visit the place of that fortified mansion where Ka'b bin-Ashraf, the Prophet's blasphemer, was killed. Lala Sahraee has

:referred to some reports from Seerah (Prophet's biography) and in this regard he writes

The first incident took place in 2 A.H when the Prophet was victoriously returning from Badr.

During the journey when the holy Prophet marched out of the pass of the Safra Valley he spotted one Nasr-bin-Harith who during his Makkan life used to slander and malign the Prophet. On the

.Prophet's order this offender was put to death by Hazrat Ali

Again during the same journey when the Prophet reached Araq-un-Nateebah, he saw another man,

by name of Aqbah-bin-Abi Mohit. In Makkah once this man had put the camel offal over the

Prophet, when he was offering his prayer and also on another occasion in Ka'bah he had tortured

the Prophet by tightening a cloth against his neck. Obeying the Prophet's order Hazrat Ali

.beheaded him

The following year four blasphemers were beheaded one after another. Uqmana, a Jewish poetess

who had lampooned the Prophet met her death at the hands of Ameer bin Iddi, a blind companion

who was later called by the Prophet as "Baseer" (one who sees). Another poet Abu Afak, who

.used to slander the prophet, was killed by Salim bin Umar in compliance of the Prophet's order

Ka'b bin Ashraf became the next victim of capital punishment. Besides being a poet he was a wealthy Jew who lived in a splendid spacious and fortified house in the vicinity of Madinah. On account of his dominating family image and financial position he was audacious enough to speak ill of the Prophet. Abu l companions of the prophet under a Prophet entered Ka'b's mansion .an Sehraee visited the ruin of the c Hijra

Abu Rafay, another wealth mansion at Khyber, would abe towards Islam and slanderous Prophet. Hazrat Abdullah by the o him in his chambers. The same y from the Battle of Uhud, the Pr Izzah who through his poetry feelings of the Quraish pagans Thabit executed the order of the to the .death

On the eve of Prophet declared a general a polytheists with the exception of standing orders from the Prophe even if they were found wra covering. Hairth-bin-Talal, the l and Umme Sa'd, lampooning Khatal, who were among those d

IQ LALA SAHRAEE

high-ranking devotees, and soul and pen and . He has also happened nsion where Ka'b bin- as
:killed. Lala Sahraee m Seerah (Prophet's s

in 2 A.H when the rom Badr. During the ned out of the pass of bin-Harith who during align the :
Prophet. On ut to death by Hazrat

y when the Prophet other man, by name nce this man had put n he was offering his in Ka'bah he
.had oth against his neck. beheaded him

blasphemers were Jewish poetess who ath at the hands of was later called by . Another poet Abu
.was killed by Salim order

t victim of capital as a wealthy Jew tified house in the dominating family lacious enough to
speak ill of the Prophet. Abu Nailah and some other companions of the prophet under a special
order of the holy Prophet entered Ka'b's mansion and put him to death. Lala Sehraee visited the
.ruin of the castle of Ka'ab in 1385 Hijra

Abu Rafay, another wealthy trader who lived in his mansion at Khyber, would abet Ka'b in his
hostility towards Islam and slanderous campaign against the Prophet. Hazrat Abdullah by the
order of the Prophet killed him in his chambers. The same year, while marching back from the
Battle of Uhud, the Prophet had seen one Abu Izzah who through his poetry had been instigating

the feelings of the Quraish pagans against him. Asim-bin- Thabit executed the order of the holy Prophet and put him to death

On the eve of Makka's conquest, the Prophet declared a general amnesty for infidels and polytheists with the exception of a few of them. There were standing orders from the Prophet for killing them on sight, even if they were found wrapped up in the Ka'bah covering. Hairth-bin-Talal, the lampooning poet and Artab and Umme Sa'd, lampooning singer bondmaids of Ibn Khatal, who were among those declared offenders

IMAM KHOMEINI'S DECLARATION

From the Islamic world, Iranian revolutionary spiritual leader Ruhullah Imam Khomeini was the first to issue the following edict against Salman Rushdie, author of the “Satanic Verses” and its publisher.

Proclamation

This Islamic edict is issued to wôrld Muslims in the name of supreme, sovereign and one God to “whom we all shall have to return

Salman Rushdie, author of ‘The Satanic Verses’ who has used scurrilous language against Islam and the holy Prophet and the printer and publisher of this book who knowingly the contents of the book printed and published it, carry the death punishment over their heads for such a heinous, unpardonable crime. Hence I make an earnest appeal to all the Muslims of the world, who have sense of honour, to execute this sentence of death so that no one shall dare in future to take such nonsensical liberty of insulting the holy Prophet of Islam. May God bless you all

The Western media have been trying to give this impression that Fatwa (edicts) council of the biggest Muslim seminary, Jamiah-al-Azhar, and the Makkah-based juristic authority have declared Imam-Khomeini’s juristic Fatwa is violative of Islamic injunctions. But this is wrong and incorrect. Indeed the learned Abdullah al-Mashhad, Head of the Fatwa council of Jamia-al-Azhar and Dr. Muhammad Hisamuddin, Chairman of the theological Department of Al-Azhar also

declared that Rushdie's execution would be lawful, yet they have given the right of self defence to
.the culprit in their edict

Similarly, the Makkah academy of jurisprudence, too, announced on the authority of the edict of
the Grand Mufti of Saudi Arabia, Samahat-ul-Shaikh

Abdul Aziz bin Baaz that blasphemer of the holy Prop Yet it has explained that F Islamic court of
.a Muslim c opportunity to defend hims sould be awarded to him

Declaration of Ayatullah K

Supreme Spiritual Khamana Ei, heir apparent observed: "Fatwa of the gre in force so that there
should attacks on the noblest per notorious and shameless wr

LARATION anian revolutionary eini was the first to n Rushdie, author of

o world Muslims in ne God to whom we

he Satanic Verses' inst Islam and the er of this book who nted and published ir heads for such a

.make an earnest who have sense of th so that no one sensical liberty of God bless you all

rying to give this l of the biggest he Makkah-based homeini's juristic But this is wrong llah al-

Mashhad, l-Azhar and Dr. the theological that Rushdie's given the right of

academy of rity of the edict mahat-ul-Shaikh

Abdul Aziz bin Baaz that the death punishment of the blasphemmer of the holy Prophet is in accord

with Shariah. Yet it has explained that Rushdie should be tried in an Islamic court of a Muslim

country and should be given an opportunity to defend himself, whereafter death sentense sould be

.awarded to him

Declaration of Ayatullah Khamana Ei

Supreme Spiritual Authority of Iran Ayatullah Khamana Ei, heir apparent of Ruhullah Imam

Khomeini, observed: "Fatwa of the great Imam Khomeini shall remain in force so that there

should be no recurrence of slanderous attacks on the noblest personage of the Holy Prophet by

".notorious and shameless writers sponsored by the West

MAULANA ABU AL-HASSAN ALI NADVI

Maulana Abu Al-Hassan Ali Nadvi, a renowned scholar of the Muslim world and chancellor of Dar-ul- Uloom Nadvatul Ulema of India, has supported Imam Khomeini's edict against Rushdie. He declared that the execution of Rushdie, author of Satanic Verses is justifiable. In his public statement he said: "Rushdie has insulted the Prophet of Islam and outraged the feelings of Muslims all over the world. The Muslims fully agree to the edict of Imam Khomeini because one, who blasphemes the Prophet, has always been awarded death penalty according to Islamic law of Blasphemy. Muslim scholars and jurists unanimously hold this view that has never been repudiated."¹⁴

DR. ABDULLAH UMAR NASEEF

Dr. Abdullah Umar Naseef, Secretary General of Rabitah Alam-i-Islami and head of Motamar is a learned and distinguished personality of the Muslim world. He at a special Rabitah meeting, in which the representative ulema of Muslim world participated, announced this unanimous decision: "Salman Rushdie is a renegade for whom Islam prescribes death penalty. He appealed to the Ummah to get Rushdie tried in a Muslim country by filing a complaint against him for committing the heinous offence of blasphemy. Whatever Rushdie has written in his book, he said, has nothing to do with the freedom of conscience or freedom of expression. It has outraged the feelings of all Muslims throughout the world. Salman Rushdie has been renegaded from Islam therefore he is liable to the punishment of death for the crime of blasphemy according to Law of Shariah."¹⁵

GHAZI ILMUD

Ghazi Illmuddin was alias Talaymand, a carpen background, who lived in the still a small boy when he lear father initiated him into carpe skill within a few years. One friend Abdur Rashid, he pas where religious leaders wer Hindu publisher, Rajpal, blasphemous book. In their f that Muslims should punish their own lives. On hearing surged through the minds of home Ilmuddin

"?asked his fa after insulting our Prophet

.No my son", Tala never leave him alive." "W son again asked his father"

Yes my son, he w Britisher's law," came the r to why his son was puttin following day" Illamuddin c owned by Aatma Ram, a Then he went straight to R death on the spot with lou my Prophet". After his arre Judge Lahore after prelimi the Court of Session's Jud recording evidence and he convicted Ilamuddin und Code and awarded deat confirmation by the High 22.5.1929.

Ilamuddin, in parents, got an appeal fi

I NADVI

Nadvi, a renowned chancellor of Dar-ul- has supported Imam He declared that the Satanic Verses is e said: "Rushdie has raged the feelings of ims fully agree to the who blasphemes the ath penalty according scholars and jurists has never been

ecretary General of otamar is a learned uslim world. He at a epresentative ulema ced this unanimous de for whom Islam the Ummah to get filing a complaint inous offence of en in his book, he n of conscience or the feelings of all Rushdie has been is liable to the sphemy according

GHAZI ILMUDDIN SHAHEED

Ghazi Ilmuddin was the son of Talay Muhammad alias Talaymand, a carpenter with the labour class background, who lived in the walled city of Lahore. He was still a small boy when he learnt to read the Quran. Soon, his father initiated him into carpentry in which he mastered the skill within a few years. One evening, accompanied by his friend Abdur Rashid, he passed by Masjid Wazeer Khan, where religious leaders were making speeches against a Hindu publisher, Rajpal, who had brought out a blasphemous book. In their fiery speeches, they announced that Muslims should punish Raj Pal even if it meant risking their own lives. On hearing the declaration a fit of anguish surged through the minds of the two friends. After reaching home Ilmuddin asked his

"?father: "Can anybody live alive after insulting our Prophet

No my son", Talaymand replied: "Muslims will never leave him alive." "Will his killer be" .punished?" The son again asked his father

Yes my son, he will be hanged according to the Britisher's law," came the reply. Talaymand got "worried as to why his son was putting such questions to him. The following day Illamuddin came to a junk-shop which was owned by Aatma Ram, a Hindu, and purchased a knife. Then he went straight to Raj Pal's shop and knifed him to death on the spot with loud cry, "I have taken revenge for my Prophet". After his arrest Mr. Louis, Additional District Judge Lahore after preliminary inquiry, sent up the case to the Court of Session's Judge Lahore. The trial Judge, after recording evidence and hearing the counsels of both sides, convicted Ilamuddin under section 302 of Indian Penal Code and awarded death sentence to him subject to confirmation by the High Court vide his judgment dated 22.5.1929. Ilamuddin, in due deference to the wishes of his parents, got an appeal filed against this judgment in the

Lahore High Court. Mr. Mohammad Ali Jinnah, the leading lawyer of that time who rose up as an accomplished leader of Indian Muslims, appeared as his defence counsel. Mr. Justice Broadway .and Mr. Justice John Stone heard the case

Mr. Jinnah's argument, inter alia, hinged on this important point that by publishing an extremely sacrilegious and objectionable book, Raj Pal deliberately insulted the holy Prophet of Islam which outraged the feelings of Muslims, so a Muslim youth committed the offence out of grave .provocation

He argued that the young age of the accused was also to be kept in view while deciding the case. Hence under these mitigating circumstances, the punishment of death is very harsh so it should be converted to the life imprisonment. The counsel for the Government amongst other arguments took the plea that the insult of the Prophet of Islam was really undesirable. Since no punishment is prescribed in the Indian Penal Code, therefore, Raj Pal had not committed any offence. He further contended that the act of the accused could not be attributed to provocation. This was a deliberate and intentional murder; so he deserved death sentence. After hearing arguments of both sides the Lahore High Court dismissed the appeal and confirmed the death sentence of Ilamuddin vide its judgment dated 17.7.1929. On the insistence of the Muslim leaders of India, the High Court's judgment was challenged in the Privy Council. In fact the idea under this consideration was that perhaps justice would be done to Muslims against a scenario of communalism, but the Privy council also dismissed the petition with a short order dated 5.11.1929. When this decision

was conveyed to Ghazi Ilmuddin he cried out of joy: "What else more can I think about my good luck that I am going to be blessed with

"?martyrdom and also being led Prophet

At last came the mu moment of his life on 30 breathed his last on the gallo that earlier permitted by the non-obligatory Prayer as a t A strange aura of mystery p everything seemed to have huge crowd of Muslims imp carry the body of the Shah But the Jail authorities fear disturbance by .Hindu and Ilamuddin in the prisone compound

This made matters w in the whole of Punjab. Bu and other cities with the People staged large scale p and bare-headed in larg incident and the governme extremely grave and uncon dignitaries, including Alla and Syed Mohsen Shah, f Pakistan Dr. Syed Nasim Governor with the dema martyr should be hande assurance that public peac the government agreed to

After the lapse of martyr was exhumed fro Muslim Magistrate and M surprise of eyewitnesses and it seemed as if the m moments before. The b

”?martyrdom and also being led into the presence of the Holy Prophet

At last came the much awaited and most crucial moment of his life on 30th November 1929 when he breathed his last on the gallows. It may be mentioned here that earlier permitted by the Magistrate, he had offered two non-obligatory Prayer as a token of thanks giving to God. A strange aura of mystery prevailed all around the jail and everything seemed to have lapsed into a grim silence. A huge crowd of Muslims impatiently stood outside the jail to carry the body of the Shaheed away for burial in Lahore. But the Jail authorities fearing that event might spark off disturbance by Hindu and Muslim riots, hurriedly buried Ilamuddin in the prisoner’s graveyard .within the jail compound

This made matters worse and trouble was fermented in the whole of Punjab. Businesses were closed in Lahore and other cities with the routine life coming to a halt. People staged large scale protests and came out bare-footed and bare-headed in large processions, lamenting the incident and the governments apathy. The situation became extremely grave and uncontrollable. Thereupon the Muslim dignitaries, including Allama Iqbal, Sir Mohammad Shafi and Syed Mohsen Shah, father of former Chief Justice of Pakistan Dr. Syed Nasim Hassan Shah, met the Punjab Governor with the demand that the body of the young martyr should be handed over to Muslims. On their assurance that public peace and order would be maintained the government .agreed to deliver the body to them

After the lapse of 13 days the dead body of the martyr was exhumed from the grave in the presence of a Muslim Magistrate and Municipal Commissioners. To the surprise of eyewitnesses

the corpse was absolutely intact and it seemed as if the martyr had gone to sleep only a few moments before. The body was brought to Lahore for

reburial. A sea of men had surged up to the graveyard. Talay Mohammad (Ilmuddin's father) requested Allama Iqbal, the greatest devotee of the Prophet, to lead the funeral prayer. But being under emotional stress, he sought an excuse from doing this religious duty. Maulana Mohammad Shamsuddin, Imam Masjid Vazir Khan, led the funeral prayer. Prior to laying the body of Ilmuddin into the grave, Maulana Zafar Ali Khan, a renowned personality of the subcontinent, observed: "I wish if I were in his place." Such was the dignified burial that Lahore never again witnessed its like thereafter. It was due to love and devotion ingrained in hearts of Muslims for their holy Prophet. We have appended the judgment of The High Court Judicature at Lahore in re Ilamdin Vs King Emperor dated 15-07-1929 as Appendix-E. And the order of the Court at .Buckingham Palace dated 5th November, 1929 on Ilamdin petition is Appendix-E/1

GHAZI ABDUL Q

Ghazi Abdul Qayum family of Ghaziabad, Hazara search of livelihood. There h subsistence of his newly w uncle and a widow sister. He night Prayers in the mosque Imam told his audience wi Hindu Nathu Ram, had insu On hearing it. he was seiz instantly pledged to Allah th of such a .despicable wretch

Nathu Ram was a who had written History o reviled both Islam and th Muslims to psychic disquie city. Apprehending the br instituted a criminal case a him one year sentence wit was provisionally bailed o judicial commissioner Kar sentence. The day when th held by a bench of two B Court, Nathu Ram accomp entered the courtroom in a Muslims had assembled in court to hear the

decision of court proceedings, Abd into the courtroom to get n chance, he swiftly took stabbed it
into the stomach down on the floor with thought lest his victim sho neck with full force and cu

GHAZI ABDUL QAYUM, SHAHEED

Ghazi Abdul Qayum, a young boy from a poor family of Ghaziabad, Hazarah District, came to Karachi in search of livelihood. There he got a job of Tonga driver for subsistence of his newly wedded wife, old mother and uncle and a widow sister, He used to offer his morning and night Prayers in the mosque of his locality. One day, the Imam told his audience with tearful eyes that a wicked Hindu Nathu Ram, had insulted the Holy Prophet of God. On hearing it, he was seized by an impulse of fury and instantly pledged to Allah that he would never spare the life of such a .despicable wretch

Nathu Ram was a bigoted Hindu fundamentalist, who had written History of Islam in 1933 in which he reviled both Islam and the Prophet. This subjected the Muslims to psychic disquiet and tension gripped the whole city. Apprehending the breach of peace the Government instituted a criminal case against him. The court awarded him one year sentence with a fine. But in March 1934 he was provisionally bailed out following his appeal to the judicial commissioner Karachi against his conviction and sentence. The day when the hearing of the case was to be held by a bench of two British judges of the Sindh Chief Court, Nathu Ram accompanied by his friends and lawyers, entered the courtroom in a happy mood. Both Hindus and Muslims had assembled in the court room and outside the court to hear the decision of the case. Just before the start of court proceedings, Abdul Qayum had managed to sneak into the courtroom to get near Nathu Ram. Seizing upon his chance, he swiftly took out a sharp edged dagger and stabbed it into the stomach

of his victim. Nathu Ram fell down on the floor with his face down wards. Qayum thought lest
.his victim should survive, he pounced upon his neck with full force and cut off his jugular vein

People stampeded out of the courtroom on account of this incident. The judges too were frightened. Later Abdul Qayum offered himself for arrest. After his arrest, the English Judge said Abdul Qaiyum "you have murdered the man and asked him "what had promoted you for taking such a rash and violent act? Pointing towards a framed photograph of King George, he replied "Could you tolerate insulting and abusive language if uttered against your King? Then how could we forgive the slanderous attack against our Prophet who is the king of Kings" He refused the offer for his defence. However a well known lawyer of Karachi Barrister Syed Mohammad Aslam persuaded him to fight his case on legal points without retracting his confession. As a matter of fact the learned counsel argued the case with courage of conviction, but as Abdul Qayyum had made confession of murder and on the basis of ocular evidence, the court awarded him the .sentence of death

When he heard the announcement of his death sentence, his face beamed with joy and he shouted .loudly "Allah ho Akbar" in the Court room

Then addressing the judges he said: "I am proud of my good luck that I dispatched a wicked soul to hell fire. Allah has bestowed upon me a rare blessing of martyrdom. Not to speak of this one .life. I can even sacrifice thousands of such lives for the sake of dignity of my Holy Prophet

The sight of this funeral procession too was over inspiring and heart warming because there was a ceaseless offering of Darood-o-Salam (salutation and greetings to the Holy Prophet). Yet, so arrogant anti human was the temper of the colonial government that the army troops were ordered to open fire to disperse the funeral processionists. This unprovoked firing killed and injured scores

of Muslims. Even the innocent women and children who were casting flowers over the martyr's coffin from the rooftops received fatal injuries. It was this grim agitating situation which moved the great poet ph inspiring poem under caption " faithfully portrayed the intense nation. He urged Muslims to sustaining effort against an opp Christian imperialism. He adv making mercy appeal against of any sort of blood money for Ilamuddin, and Abdul Qayyum .precious that its price could n silver or gold

Conslusion

We have narrated the several hundred cases of mart into their own hands during Because in those days the Isl annulled alongwith the other government which introduced year 1860. It is interesting blasphemy against Jesus Chris in Great Britain and it is still in The object of narrating to show that blasphemers punished by the Muslims be redress their grievance with re the holy Prophet with the m their religious feelings. So th deters blasphemers from cha noble Prophet of Islam. At th the outraged Muslims from against the blasphemers bec available against the offender

The print media of U The Islamic Law of Blasphem

urtroum on account e frightened. Later st. After his arrest, 'you have murdered noted you for taking ; towards a framed "Could you tolerate tered against your e slanderous attack f Kings" He refused ell known lawyer of lam persuaded him hout retracting his ned counsel argued e as Abdul .Qayyum the basis of ocular tence of death

ment of his death he shouted loudly aid: "I am proud of ed soul to hell fire. sing of martyrdom. sacrifice thousands my Holy ".Prophet

sion too was over ere was a ceaseless and greetings to the man was the temper army troops were eral processionists. injured scores of children who were from the rooftops agitating situation which moved the great poet philosopher Iqbal to write an inspiring poem under caption "Lahore and Karachi" which faithfully portrayed the intense feelings of his grief-stricken nation. He urged Muslims to collectively make a self sustaining effort against an oppressive scenario of western Christian imperialism. He advised them to refrain from making mercy appeal against their hanging or demanding of any sort of blood money for their young Muslim martyrs Ilamuddin, and Abdul Qayyum as their sacred blood was so precious that its price could not be evaluated in terms of .silver or gold

Conslusion

We have narrated the above two instances out of several hundred cases of martyrs, who had taken the law into their own hands during the British rule in India. Because in those days the Islamic

law of blasphemy was annulled alongwith the other Muslim laws by the British government which introduced its own Penal Code in the year 1860. It is interesting to note that the law of blasphemy .against Jesus Christ was operative at that time in Great Britain and it is still inforce there

The object of narrating the above fateful instances is to show that blasphemers of the holy Prophet were punished by the Muslims because there was no law to redress their grievance with regard to slanderous attacks on the holy Prophet with the malicious intention to outrage their religious feelings. So the Islamic law of Blasphemy deters blasphemers from character assassination of their noble Prophet of Islam. At the same time it would refrain the outraged Muslims from taking law into their hands against the blasphemers because an adequate remedy is available against the .offender through due process of law

The print media of USA is vehemently opposing The Islamic Law of Blasphemy in Pakistan. The
author is

victim of unfair attacks in Sunday Times magazine and Reader's Digest of January 2000. One of the relevant portions from the lengthy article is Appendix-F. However the attitude of Harvard Law School was friendly. The director of the department of law sent one of the research students to meet the author in order to seek further instructions in respect of Law of Blasphemy. A letter of appreciation from Ms. Peri Bearman is Appendix-G

Islamic Principles of Justice

Islam does not advocate aggression, extremism and injustice to others or killing innocent people. It is a religion of peace (Salamah) moderation in every aspect of life as described in the Quran: "Thus We have appointed to Muslims a midmost nation that you might be witness to mankind."

(2:143) (Pickthal trans)

Killing an innocent person amounts to slaughtering of all mankind. According to the Quranic injunction: "If anyone slays a human being unless it be (in punishment) for murder or for spreading corruption (disorder) on earth — It shall be as though he had slain all mankind; whereas, if anyone saves a life, it shall be as though he had saved the lives of all mankind."¹⁶

Quran has explicitly declared: "There is no compulsion in religion."¹⁷ (2:256) This is the basic principle of Islam. Muslims wherever in power, never forced anyone to accept Islam. Even western thinkers rejected the legend of spreading Islam at the point of sword as absurd myth. Whereas the violence in the name of God—the crusades, forced conversion, pogroms and

Inquisition are historical facts of medieval period of Christian West. The history of crusade is
abroad again in the present century

The history shall bear witness to this truth that Muslims not only believe in stern code of honour,
but they

adhered to it. They have never disrespect to any prophet of Scr sacred religious objects of oth
ordained them: "Say (O Muslims): that which is revealed unto us and unto Abraham, and
Ishamel, and Is descendants and that which Moses that which the prophets received fr no
distinction between any of them surrendered."18 (2:136) (Pickthal tr

Muslims had never been bigoted Christian and Jewish con their prophet in the same way as Moses
and other prophets of Scri wanted that non-Muslims, especia should not slander their beloved P
love and respect is deeply en irrespective of time and space. Thi immense for the holy prophet
that sacrifice his life, property and eve one of his family to protect his d Muslims have the right to
differ w slanderous and inflammatory atta outrage their feelings

Therefore, there is great religious sensibilities of Muslim v that even now in 21st century in t
diehard leaders of Christian sc themselves of the old prejudice da At an international conference of
January 21, 2007 a well-known Daniel Pipes, Director of the Mi advisor to US policymakers of
said: "The clash of civilizations i not be any peaceful settlemen

Sunday Times magazine and 2000. One of the relevant article is Appendix-F. However the School was friendly. The law sent one of the researchers in order to seek further work of Blasphemy. A

.letter of chairman is Appendix-G

ate aggression, extremism and innocent people. It is a religion on in every aspect of life as Thus

(We have appointed to that you might be witness to and

person amounts to slaughtering of the Quranic injunction: "If unless it be (in punishment)for upturn

(disorder) on earth - It ain all mankind; whereas, if he as though he had saved the

ly declared: "There is no (2:256) This is the basic wherever in power, never am. Even western

thinkers ignore Islam at the point of sword the violence in the name of conversion, pogroms and acts of

medieval period of of crusade is abroad again in

er witness to this truth that their code of honour, but they

adhered to it. They have never shown the slightest disrespect to any prophet of Scriptures or

ridiculed the sacred religious objects of other religions. God has ordained them: "Say (O

Muslims): We believe in Allah and that which is revealed unto us and that which has revealed

unto Abraham, and Ishmael, and Isaac, and Jacob and their descendants and that which Moses and

Jesus received, and that which the prophets received from their Lord. We make no distinction

between any of them and unto Him we have surrendered."18 (2:136) (Pickthal trans.)

Muslims had never been under the illusion that bigoted Christian and Jewish community would

venerate their prophet in the same way as Muslims adore Christ, Moses and other prophets of

Scriptures. Muslims simply wanted that non-Muslims, especially the Christians west should not slander their beloved Prophet for whom utmost love and respect is deeply enshrined in their hearts irrespective of time and space. This love and affection is so immense for the holy prophet that a Muslim is prepared to sacrifice his life, property and even the nearest and dearest one of his family to protect his dignity and honour. Non- Muslims have the right to differ with Islamic teachings, but slanderous and inflammatory attacks on the holy Prophet outrage their feelings

Therefore, there is great need to understand the religious sensibilities of Muslim world. But the problem is that even now in 21st century in this globalizing world the diehard leaders of Christian society could not divest themselves of the old prejudice dating back to the crusades. At an international conference of interfaith debate held in January 21, 2007 a well-known US Neocon leader Mr. Daniel Pipes, Director of the Middle East Forum — and advisor to US policymakers of the region, emphatically said: "The clash of civilizations is inevitable. There could not be any peaceful settlement of ongoing clash of

civilizations interchangeable with ‘Islamism’, unless one vanquished the other. Then alone there would be peace in the world”. He was, however afraid when he said; A time would soon come when preachers of ‘Islamism’ would prevail and subject the world to Shariah laws.” The organizer of the conference Mr. Ken Livingston, who is a liberal cultured Mayor of London vehemently disagreed with misconceived notion of Neocon leader. Obviously Daniel Pipe’s argument is motivated by irrational fear without comprehensive study of Islam. This expression of hatred against Islam is in contrast to the well meaning bold statement of Pope John Paul-II — the predecessor of the present Pope Benedict. Addressing the conflicting world after the event of September 11, 2001, Pope John Paul-II said: “A clash ensues only when Islam and Christianity are misunderstood or manipulated for political or ideological ends. Stressing the need for interfaith dialogue he said: “Islam and Christianity worship one God, Creator of heavens and earth, there is ample room for agreement and cooperation between them.” This is fundamental principle of peaceful co-existence on one planet which God has created for all human beings

References Part III

Chapter XII

1. Surah 58:20, The Mean

by S. Abul A'la Maudu

2. Explanation Note 36 Ibi

.page 335

Surah At-Twabah 9, A .3

.Quran, published by Complex, page 500

Surah 9:14-15, The Me .4

.Muhammad Asad

,Surah Al-Hujurat 49:12 .5

Abu Daud, volume 2, pa .6

Kitabul Maghazi of Buk .7

Surah Al-Anfal 8: Ay .8

Quran, Vol II, page 127

Al-Fath 48:16 (Trans .9

(Muhammad Asad

:Surah The Spider 29 .10

Muhammad Asad, page

Al-Siyasat al-Jamiyah F .11

.Cairo in 1965, page 140

Rasail-ush-shia p.460 an .12

Tahreer-ul-Waseelah, pa .13

Qaumi Awaz 23 Februa .14

.Ibid .15

References Part III

Chapter XII

1. Surah 58:20, The Meaning of the Quran Vol. V Page 393

by S. Abul A'la Maududi

2. ,Explanation Note 36 Ibidm, refers to note 93 of Surah 33

.page 335

3. Surah At-Twabah 9, Ayat 12, Translation of the Holy

.Quran, published by King Fahd Holy Quran Printing Complex, page 500

4. Surah 9:14-15, The Message of the Qur'an, page 258 by

.Muhammad Asad

5. Surah Al-Hujurat 49:12, Ibid

6. Abu Daud, volume 2, page 355-357

7. Kitabul Maghazi of Bukhari

8. Surah Al-Anfal 8: Ayat 14, (Trans: The Meaning of

.(Quran, Vol II, page 127 by S. Abdul A'la Maududi

Al-Fath 48:16 (Trans: The Message of Quran by .9

(Muhammad Asad

Surah The Spider 29:61, The Message of Quran by .10

.Muhammad Asad, page 615

Al-Siyasat al-Jamiyah Fi-Shariah al-Islamiah published in .11

.Cairo in 1965, page 140

Rasail-ush-shia p.460 and al-Hadood of Shirazi p.352 .12

.Tahreer-ul-Waseelah, page 600-607 .13

.Qaumi Awaz 23 February, 1979 .14

.Ibid .15

Before Gul Muhammad Khan, C.J., Abdul Karim Khan Kundi, Ibadat Yar Khan, Adbul Razzak

A. Thahim and Fida Muhammad Khan, JJ

MUHAMMAD ISMAIL QURESHI...Petitioner

Versus

Pakistan through Secretary, Law and Parliamentary Affairs...Respondent

.Shariat Peititon No.6/L of 1987, decided on 30th October, 1990

.Dates of hearing: 26th to 29th November, 1989 and 4th to 7th March, 1990

JUDGMENT

GUL MUHAMMAD KHAN, C.J. —This order shall also dispose of Shariat Petition No.1/L of 1984 and S.S. M. No. 106/81 on the same point. Petitioner Muhammad Ismail Qureshi, Advocate, challenges section 295-C of the Pakistan Penal Code, which was enacted vide Ordinance I of 1988. Earlier, the same petitioner had moved a similar application (Shariat Petition No. 1/L of 1984) but before it could be decided the legislature, of its own, amended the law and inducted section 259-C, P.P.C., referred to above. The petitioner feeling unsatisfied even with - :that has approached this Court. Section 295-C reads as under

Section 295-C. Use of derogatory remarks etc. in respect of the Holy Prophet. —Whoever by“ words, either spoken or written, or by visible representation, or by any insinuation, directly or ind .name of the Holy Proph punished with death, or i shall also be liable to fine

The precise objection take .2

that the alternate punishm therein is repugnant to th laid down in the Holy Q Holy Prophet. The conte disrespect or use of der respect of the Holy Pro purview of hadd and th provided in the Holy Qura altered. The learned couns of Surah Anfal, Verse 65 regard. The learned couns Traditions of the Holy Pro to plead that the senten punishment and no Cou authority to pronounce th .imprisonment

This Court issued public n .3

-:some Jurisconsults to assis Lahore, Karachi and Islam and had the assistan Jurisconsults

Maulana Subhan Mahn (1) •

Maulana Mufti Ghulam (2) •

Maulana Hafiz Salahud (3) •

Maulana Muhammad A (4) •

Maulana Syed Abdul S (5) •

Maulana Fazle Hadi an (6) •

Mad Khan, C.J., and Ibadat Yar Khan, Fida Muhammad Khan, JJ

ESHI...Petitioner

sus

Law and Parliamentary .

decided on 30th October, November, 1989 and 4th to ,87

DGMENT MAD KHAN, C.J. —This e of Shariat Petition No.1/L o. 106/81 on the same point.

Ismail Qureshi, Advocate, 5-C of the Pakistan Penal ed vide Ordinance I of 1988. tioner had

moved a similar tition No. 1/L of 1984) but cided the legislature, of its and inducted section 295-

- :C, ove. The petitioner feeling that has approached this eads as under

Use of derogatory remarks Holy Prophet. —Whoever by or written, or by visible .

representation, or by any imputation, innuendo, or insinuation, directly or indirectly, defiles the

sacred name of the Holy Prophet Muhammad shall be punished with death, or imprisonment for

".life and shall also be liable to fine

The precise objection taken against this provision is that the alternate punishment of life .2

imprisonment therein is repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in the Holy Qur'an and

Sunnah of the Holy Prophet. The contention raised is that any disrespect or use of derogatory

remarks etc. in respect of the Holy Prophet comes within the purview of hadd and the punishment

of death provided in the Holy Quran and Sunnah cannot be altered. The learned counsel had relied

on Verse 13 of Surah Anfal, Verse 65 of Surah Al-Nisa in this regard. The learned counsel also referred to some Traditions of the Holy Prophet in support of his plea to plead that the sentence of death only is the punishment and no Court shall be given the authority to pronounce the lesser sentence of life imprisonment.

This Court issued public notices and also requested some Jurisconsults to assist. The case was heard at Lahore, Karachi and Islamabad on so many dates and had the assistance of the following Jurisconsults:-

Maulana Subhan Mahmood (1) •

Maulana Mufti Ghulam Sarwar Qadri (2) •

Maulana Hafiz Salahuddin Yousaf (3) •

Maulana Muhammad Abdo-hu Al-Falah (4) •

Maulana Syed Abdul Shakoor (5) •

Maulana Fazle Hadi and (6) •

.Maulana Saeed-ud-Din Sherkoti (7) •

Out of the above, the following supported the plea taken by the petitioner to say that sentence of

--: death is only sentence for this offence

.Maulana Subhan Mahmood (1) •

.Maulana Mufti Ghulam Sarwar Qadri (2) •

.Maulana Hafiz Salah uddin Yousaf (3) •

.Maulana Muhammad Abdo-hu Al-Falah (4) •

Maulana Syed Abdul Shakoor and (5) •

.Maulana Fazle Hadi (6) •

The following further stated that in case repentance is shown by the offender the sentence would

-: be waived

.Maulana Subhan Mahmood (1) •

Maulana Mufti Ghulam Sarwar Qadri and (2) •

.Maulana Hafiz Salahuddin Yousaf (3) •

,Maulana Saeed-ud-Din Sherkoti, however (4) •

.stated that even lesser punishment could be given

Maulana Subhan Mahmood relied upon Verses.9:65 .4

and 66, 33:57, 49:2, 2:217, 5:75, 39:1, 65, 47:28. He has related some Ahadith and juristic opinions wherein the contemner has been considered an apostate. He has further relied upon a Hadith related on the authority of Abu Qulabah wherein the punishment of contemner has been prescribed as death. He has also relied upon the Hadith related by qazi Ayaz that Holy Prophet said “Kill the person who abuses the Prophet and whip the one who abuses his companions.” He

also relied upon Ahadith that the Holy Prophet had punished his

contemnners w consensus of punishment of maintained t imprisonment (or a non-Musli

Maulana Mufti .5

Verses 49:57, ' 2:104 of the H that punishmen contemner. He the Holy Proph also cited verse the .Holy Proph point that repe Reference was prominent Han concluded that acceptable and Jurists

Maulana Hafi .6

views of Han contemner ca not be given t verses of H Prophet, par authority of I the person w

view a Musli so must be opinion of Ib contemner is Imam Malik

Maulana M .7

other verses

.ud-Din Sherkoti

--:above, the following supported e petitioner to say that sentence ence for this offence

.Mahmood .

.Ghulam Sarwar Qadri

.alah uddin Yousaf

.mad Abdo-hu Al-Falah

bdul Shakoor and

.adi

g further stated that in case by the offender the sentence

.Mahmood

ulam Sarwar Qadri and

.ahuddin Yousaf

.ud-Din Sherkoti, however, punishment could be given-

mood relied upon Verses 9:65 2:217, 5:75, 39:1, 65, 47:28. Ahadith and juristic opinions er has been considered an r relied upon a Hadith related Abu Qulabah wherein the ner has been prescribed as d upon the Hadith related by rophet said "Kill the person het and whip the one who

ns." He also relied upon Prophet had punished his

contemners with death. He also referred to the consensus of opinion of the Jurists that the punishment of contemner is death. He further maintained that the punishment of life imprisonment .can be given to a woman contemner or a non-Muslim contemner of the Holy Prophet

5. Maulana Mufti Ghulam Sarwar Qadri, relied upon

Verses 49:57, 9:65,66, 9:61-62, 58:8, 33:57, 4:65, 2:104 of the Holy Quran and some Ahadith to say that punishment of death only is prescribed for contemner. He also referred to the Ahadith wherein the Holy Prophet had pardoned his contemners. He also cited verses of Holy Quran and also Ahadith of the Holy Prophet to argue that they are clear on the point that repentance is acceptable in any offence. Reference was also made to the sayings of the prominent Hanfi Jurists specially Ibn Abidin and concluded that the repentance of the contemner is, acceptable and this is .the preferred view of Hanafi Jurists

6. Maulana Hafiz Salahuddin Yousaf, relied upon the

views of Hanfi Jurists that the repentance of the contemner can be accepted and thereafter he will not be given the punishment of death. He also cited verses of Holy Quran and Ahadith of the Holy Prophet, particularly, a Hadith related on the authority of Ibn Abbas that Holy Prophet said, "Kill the person who changes his religion (Islam)." In his view a Muslim contemner becomes an apostate and so must be condemned to death. He also quoted opinion of Ibn Taimiyyah that the punishment of the contemner is death. He also relied upon the views of Imam Malik, Shafi and .Ahmad to the same effect

7. Maulana Muhammad Abdu-hu Al-Falah, among

other verses relied upon Verse 4:46 of the Holy

Quran and Ahadith of the Holy Prophet wherein the Prophet has prescribed the punishment of death for his contemner. He further stated that there is consensus of the opinion among the Jurists .on the point that the punishment of the contemner is death

8. Maulana Syed Abdul Shakoor cited Verses

and 9:12. He also quoted Hadith of the Holy Prophet that the punishment of 9:24,33:57 contemner is death and that he did punish his contemnners with death. He further quoted views of different Jurists from the book Al-Fiqh ala Mazahibil Arb'a by Abdul Rehman Jazeeri, Vol. V, .pages 274-275 and Raddul Mukhtar Vol. III pages 290-291

9. ,Maulana Fazle Hadi, relied upon Verses 49:2

and 66. He also cited some Ahadith of the Holy Prophet wherein the 9:65 ,9:12 ,33:57,28,58:22 punishment of death has been prescribed for the contemner of the Prophet. He also quoted .opinions of Jurists that the punishment of the contemner is death

10. Maulana Saeed-ud-Din Sherkoti, quoted Verses

and 33:57 of the Holy Quran. He also cited many of Ahadith 2:187,229 ,4:13 ,49:2,3,53 ,48:9 wherein the Holy Prophet had punished his contemnners with death as also he had pardoned some of his contemnners. He also referred to many views of Jurists specially those described by Maulana .Ashraf Ali Thanvi in his book Imdadul Fatawa Vol. V, pages 166-168

11. Almost all the Jurisconsults have relied upon the

following verses:- "33:57 Lo! those who malign Allah and his Messenger, Allah hath cursed them in the world and

.the Hereafter, and of the disdained

Explaining "Everything which of the Holy Pro bearing different m under his malignm Vol XIV, page 23

Allama I verse writes: "W Prophet is mea Prophet in fact, glorification and malignment o malignment of A

The next verses .12

Prophet and sa of good for yo to the believe believe. Those them there is a 9:61-6"

They please you, more right th believers." (9"

"Ibn T writes: "Ver the Prophet Prophet

Assarimul)

Ibn Taimiy .13

authority o

.the Hereafter, and hath prepared for them the doom of the disdained

Explaining this verse Allama Qurtubi writes: "Everything which becomes a means of malignment of the Holy Prophet whether by quoting words bearing different meanings or similar actions
".(comes under his malignment. (Al-Jamiu Liahkamil) Quran, VolXIV, page 238

Allama Ismail Haqqi while explaining this verse writes: "With the malignment of Allah and his Prophet is meant only the malignment of the Prophet in fact, and mention of Allah is only for glorification and exaltation and to disclose that the malignment of the Prophet is indeed the
".malignment of Allah

-.The next verses relied upon (9:61-62) is .12

And of them are those who vex the Prophet and say: He is only a hearer. Say: A hearer 9:61-62"
of good for your, who believeth in Allah and is true to the believers, and a mercy for such of you
as believe. Those who vex the Messenger of Allah, for them there is a painful doom."(9:61)

They swear by Allah to you (Muslims) to please you, but Allah, with His Messenger, hath more"
right that they should please him if they are believers." (9:62)

Ibn Taimiyyah while explaining these verses writes: "Verse 9:62 denotes that the malignment of
"the Prophet is the opposition of Allah and His Prophet

(Assarimul Maslool, pages-20-21)

Ibn Taimiyyah further writes: "It is related on the .13

authority of Ibn Abbas that when a man from a

group of contemnners came to the Prophet, he said to him "why you and your friends abuse me." That person went and brought his friends and they all swore in Allah and said that they have not
 -:abused him. On this the following verses were revealed

(58:18)

On the day when Allah will raise them all together, then will they swear unto him as they (now)"
 swear unto you, and they will fancy that they have some standing. Lo! is it not they who are the
 liars? (58:18)

The devil hath engrossed them and so hath caused them to forget remembrance of Allah. They"
 ?are the devil's party. Lo! is it not the devil's party who will be the losers

These verses are linked with Verse 58:20:- "Lo! those who oppose Allah and His messenger, they
 ".will be among the lowest

Thus this link of the verses of the Holy Qur'an is .14

obvious that these abusers and contemnners of the Prophet are the opponents of Allah and His
 Prophet about whom the Qur'an says: "When thy Lord inspired the angels, (saying) I am with
 you. So make those who believe stand firm. I will throw fear into the hearts of those who
 .disbelieve. Then smite their necks and smite of them each finger (8:12)

That is because they opposed Allah and His messenger. Whoso opposeth Allah and His
 .messenger, (for him) Lo! Allah is severe in punishment. (8:13)

And if Allah had not decreed migration for them. He verily would have punished them in this

me to the Prophet, he said to ur friends abuse me." That ht his friends and they all d that they
-:have not abused wing verses were revealed

n Allah will raise them all swear unto him as they d they will fancy that they is it not they who are
the

grossed them and so hath embrance of Allah. They is it not the devil's party

".nked with Verse 58:20:- llah and His messenger, est

s of the Holy Qur'an is and contemnners of the f Allah and His Prophet ays: "When thy Lord g) I
.am with you. So d firm. I will throw fear disbelieve. Then smite each finger (8:12)

opposed Allah and His th Allah and His Allah is severe in

decreed migration for unished them in this

world, and theirs in the Hereafter is the punishment of the Fire. (59:3)

That is because they were opposed to Allah and His messenger; and whoso is opposed to Allah

(for him) verily Allah is stern in reprisal." (59:4)

So these verses clearly. Prescribe the severe punishment of death for the opponents of Allah and

.his Prophet, who include contemnners of the Prophet

-:The Holy Qur'an further mentions in this regard .15

If the hypocrites, and those in whose hearts is a disease, and the alarmists in the city do not" cease. We verily shall urge thee against them, then they will be your neighbours in it but a little while. (33:60)

.Accursed, they will be seized wherever found and slain with a (fierce) slaughter." (33:61)

These verses state that the punishment of these munafiqin (contemners) is death. (Ibid page 42)

The Holy Our'an has described the glorification and .16

exaltation of the Prophet in another way and has ordered the Muslims to maintain it and be careful -:in this regard otherwise their good deeds will be rendered vain. Ouran says

O ye who believe! Lift not up your voices above the voice of the Prophet, nor shout when" speaking to him as ye shout one to another, lest your works to rendered vain while ye perceive .not." (49:2)

Ibn Taimiyyah while explaining this verse writes "In this verse the believers have been prohibited from raising their voices over the voice

of the Prophet and that their loud voice before the Prophet may not render their good deeds as
 .vain and they will not understand it

It is obvious from different Verses of Qur'an that .17

-:infidelity and apostasy render actions of any person as vain. The Holy Qur'an says

They question thee (O Muhammad) with regard to warfare in the sacred month. Say: Warfare" therein is a great (transgression), but to turn (men) from the way of Allah, and to disbelieve in him and in the Inviolable place of Worship, and to expel his people thence, is a greater (sin) with Allah, for persecution is worse than killing. And they will not cease from fighting against you till they have made you renegades from your religion, if they can. And whoso becometh a renegade and dieth in his disbelief such are they whose works have fallen both in the world and the
 .Hereafter. Such are rightful owners of the Fire: they will abide therein. (2:217)

This day are (all) good things made lawful for you. The food of those who have received the Scripture is lawful for you, and your good is lawful for them. And so are the virtuous women of those who received the Scripture before you (lawful for you) when ye give them their marriage portions and live with them in honour, not in fornication, nor taking them as secret concubines,
 .whoso denieth the faith, his work is vain and he will be among the losers in the Hereafter. (5:5)

This is the guidance of Allah whereby he guideth whomsoever of his bondmen he listeth. And if
 .they had associated, to naught would have come all that they were wont to work. (6:88)

.their loud voice before the r their good deeds as vain and it

-.rent Verses of Qur'an that ender actions of any person says

thee (O Muhammad) with acred month. Say: Warfare ressession), but to turn (men) d to disbelieve in him and Worship, and to expel his ter (sin) with Allah, for killing. And they will not t you till they have made religion, if they can. And gade and dieth in his hose works have fallen he .Hereafter. Such are they will abide therein

ood things made lawful who have received the and your good is lawful tuous women of those before you (lawful for marriage portions and ot in fornication, nor bines, whoso denieth he will be among the

of Allah whereby he ndmen he listeth. And ght would have come

.(6:88)

And verily it hath been revealed unto thee as unto those before thee (saying); If thou ascribe a .partner to Allah thy work will fail and thou indeed will be among the losers. (39:65)

That is because they are averse to that which Allah hath revealed, therefore maketh he their .actions, fruitless. (47:9)

,In order to stop insinuations against the Prophet .18

Holy Qur'an prohibited the believers to use ambiguous words as used by the jews for insulting the :Prophet. The Holy Qur'an says: "O ye who believe! say not (unto the Prophet)

".Listen to us" but say "Look upon us, and be ye listeners. For disbelievers is a painful doom"

.(2:104)

Maulana Muhammad Ali Siddiqui while explaining this verse, writes: "The Jews used this word as insult of the Prophet. The word 'raina' has two meanings, good and bad. Its good meaning is "Be kind and attentive to us". The bad meaning is that jews spoke it Raeena which means "Oh! our shepherd" and they used this word to degrade the Prophet. So it is an innuendo amounting to contempt of the Prophet. Therefore Muslims were prohibited to use this word so as to stop all the .means which lead to the contempt of the Prophet

The jews used the word 'raina' as raeena for .19

defecting the religion (of Islam). Holy Quran says: "Some of those who are jews change words from their context and say: 'We hear and disobey; hear thou as one who heareth not' and 'Listen to us!' distorting with their tongues and slandering religion. If they had said: 'we hear and we obey; hear thou, and look at us' it had been better for

them, and more upright. But Allah hath cursed them for their disbelief, so they believe not save a few." (4:46)

Allama Qurtubi writes, "they Muslims were prohibited from speaking this word so as to stop the means leading to the contempt of the Prophet. The glorification and exaltation of Prophet is the base of the religion and thus depriving it is depriving the religion." (Maalimul Quran by Muhammad Ali Siddique Vol. I, pages 463-468)

It has been related on the authority of Abdullah Bin .20

Abbas that a munafiq man named Bishar had a dispute with a jew in some matter. The jew told him to go to the Prophet for decision and the munafiq told him to go to Kaab Bin Ashraf. Anyhow they went to the Holy Prophet and the Prophet decided in favour of the Jew. The person (munafiq) was not willing on that decision and thus they brought the dispute before Hazrat Umar. The Jew told Hazrat Umar that Holy Prophet has already decided in my favour but this man was not willing on that. Then Hazrat Umar said to munafiq: "Is this so". He said "Yes". Hazrat Umar went inside, got his sword and killed the munafiq and said, "I decide so for the person who does not agree to the decision of the Holy Prophet." On this Verse 4:65 was revealed which is as under:-

But nay, by thy Lord, they will not believe (in truth) until they make thee judge, of what is in dispute between them and find within themselves no dislike of that which thou decides, and submit with full submission." (4:65)

This action of Hazrat Umar as approved by Holy Qur'an is an .(Ruhul Maani, Vol. V, page 67)

.authority for the senten the Holy Prophet

The Holy Qur'an has .21

contempt of the Prophe may be. Holy Qur'an s (O Muhammad) they w jest. Say: Was it at Alla

messenger that ye did s

Make no excus your (confession of) be you, a party of you w have been guilty." (9:6

Ibn Taimiyyah while e .22

This text is on the p Allah, his verses and the contempt is more derived from this ve Prophet"

.(becomes ap page 31

Abu Bakar Ib verse writes, "the hyp intentionally or as a may be it is infidelity words of infidelity

Qur'an, Vol. II, page

The Holy Qur'an, a .23

Prophet prohibited annoyance and decl wives of the Prophet the believers so as to contempt of the

Pro who believe! Enter n for a meal without unless permission b

"t Allah hath cursed them believe not save a few

tes, "they Muslims were is word so as to stop the mpt of the Prophet. The of Prophet is the base

(of ving it is depriving the n by Muhammad Ali -68

thority of Abdullah Bin named Bishar had a matter. The jew told him cision and the munafiq

Ashraf. Anyhow they the Prophet decided in son (munafiq) was not thus they brought the The

Jew told Hazrat already decided in my willing on that. Then : "Is this so". He said ide, got his

sword and "I decide so for the to the decision of the se 4:65 was revealed

they will not believe e judge, of what is in nd within themselves decides, and submit

page 67). This action by Holy Qur'an is an

.authority for the sentence of death for contempt of the Holy Prophet

The Holy Qur'an has further declared that the .21

contempt of the Prophet is apostasy in any form it may be. Holy Qur'an says: "And if thou ask

them (O Muhammad) they will say: We did but talk and jest. Say: Was it at Allah and his

.revelations and his messenger that ye did scoff? (9:65)

Make no excuse. Ye have disbelieved after your (confession of) belief. If we forgive a party of

you, a party of you we shall punish because they have been guilty." (9:66)

.Ibn Taimiyyah while explaining these verses writes .22

This text is on the point that cutting jokes with Allah, his verses and His Prophet is infidelity. So" the contempt is more liable to be infidelity as is derived from this verse that he who insults the .Prophet becomes apostate." (Assarimul Maslul, page 31)

Abu Bakar Ibn Arabi while explaining this verse writes, "the hypocrites spoke this word either intentionally or as a Joke and whatever the case may be it is infidelity because making joke with the words of infidelity is also infidelity. (Ahkmul Qur'an, Vol. II, page 964)

The Holy Qur'an, as a glorification of the Holy .23

Prophet prohibited even the slightest cause of annoyance and declared that marriage with the wives of the Prophet after his death is prohibited for the believers so as to avoid not being means of the contempt of the Prophet. Holy Quran says: "O ye who believe! Enter not the dwellings of the Prophet for a meal without waiting for the proper time, unless permission be granted you. But if ye are

invited enter and when your meal is ended, then disperse. Linger not for conversation. Lo! that would cause annoyance to the Prophet, and he would be shy of (asking) you (to go); but Allah is not shy of the truth. And when ye ask of them (the wives of the Prophet) anything, ask it of them from behind a curtain. That is purer of your hearts and for their hearts. And it is not for you to cause annoyance to the messenger of Allah, nor that ye should, ever marry his wives after him.

".Lo! that in Allah's sight would be an enormity. (33:53)

The Holy Prophet is the best interpreter of the .24

above-noted verses of the Holy Qur'an and it is also proved by ,his Sunnah that his contemner is
-: liable to the penalty of death. Reference may be made to the following Ahadith

It has been related on the authority of Hazart (i) •

Ali that Holy Prophet said: "Kill the person who abuses a Prophet and whip by stripes the one
.who abuses my companions." (Al- Shifa; Qazi, Ayaz Vol.II, page 194)

It has been related on the authority of Ibn (ii) •

Abbas that a blind person in the period of Holy Prophet had a female slave who used to abuse the Holy Prophet. This blind person bade her to abstain from it and warned her not to do so but she didn't care. One night when she was as usual abusing the Holy Prophet, this blind person took a knife and attacked her belly and killed her. Next morning when the case of murder of this woman was referred, to the Holy Prophet, he collected the people and said, "who has done this job. Stand and confess because of my right on him for what he has done." On this the blind person st people

before the H Prophet, I have because she abuse forbade her but sh have two beautifu was my very
,good when she started knife and attacked her." The Holy P witnesses that the vain." (Abu Daud

It has been related (iii) •

Ali that a jew wom Prophet and thus Holy Prophet decla

It has been related (iv) •

Barzah who said, Bakar when he be I said to him, "O! Allah. "Order me became normal inside
and called you say? I said, " said, "Had I orde killed him?" I sai swear by Allah t Holy Prophet is

contemner be kille

It has been relate (v) •

Ibn Abdullah that will help me aga has indeed teased On this Muhamm and said, "O Prop

the blind person stood and came rolling the people before the Holy Prophet and said, "O Prophet, I have killed this slave woman because she abused you. I have constantly forbade her but she didn't care for that. I have two beautiful sons from her and she was my very good companion, but yesterday when she started abusing you, I took my knife and attacked on her belly and killed her." The Holy Prophet said, "O people! witnesses that the blood of this woman is vain." (Abu Daud, .Vol.II, pages 355-357)

It has been related on the authority of Hazrat (iii) •

Ali that a jew woman used to abuse the Holy Prophet and thus a person killed her. The Holy .Prophet declared her blood as vain

It has been related on the authority of Abu (iv) •

Barzah who said, "I was sitting with Abu Bakar when he became furious at a person." I said to him, "O! Caliph of the Prophet of Allah. "Order me to kill him". On this' he became normal and stood up and went inside and called me and said, "What did you say? I said, "Order me to 'kill him." He said, "Had I ordered you, would you have killed him?" I said, "Yes." He said, "No," I swear by Allah that no one other than the Holy Prophet is in the position that his contemner be ".killed

It has been related on the authority of Jabir (v) •

Ibn Abdullah that Holy Prophet said, "who will help me against Kaab bin Ashraf. He has indeed teased Allah and His Prophet." On this Muhammad Ibn Maslamah stood and said, "O Prophet of Allah! do you want

me to kill him." Prophet said, "Yes". Then he went alongwith Abbas Ibn Hiber and Ibad Ibn Bishar and killed him. (Bukhari, Vol.II, page 88)

It has been related on the authority of Bara (vi) •

Ibn Azib who said that Holy Prophet sent some persons of Ansar under the headship of Abdullah Ibn Atik to a jew named Abu Rafia who used to tease the Holy Prophet and they killed him. (Assarimul Maslul by Ibn Taimiyyah, page 152)

It has been related on the authority of Umair (vii) •

Ibn Umayyah that he had a 'mushrik' sister who teased him when he met the Holy Prophet and used to abuse the Holy Prophet. At last one day he killed her with his sword. Her sons cried and said, "We know her murderers who killed our mother and the parents of these people are 'mushrik', (infidels)." When Umair thought that her sons may not murder wrong persons, he came to the Holy Prophet and informed him of the whole situation. The Prophet said to him, "Have you killed your sister?" He said, "Yes." Prophet said, "Why" He said that she was harming me in your relation. The Prophet called her sons and asked about the murderers. They showed other persons as murderers. Then Prophet informed them and declared her death as vain. (Majmauz Zawaid wa Manbaul Fawaid, Vol. V, page 260)

It has been narrated that after the conquest (viii) •

of Makka, the Holy Prophet, after giving general pardon, ordered killing of Ibn Khatal and his she-slaves defamatory poems a (Al-Shifa by Qazi A Urdu Translation)

It has been narrated (ix) •

that a person abused Prophet said to Sah person." On this Kh will kill him." The F he killed him
(ibid).

It has been narrated (x) •

the Holy Prophet and father abused you an killed him." The Ho action."(ibid), page

It has also been rel (xi) •

belonged to Bani abuse the Holy Pro said to his compa revenge from this person of her tribe and
'killed her. He Prophet who said "H will not quarrel and unity and integrity

Abdur Razaq in his Mu .25

following Traditions about Prophet and its punishment

Hadith No.9704: It (i) •

'."authority of Ikrima Holy Prophet. The will help me aga Zubair said, "I

and his she-slaves who used to compose defamatory poems about the Holy Prophet. (Al-Shifa by Qazi Ayaz, Vol. II, page 284 Urdu Translation)

It has been narrated by Qazi Ayaz in Shifa (ix) •

that a person abused the Holy Prophet The Prophet said to Sahaba "Who will kill this person." On this Khalid Ibn Walid said, "In will kill him." The Prophet ordered him and he killed him (ibid)

It has been narrated that a person came to (x) •

the Holy Prophet and said, "Oh Prophet! My father abused you and I couldn't bear it thus killed (him." The Holy Prophet confirmed his action."(ibid), page 285

It has also been related that a woman who (xi) •

belonged to Bani Khatmah tribe used to abuse the Holy Prophet The Holy Prophet said to his companions, "who will take revenge from this abusive woman." A person of her tribe took the responsibility and killed her. He then came to the Holy Prophet who said "In this tribe the two (goats will not quarrel and the people will live in unity and integrity." (ibid), page 286

Abdur Razaq in his Musannaf has related the .25

:following Traditions about the contempt of the Holy Prophet and its punishment

Hadith No.9704: It has been related on the (i) •

authority of Ikrimah that a person abused the Holy Prophet. The Holy Prophet said, "who will help me against my (this) enemy." Zubair said, "I". Then he (Zubair) fought

.with him and killed him. The Holy Prophet gave him this goods

Hadith No.9705: It has been related on the (ii) •

Authority of Urwah Ibn Muhammad (who relates from a companion of the Prophet) that a woman used to abuse the Holy Prophet. The Holy Prophet said, "who will help me against my (this) enemy." On this Khalid Ibn Walid went after her and killed her

Hadith No.9706: It has been related on the (iii) •

authority of Abdur Razaq who relates from his father that when Ayub Ibn Yahya went to Adnan, a man was referred to him who had abused the Holy Prophet. He consulted (the Ulama) in this matter. Abdur Rahman Ibn Yazid Sanani advised him to kill him and he killed him. Abdur Rahman had related to him a hadith in this regard that he had met/Omar and had got a great knowledge from him. Ayub also referred this action to Abdul Malik (or Walid Ibn Abdul Malik).

.He replied him appreciating his action

Hadith No.9707: It has been related on the (iv) •

authority of Saeed Ibn Jubair that a person falsified the Holy Prophet (p.b.u.h.). The Prophet sent .Ali and Zubair and said to them, "kill him when you find him

Hadith No.9708: It has been related on the (v) •

authority of Ibn Taimi who relates from his father that Hazrat Ali ordered the person who blamed (abused) the Holy Prophet be

killed. (Musa pages 377-378

It is pertinent to menti .26

pardoned some of hi concur that Prophet h his contemnners but th his contemnners. (Assa pages
.222-223)

Ibn Taimiyyah writ .27

.(said, "When the cont Muslim then his puni difference of the opi this matter in my kn page 4

',Qazi Ayaz writes .28

point that the punish the Holy Prophet o Shifa, Vol.II, page 4

Qazi Ayaz f abuses Holy Prophe his lineage, his relig makes with him o thing as his insult, d or
his defect, he is c and there is consen this point from the (Al-Shifa By Qazi A

Abu Bakar Jassas .29

difference of opini Muslim who malig intentionally becor (Ahkamul Quran useful to note one H

illed him. The Holy Prophet oods

It has been related on the :5 •

rwah Ibn Muhammad (who companion of the Prophet) used to abuse the Holy oly Prophet said,

"who will my (this) enemy." On this id went after her and killed

It has been related on the : •

dur Razaq who relates from when Ayub Ibn Yahya went n was referred to him who Holy Prophet.

He consulted this matter. Abdur Rahman ni advised him to kill him him. Abdur Rahman had

hadith in this regard that he and had got a great him. Ayub also referred bdul Malik (or Walid Ibn

e replied him appreciating

It has been related on the d Ibn Jubair that a person y Prophet (p.b.u.h.). The and Zubair and

.said to hen you find him

Ithas been related on the Taimi who relates from his ut Ali ordered the person used) the Holy

Prophet be

.killed. (Musannaf Abdur Razaq, Vol.V, pages 377-378)

It is pertinent to mention here that Holy Prophet had .26

pardoned some of his contemnners but the Jurists concur that Prophet himself had the right to

pardon his contemnners but the Ummah has not to pardon his contemnners. (Assarumal Maslul, Ibn

.Taimiyyah, pages 222-223)

Ibn Taimiyyah writes, "Abu Sulaiman Khattabi .27

said, "When the contemner of the Holy Prophet is a Muslim then his punishment is death and there is no difference of the opinion among the Muslims about this matter in my knowledge."

.(Assarimul Maslul, page 4)

Qazi Ayaz writes, "Ummah is unanimous on the .28

point that the punishment of a Muslim who abuses the Holy Prophet or degrades him is death.

.(Al- Shifa, Vol.II, page 4)

Qazi Ayaz further writes, "Every one who abuses Holy Prophet, points out any defect in him, his lineage, his religion or in any of his qualities, or makes with him or resembles him with another thing as his insult, disrespect, degradation, disregard or his defect, he is contemner and he will be killed, and there is consensus of the ulema and Jurists on this point from the period of Sahaba till this time. (Al-Shifa By Qazi Ayaz, Vol.II, page 214)

Abu Bakar Jassas Hanafi writes, "There is no .29

difference of opinion among the Muslims that a Muslim who maligns or insults the Holy Prophet intentionally becomes apostate liable for death. (Ahkamul Quran Vol.III, page 106). It will be

-:useful to note one Hadith here

It has been related on the authority of Abdullah Ibn Abbas that Prophet said. "Kill the person" .who changes his religion (Islam)." (Bukhari, Vol.II, page 123)

It has been related by Qazi Ayaz that Haroonur .30

Rashid asked Imam Malik about the punishment of the contemner of the Prophet and told him that some Jurists of Iraq had suggested the punishment of whipping him stripes. Imam Malik became furious on that and said, "O Amir ul Muainin! How the Ummah has the right to exist when her Prophet is abused. So kill the person who abuses the Prophet and whip stripes to one who abuses .the companions of the Prophet." (Al-Shifa, Vol.II, page 215)

Ibn Taimiyyah, while relating the opinions of the .31

Jurists in this connection, writes, "Abu Bakar Farsi Shafie has related that there is consensus of opinion among the Muslims that the punishment of contemner of the Prophet is death, if he is .Muslim." (Assarimul Maslul, page 3)

The above discussion leaves no manner of doubt .32

that according to Holy Quran as interpreted by the Holy Prophet and the practice ensuing thereafter in the Ummah, the penalty for the contempt of the Holy Prophet is death and nothing else. We have also noted that no one after the Holy Prophet exercised or was authorised the right of reprieve or pardon. The next question arising in the case is thus to specify or clearly define the .offence of contempt of the Holy Prophet

The words (Shitm-e-Sub) and (Aza) have been used .33

for the contempt of the Prophet in Holy Qur'an and Sunnah. (Sub) means to suffer, to harm, to molest, to contemn, to insult, to annoy, to irritate, to injure

.(to put to trouble (Arabic English page 44). The abuse, to revile, pages 212, 249

Allama meaning of th anything with living person is Manar, Vol.X, p

Allama contempt of th curse the Prop or refers to suc his position unreasonable w blames him

(Aasarinul Ma

Ibn Taimiyya .34

about the sco the contempt word in a situ while such a insult on an interpretation meanings ar

circumstanc (insult, con Shariah nor be relied up what is co custom and and insult (Assarimul

,ed on the authority of Prophet said. "Kill the ligion (Islam)." (Bukhari

azi Ayaz that Haroonur about the punishment of ophet and told him that uggested the punishment . Imam Malik became O Amir ul Muainin! How o exist when her Prophet n who .(abuses the Prophet o abuses the companions Vol.II, page 215

ting the opinions of the writes, "Abu Bakar Farsi is consensus of opinion t the punishment of ".death, if he is Muslim

es no manner of doubt an as interpreted by the ce ensuing thereafter in r the contempt of the nothing else. We have ter the Holy Prophet he right of reprieve or sing in the case is thus e offence of contempt

,have been used et in Holy Qur'an and r, to harm, to molest, , to irritate, to injure (Aza) to put to trouble, to malign, to degrade, to scoff. (Arabic English lexicon, E.W. Lane, Book-I, Part-I page 44). The word (Shitm) means to insult, to abuse, to revile, to scold, to curse, to .defame. (Ibid., pages 212, 249)

Allama Rashid Raza, while explaining the meaning of the word (Aza) writes, "It means anything with which the body or the mind of a living person is pained though very lightly." (Al- Manar, .Vol.X, page 445)

Allama Ibn Taimiyyah, while explaining the contempt of the holy Prophet writes, "It means to curse the Prophet, prays for any difficulty for him, or refers to such a thing which does not behave

with his position or uses any insulting, false and unreasonable words or imputes ignorance to him .or blames him with any human weakness etc." (Aasarinul Maslul, Ibn Taimiyyah, page 526)

Ibn Taimiyyah, while concluding the discussion .34

about the scope and what constitutes the offence of the contempt of the Prophet writes, "Sometimes a word in a situation may amount to injury and insult while such a word may not amount to injury and insult on another occasion. This shows that the interpretation of the words which bear different meanings and senses changes with the change of circumstances and occasions. And when (Sub) (insult, contempt) has neither been defined in Shariah nor in dictionary, the custom and usage will be relied upon in determining its interpretation. So what is considered contempt and insult in the custom and usage that will be considered contempt and .insult in Shariah as well and vice versa." (Assarimul Maslul, Ibn Taimiyyah, page 540)

35. Criminal liability may require the wrongful act to be

done intentionally or with some further wrongful purpose in mind, or it may suffice that it was done recklessly; and in each case the mental attitude of the doer is such as to make punishment effective. If a person intentionally chose the wrong doing, penal discipline will furnish him with a sufficient motive to choose the right instead, for the future. If, on the other hand, he committed the forbidden act without wrongful intent, yet realising the possibility of the harmful result, punishment may be an effective inducement to better conduct in the future

36. Yet there are other cases in which, for sufficient or

insufficient reasons, the law is content with a lower form of mens rea. This is the case with crimes of negligence. A person may be held responsible for some crimes if he did not do his best as a reasonable man to avoid the consequence in question. In another case the law may go even beyond this; holding a man responsible for his acts, independently altogether of any wrongful state of mind or culpable negligence. Wrongs which are thus independent of fault may be distinguished as wrongs of strict liability

37. The wrongs thus are of three kinds :-

• (1) Intentional or Reckless Wrongs, in which

the mens rea amounts to intention, purpose, design, or at least foresight

• (2) Wrongs of Negligence, in which the mens

rea assumes the less serious form of mere carelessness, as opposed to wrongful intent or foresight. With these wrongs defences such as mistake will only negative mens rea if the mistake itself is not negligent.

• (3) Wrongs of

mens rea is intent nor recognised responsibility mistake are

38. Thus intention

which an act is done intention may be to in self-defence or death. However, in shooting for defence can be said to be him

39. An unintentional

design. An act so cause and an effect actor brings about intend. One may or wrongly imagine the former consequences, in the circumstances

40. A system of law

be held liable he did not intend would obviate the mental element important, the that a man who involve does not he intend clearly reckless be justified by itself

the wrongful act to be more further wrongful since that it was done with a mental attitude of recklessness
effective. If wrong doing, penal a sufficient motive for the future. If, on the other hand, the act without the
possibility of the act being an effective one for the future.

h, for sufficient or content with a lower level with crimes of negligence responsible for death as a reasonable person in
question. In view of this; for his acts, wrongful state of affairs which are distinguished as
crimes, in which intention, purpose

which the mens rea form of mere wrongful intent crimes defences involve mens rea

• (3) Wrongs of Strict Liability, in which the

mens rea is not required, neither wrongful intent nor culpable negligence being recognised as a
necessary condition of responsibility; and here defences like mistake are of no avail

38. An intention thus is the purpose or design with which

which an act is done. Suppose one buys a gun. His intention may be to shoot for sport or game, to
use in self-defence or to shoot some one to cause his death. However, if the latter act is proved as
not shooting for defence but as killing then the intention can be said to be to do this very thing
i.e. to kill him

39. An unintentional act is one lacking such purpose or

design. An act such as killing, which consists of a cause and an effect, may be unintentional when
the actor brings about consequences which he does not intend. One may kill by mistake say firing

at a game or wrongly imagining him to be someone else. In the former cases he fails to foresee the consequences, in the latter he is ignorant of some of the circumstances

A system of law, however, could provide that a man .40 be held liable for such consequences, even though he did not intend them. In the first place, such a rule would obviate the need for difficult inquiries into the mental element. But secondly, and more important, the rule could be justified on the ground that a man should not do acts which he foresees will involve consequential harm to others, whether or not he intends to cause this harm. Such behaviour is clearly reckless or blameworthy, unless the risk can be justified by reason of the social interest of the act itself

Both in this special connection and generally, then it is to be observed that the law may, and .41 sometimes does, impute liability, outside the strict definition of intention, for what is called constructive intention. Consequences which are in fact the 'outcome of negligence merely are sometimes in law dealt with as intentional. Thus he who intentionally does grievous bodily harm to another, though with no desire to kill him, or certain expectation of his death, is guilty of .murder if death ensues

Law frequently, though by no means invariably, treats as intentional, all consequences due to .42 that form of negligence which is distinguished as recklessness that is to say, which the actor foresees as the probable results of his wrongful act. The foresight of the reasonable man is of course an obviously useful evidential test, whereby to infer, what the actor himself foresaw, but the rule just mentioned has transformed it into a presumption of law which cannot, it seems, be .rebutted. Intention thus covers acts expressly intended or those done recklessly

In the Shariah, it makes no difference whether the criminal intent precedes the offence or .43 synchronizes with it. In either case the penalty is identical. This principle is substantiated by the -: following Tradition of the Holy Prophet

Allah condones all those sinister ideas coming into the minds of the members of my Ummah" ".which they have not expressed or put into practice

That is why the Shariah draws no line of distinction between homicide or infliction of injury decided upon beforehand and unpremeditated

homicide or inju penalty in bot punishment for premeditated or n

The intention ma intention of an of indefinite person If the offender is of his act and do those .44

results hi indefinite result whatever the res and the Hambli Shafi'ee Schoo definite and in

.including homi results in homi not his intenti victim

Further the offender a the Jurists pla on equal footi same injunctio homicide and

The Shariah H criminal inte its very beg bearing of pattern of th it. Thus, it m motive of .45

retaliation, f the indignity

homicide or injury and it lays down identical penalty in both the cases. The prescribed punishment for murder is 'qisas' whether it is premeditated or not

The intention may be definite or indefinite. The .44

intention of an offender to do a definite wrong to an indefinite person will be regarded as definite intent. If the offender is conscious of the potential results of his act and does intend to produce all or some of those results his offence would in spite of its indefinite results be treated as a definite act, whatever the results produced by it. The Hanafites and the Hamblites as well as some Jurists of the Shafi'ee School do not differentiate between definite and indefinite intents in criminal cases including homicide. Hence if the act of the offender results in homicide he is a wilful killer whether or not his intention of murder involves a definite victim

Further, in determining the accountability of the offender and the sort of offence he is guilty of, the Jurists place both definite and indefinite intents on equal footing and regard them as subject to the same injunction except when the offence consists of homicide and the criminal intent is indefinite

The Shariah has kept in view the difference between .45

criminal intent and the motive of crime, right from its very beginning but has not admitted of the bearing of the motive on the commission and pattern of the crime and the punishment entailed by it. Thus, it matters little in the Shariah whether the motive of offence is noble, just as killing, in

retaliation, for the murder of one's next of kin or for the indignity suffered at the hands of the victim, or

on and generally, then v may, and sometimes the strict definition of constructive intention. fact the 'outcome of mes in law dealt with o intentionally does her, though with no e expectation of his th .ensues

o means invariably, quences due to that s distinguished as h the actor foresees wrongful act. The n is of course an whereby to infer, but the rule just o a presumption of rebutted. Intention ed or those done

ence whether the e or synchronizes is identical. This lowing Tradition

e sinister ideas members of my ssed or put into

aws no line of ction of injury unpremeditated

whether the motive is ignoble just as killing in lieu of pecuniary compensation or to commit larceny.

In other words, the motive of crime has nothing to do with the criminal intent; nor does it affect the pattern of crime or its punishment. So it is practically possible to reject the effect of motive so far as the 'hadd', 'qisas' offences are concerned but it is not so in the case of penal punishment. The motive does not affect the 'hadd' or 'qisas' offences because the law-maker has confined the powers of the Court to the prescribed punishments, admitting of no consideration of the motive behind the commission of offences. But in the case of 'tazeer' punishments the law-maker empowers the Court to determine the quantum of penalty and choose the kind of penalty so that it may be possible for the Court to take into account the motives of offences in the determination of the quantum of punishment.

In other words the difference between the man made laws in force and the Islamic Shariah is that the latter does not recognize the effect of motives in the case of offences which are categorised as 'Hudood' or 'Qisas' but in the case of other offences, there is nothing in the Shariah inhibiting the Court to take into account the motive of crimes although it does not theoretically admit of its effect on punishment.

It will be seen from the above discussion that Shariah recognises an offence liable to Hadd only if it is accompanied by an express intention. Shariah also waives the penalty of Hadd if any doubt occurs therein. It is also based on a Tradition of the Holy Prophet that doubts dispel sentences of Hadd.

ust as killing in lieu commit larceny

rime has nothing to r does it affect the ishment. So it is effect of motive so s are concerned but l
punishment. The or 'qisas' offences ned the powers of hments, admitting otive behind the he case
.of 'tazeer' wers the Court to y and choose the e possible for the tives of offences n of punishment
etween the man c Shariah is that ect of motives in categorised as case of other ariah inhibiting
otive of crimes mit of its effect

.discussion that o Hadd only if ntion. Shariah y doubt occurs en of the Holy f Hadd

So the wrongs of the first category only in para.37 .49

above will attract the penalty of Hadd and it will apply to the contemner of the Holy Prophet.
Further, as intention is to be gathered from the facts surrounding the event, the acts falling in the
second and third categories will not attract the sentences of Hadd, provided the accused shows that
he never intended to commit the offence and is penitent if the words said, gesture made or the act
done were ambiguous or they could show some straits of guilty mind or malice. We may also
clarify that penitence, in an alleged offence of contempt of the Holy Prophet, would be availed to
show that mind of the accused had no guilty straits or malice and the penalty will be dispelled on
.that account and not for the reason that penitence can wipe out an intended contempt

:The Holy Qur'an says

And there is no sin for you in the mistakes that ye make unintentionally, but what your 33:5"

".hearts purpose (that will be a sin for you), Allah is forgiving, merciful

When those come to thee who believe in Our Signs, Say: "Peace be on you; Your Lord had 6:54" inscribed for Himself (the rule of) Mercy: verily, if any of you did evil in ignorance, and
".thereafter repented and amended (His conduct), lo! He is Oft-Forgiving, Most Merciful

Anyone who, after accepting faith in Allah, utters unbelief, except under compulsion, His 16:106" heart remaining firm in faith but such as open their breast to unbelief, on them is Wrath from
".Allah, and theirs will be a dreadful penalty

knows of (the tricks) that deceive with the eyes, and all that hearts (Of men) (Allah) 40:19"
 ".conceal

50. It has been related on the authority of Hazrat Umar

that he heard the Prophet say "the reward of deeds depends upon the intention and every person will get the reward according to what he had intended. So whoever emigrated for worldly benefits or for a woman to marry, his emigration was for what he emigrated for." (Bukhari, Vol.I, page 1, .Hadith No.1)

51. It has been related on the authority of Ubaye Ibn

Ka'b who said, "There was a person among the Ansar whose house was situated at the farthest end of Madina, but he never missed any prayer along with the Messenger of Allah. We felt pity for him and said to him: O, so and so, why don't you buy a house near the Prophet's house so as to save you from the troubles of the heat and the coming from a long distance. He said: Listen! by Allah, I do not like my house to be situated by the side of Muhammad. I took (these words of his) ill and came to the Apostle of Allah and informed him about (these words). He (the Holy Prophet) called him and he said exactly like that (which he had mentioned to Ubbay Ibn Ka'b) but made a mention of this also) that he wanted a reward for his steps. Upon this the Apostle of Allah said: in fact for you is the reward which you intend. (Muslim, Vol. I, English Translation by Abdul Hameed Siddiqui, pages 323- 324, Hadith No. 1404). The above Tradition clearly shows

that on the face of it the words said sounded contemptuous but that was not the intention of the utterer and so he was absolved of any penalty

It has been related o .52

Sayyed that the Apos grave was being dug looked down into t sleeping place of a retorted: What a bad explained: I have no fight in the way o Apostle of Allah sa death in the way of land in the world in (Mishkat, Vol.III. Translation by Fazlu

It is relevant to men .53

the words uttered Prophet is not an malicious action speaking loudly h Prophet. The Holy Raise not your voic nor speak aloud to aloud to one anoth and ye perceive n Allama Qurtubi wh "this is .the prohibi voice over the vo injured him. Howe done for the cause enemy etc

Allama Alusi, whi .54

When this verse whose voice was r and closed his doo didn't attend the long time, the Ho"

.52 It has been related on the authority of Yahya Ibn

Sayyed that the Apostle of Allah was seated while a grave was being dug at Madina. A man suddenly looked down into the grave and said: Bad is the sleeping place of a believer. The Apostle of Allah retorted: What a bad thing you have said! The man explained: I have not meant that, but I meant that fight in the way of Allah (is better). Then the Apostle of Allah said thrice: There is nothing like death in the way of Allah. There is no other tract of land in the world in which I would prefer my grave. (Mishkat, Vol.III, pages 662-663, English Translation by Fazlul .Karim, Hadith No. 575)

.53 It is relevant to mention here that the mere fact that

the words uttered sounded contemptuous of the Prophet is not an offence until it is based on malicious action or degradation. For example, speaking loudly has been prohibited before the Prophet. The Holy Quran says, "O ye who believe! Raise not your voice above the voice of the Prophet nor speak aloud to him in talk, as ye may speak aloud to one another, lest your deeds become void and ye perceive not." (49:2). In this connection Allama Qurtubi while explaining Verse 49:2 writes, "this is the prohibition of shouting and raising the voice over the voice of Prophet which actually injured him. However, it will be no offence if it is done for the cause of .battle or for frightening the enemy etc

.54 ,Allama Alusi, while explaining Verse 49:2 writes

When this verse was revealed Sabit Ibn Qais whose voice was naturally loud, went to his house" and closed his door and started weeping. When he didn't attend the gatherings of the Prophet for a .long time, the Holy Prophet enquired about him

The companions said to the Prophet that he had closed the door of his house and is weeping inside the house. The Holy Prophet called him and asked him, “What happened to you, He said, ‘O Prophet! when this verse was revealed, having loud voice; I feared that I may not be one of those whose good deeds will be rendered vain.” The Holy Prophet said to him, “you are not among them. You will live with blessings and die with blessings.” According to Hassan it was based on the ground that his loud voice was a natural thing because he was dumb and mostly dumbs speak with loud voice, was not meant to degrade or insult the Holy Prophet as that of the hypocrites
 ”.about whom this verse was revealed

.(Ruhul Manni, Vol.XXVI, pages 12-125)

Allama Alusi further writes, “their shouting before .55

the Prophet is of two kinds: (i) which does not amount to rendering the good deeds vain (ii) which amounts to rendering the good deeds vain. The first is not based on malicious and insulting action as in case of shouting and speaking with loud voice in battles, quarreling with opponents of injury or insult as Prophet ordered on the day of the battle of Hunain to Hazrat Abbas to call the people with loud voice and he called people with such a loud voice that all the pregnant women delivered their pregnancies By that. And the second is based on malicious and insulting actions as
 .was done by the hypocrites and infidels. (Ibid)

Qurtubi writes that the last portion of this verse was .56

revealed about a person who said, “I will marry Hazrat Aisha after the death of the Prophet.” When Prophet was informed he was greatly injured by that. At this occasion this verse was revealed which prohibited marrying with the wives of Prophet for ever and the Holy Prophe world will be my wives before the revelation o practically happened that divorced a wife named I with Ikrima Ibn Abu Jaha she married with Ibn Qais that time it was not .(a so Prophet in their mind by after his death as it had no page 230

The Holy Prophet didn't p .57

Hannah who had actu accusation of Hazrat Ais declare them as hypo explaining that position intended the injury of the any sign of that, while Ib injury. This was because a told to them that the wi world will be his wife possible about their wife this reason that Holy P matter and consulted Al from Barirah and consequ who didn't intend the hypocrites on the possibi Prophet might have divo after the order that his w his wives hereinafter and of the believers, their injury of

the Prophet Maslul, ala Shatimir Rasu

Maulana Ahmad Yar .58

intention” of the cor“

Prophet that he had and is weeping inside called him and asked , He said, ‘O Prophet! I, having loud voice; I of those whose good The Holy Prophet said g them. You will live essings.” According to ground that his loud ause he was dumb and d voice, was not meant Prophet as that .(of the verse was revealed.” ges 12-125

their shouting before : (i) which does not deeds vain (ii) which d deeds vain. The first insulting“ action as in g with loud voice in ents of injury or insult day of the battle of d the people with loud ith such a loud voice men delivered their e second is based on s as was done by the tion of this verse was said, “I will marry f the Prophet.” When s greatly injured by e was revealed which wives of Prophet for ever and the Holy Prophet said, “My wives in this world will be my wives in the hereinafter.” But before the revelation of this verse there had practically happened that the Holy Prophet once divorced a wife named Kalbiah and she married with Ikrima Ibn Abu Jahal and according to some she married with Ibn Qais Kindi. This shows that at that time it was not a source of the injury or the Prophet in their mind by saying to marry his wife after his death as it had not been .prohibited.” (ibid., page 230)

The Holy Prophet didn’t punish Mistah, Hassan and .57

Hamnah who had actually participated in the accusation of Hazrat Aisha and he also did not declare them as hypocrites. Ibn-e-Taimiyyah, explaining that position writes, “they had not intended the injury of the Prophet and there was not any sign of that, while Ibn Ubayy had

intended the injury. This was because at that time it had not been told to them that the wives of the Prophet in this world will be his wives hereinafter and it was possible about their wives in general sense. It is for this reason that Holy Prophet hesitated in their matter and consulted Ali and Zaid and enquired from Barirah and consequently didn't declare those who didn't intend the injury of the Prophet as hypocrites on the possibility in their mind that Holy Prophet might have divorced the accused wife. But after the order that his wives in this world will be his wives hereinafter and that they are the mothers of the believers, their accusation would be the injury of the Prophet at any cost.” (Assarimul Maslul, ala Shatimir Rasul, page 49)

58. Maulana Ahmad Yar Khan Badayuni writes

“intention” of the contemner is necessary for

proving the offence of contempt of the Holy Prophet. If a person said, "The Holy Prophet was poor and was not a fortunate." So he will become infidel only when he intends the contempt of the .Prophet with that." (Nurul Irfan, Part X, page 74)

Some of the Jurists are, however, of the opinion that .59

if the contempt of the Holy Prophet is in manifest and express words, the contemner will not be asked as to what was his intention but if the words are such which bear or have the capacity of bearing different meanings and senses out of which only one amounts to contempt, he will be .asked as to what was his "intention." (Al-Shifa, Qazi Ayaz, Vol.II, page 221)

We, however, do not agree. Firstly, the meaning and .60

import of words differ from place to place. Again context may also suggest different meaning. The accused therefore, must be allowed an opportunity to explain lest an innocent person is punished. It is related that Holy Prophet said; "The mistake of qazi (judge) in releasing a criminal is better than his mistake in punishing an innocent." (Sunan Al- Baihaqi, Vol. VIII, page 184). The Holy Our'an also confers right of hearing on every accused. It is to be noted that though Allah Almighty knows, that whatever is written in the scrolls by the guardian Angels, about the deeds of a person in this world, is correct beyond any doubt, yet we find that the man will be heard and if he objects to the writings of the angels, Allah shall call witnesses including his hands, feet, eyes and ears. See al-Our'an 17:13, 14,36:65, 27:W, 22, 16:93 and 21:23. We also find

from the Traditions referred to in paras.36-41 above that the right of an accused to explain is there and cannot be taken away. It is, therefore, only after the explanation that the C words so said were in used maliciously an uttered innocently

It has been related on .61

Ibn Rafi'a that he hear Apostle sent me, somewhere saying, P Khakh. There you w Take the letter from h horses ran at full pa where we found the la the letter." She replie We said, "Either you will take off your clo braid. We brought th it contained a stateme to some of the Macc some of the intentio Allah's Apostle said replied, "O Allah's your judgment abou connected with the Q this tribe, while the their relatives in M dependents and I recompense for my by doing them a fa my dependents. I disbelief nor apostate (disbelief) to Islam. has told you the Vol.IV, pages 154-1

A Hanafi Jurist, Al .62

jurists opine that ir

.(empt of the Holy e Holy Prophet was ' So he will become the contempt of the , Part X, page 74
er, of the opinion that rophet is in manifest ner will not be asked out if the words are capacity of
,bearing out of which only will be asked as to l-Shifa, Qazi Ayaz
stly, the meaning and lace to place. Again erent meaning. The owed an opportunity son is
punished. It is "The mistake of qazi l is better than his ocent." (Sunan Al- . The Holy Qur'an
every accused. It is lmighty knows, that olls by the guardian rson in this world, is ve find that the
man o the writings of the esses including his ee al-Qur'an 17:13, 21:23. We also find n paras.36-
41 above explain is there and efore, only after the

229

explanation that the Court can decide J whether the words so said were intended to malign, were
.they used maliciously and contemptuously or were uttered innocently

It has been related on the authority of Ubaidullah .61

Ibn Rafi'a that he heard Hazrat Ali saying, "Allah"s Apostle sent me, Az-Zubair and Al-Migdad
somewhere saying, Proceed till you reach Rawdat Khakh. There you will find a lady with a letter.
Take the letter from her". "So, we set out and our horses ran at full pace till we got at Ar-Rawda
where we found the lady and said (to her). "Takeout the letter." She replied, "I have no letter
with me." We said, "Either you take out the letter or else we will take off your clothes," So she
took it out of her braid. We brought the letter to Allah's Apostle and it contained a statement from
Hatib Bin Abi Balta'a to some of the Maccan pagans informing them of some of the intentions of

Allah's Apostle. Then Allah's Apostle said, "O Hatib what is this? Hatib replied, "O Allah's Apostle "Don't hasten to give your judgment about me. I was a man closely connected with the Quraish, but I did not belong to this tribe, while the other emigrants with you, had their relatives in Mecca who would protect their dependents and property. So I wanted to recompense for my lacking blood relation to them by doing them a favour so that they might protect my dependents. I did this neither because of disbelief nor apostasy nor out of preferring kufr (disbelief) to Islam." Allah's Apostle said, "Hatib has told you the truth... .." (Bukhari, Vol.IV, pages 154-155, .Hadith No.251)

A Hanafi Jurist, Allama Muhiyuddin, writes, "the .62

jurists opine that in matter of the contempt of the

Prophet the ruler or the judge has to look into the situation had the general conduct of the contemner before deciding the matter." (Akham Al-murtad, Numan Abdur Razaq Samraqi, page 109)

63. An Indian renowned scholar Maulana Ahmad Raza

Khan Brailwi writes in this regard, "There is difference between the words of infidelity and the position of the person who quotes these words and becomes infidel with that. (Tamhid-e-Imam, page 59). He further says, "The use of the word raina is not contempt now as it is not said in the context of contempt of the Prophet in these days." (Khatm-e- Nubuwwat, page 71)

64. It has been related that a jew woman named Zainab

Bint al-Haris mixed poison in meat and offered it to the Prophet liked eating the meat of the arm of the goat, she, mixed more poison in that part of the meat. Holy Prophet and Bishar Ibn Al-Bar'a who was accompanied with the Holy Prophet ate from that. But when Holy Prophet started eating, he felt that it is poisonous and thus threw it out from his mouth. Then Holy Prophet called that jew woman and asked her about that. She confessed to have mixed poison in that meal. The Holy Prophet then asked her as to why she had done so. She answered that she thought if you (Prophet) are a king, we will get rid of you and if you are a Prophet, there will be no harm to you. The Holy Prophet forgave her. (Aqziyah al-Rasul by Muhammad Ibn Farj Urdu Translation, pages 189, 190)

65. It is also to be noted that Allah Almighty creates no

distinction or inequality in the status of the Prophets though He did bestow on some of them more
-: gifts than others. We quote here for reference the following verses from the Holy Qur'an

We more (and other) gi to David (the gift of 17:55"

Tho gifts, Some above spoke; Others He ra Jesus the son of Ma strengthened him w so 2:253"
willed, succeedi fought among each come to them, But believing and othe willed they would Allah
fulfilleth His

Say the revelation given Isaac, Jacob, and Moses and Jesus a from their Lord; W one and 2:136"
".(another of Islam

Say: has been revealed Abraham, Ismail, in (the Books) g Prophets, from the between one 3:84"
:and a do we bow our 2:285,4:150 and 4

Practically, all the .66

that in view of the of all the Prophe death as determi

explanation that the Court can decide J whether the words so said were intended to malign, were .they used maliciously and contemptuously or were uttered innocently

It has been related on the authority of Ubaidullah .61

Ibn Rafi'a that he heard Hazrat Ali saying, "Allah's Apostle sent me, Az-Zubair and Al-Migdad somewhere saying, Proceed till you reach Rawdat Khakh. There you will find a lady with a letter. Take the letter from her". "So, we set out and our horses ran at full pace till we got at Ar-Rawda where we found the lady and said (to her). "Takeout the letter." She replied, "I have no letter with me." We said, "Either you take out the letter or else we will take off your clothes," So she took it out of her braid. We brought the letter to Allah's Apostle and it contained a statement from Hatib Bin Abi Balta'a to some of the Maccan pagans informing them of some of the intentions of Allah's Apostle. Then Allah's Apostle said, "O Hatib what is this? Hatib replied, "O Allah's Apostle "Don't hasten to give your judgment about me. I was a man closely connected with the Quraish, but I did not belong to this tribe, while the other emigrants with you, had their relatives in Mecca who would protect their dependents and property. So I wanted to recompense for my lacking blood relation to them by doing them a favour so that they might protect my dependents. I did this neither because of disbelief nor apostasy nor out of preferring kufr (disbelief) to Islam." Allah's Apostle said, "Hatib has told you the truth... .." (Bukhari, Vol.IV, pages 154-155, Hadith No.251)

A Hanafi Jurist, Allama Muhiyuddin, writes, "the .62

jurists opine that in matter of the contempt of the

Prophet the ruler or the judge has to look into the situation had the general conduct of the contemner before deciding the matter.” (Akham Al-murtad, Numan Abdur Razaq Samraqi, page 109)

63. An Indian renowned scholar Maulana Ahmad Raza

Khan Brailwi writes in this regard, “There is difference between the words of infidelity and the position of the person who quotes these words and becomes infidel with that. (Tamhid-e-Imam, page 59). He further says, “The use of the word raina is not contempt now as it is not said in the context of contempt of the Prophet in these days.” (Khatm-e- Nubuwwat, page 71)

64. It has been related that a jew woman named Zainab

Bint al-Haris mixed poison in meat and offered it to the Prophet liked eating the meat of the arm of the goat, she mixed more poison in that part of the meat. Holy Prophet and Bishar Ibn Al-Bar’a who was accompanied with the Holy Prophet ate from that. But when Holy Prophet started eating, he felt that it is poisonous and thus threw it put from his mouth. Then Holy Prophet called that jew woman and asked her about that. She confessed to have mixed poison in that meal. The Holy Prophet then asked her as to why she had done so. She answered that she thought if you (Prophet) are a king, we will get rid of you and if you are a Prophet, there will be no harm to you. The Holy Prophet forgave her. (Aqziyah al-Rasul by Muhammad Ibn Farj Urdu Translation, pages 189, 190)

65. It is also to be noted that Allah Almighty creates no

distinction or inequality in the status of the Prophets though He did bestow on some of them more
-: gifts than others. We quote here for reference the following verses from the Holy Qur'an

We more (and other) gift to David (the gift of) 17:55“

Thos gifts, Some above spoke; Others He rai Jesus the son of Ma strengthened him wi so 2:253“
willed, succeedin fought among each come to them, But believing and othe willed they would n

Allah fulfilleth His p

Say y the revelation given Isaac, Jacob, and t Moses and Jesus a from their Lord; W one 2:136“

”. (and another of Islam

Say: W has been revealed to Abraham, Ismail, Isa in (the Books) giv Prophets, from their 3:84“

between one and an do we bow our 2:285, 4:150 and 4:15

Practically, all the Ju .66

that in view of the al of all the Prophets death as determine

.judge has to look into the conduct of the contemner ter." (Akham Al-murtad, raqi, page 109)

olar Maulana Ahmad Raza n this regard, "There is words of infidelity and the ho quotes these words and nt. (Tamhid-e-Imam, page e use of the word raina is not said in the context of in these -days." (Khatm-e

ew woman named Zainab n in meat and offered it to he meat of the arm of the oison in that part of the Bishar Ibn Al-Bar'a who e Holy Prophet ate from het started eating, he felt hus threw it out from his et called that jew woman . She confessed to have d. The Holy Prophet then ad done so. She answered ophet) are a king, we will re a Prophet, there will be oly Prophet forgave her.

.(hammad Ibn Farj Urdu 0

llah Almighty creates no the status of the Prophets some of them more gifts here for reference the -:Holy Qur'an

231

We did bestow on some Prophets more (and other) gifts than on others: and We gave to 17:55"

".David (the gift of) the Psalms

Those apostles we endowed with gifts, Some above others: To one of them Allah spoke; 2:253" Others He raised. To degress (of honour): To Jesus the son of Mary. We gave clear (Signs), and strengthened him with the Holy Spirit. If Allah had so willed, succeeding generation would not have fought among each other, after clear (Signs) had come to them, But they (chose) to wrangle,

some believing and others rejecting. If Allah had so willed they would not have fought each other;

".but Allah fulfillleth His plan

Say ye; "We believe in Allah, and the revelation given to us, and to Abraham. Ismail, 2:136"

Isaac, Jacob, and the Tribes, and that given to Moses and Jesus and that given to (all) Prophets

from their Lord; We make no difference between one and another of them; and we bow to Allah

".(in Islam)

Say: We believe in Allah, and in what has been revealed to us and what was revealed to 3:84"

Abraham, Ismail, Isaac, Jacob, and the Tribes, and in (the Books) given to Moses, Jesus, and the

Prophets, from their Lord; We make no distinction between one and another among them, and to

.Allah do we bow our will (in Islam)." and Verses 2:285,4:150 and 4:152

Practically, all the Jurisconsults and Scholars agreed .66

that in view of the above verses and the equal status of all the Prophets as such, the same penalty

of death as determined above shall apply, in case

.anyone utters contemptuous remarks or offers insult, in any way, to anyone of them

In view of the above discussion we are of the view .67

that the alternate punishment of life imprisonment as provided in section 295-C, P.P.C. is repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam as given in Holy Qur'an and Sunnah and therefore, the said .words be deleted therefrom

A clause-may further be added to this section so as .68

to make the same acts or things when said about other Prophets, also offence with the same .punishment as suggested-above

A copy of-this -order shall be sent to the President .69

of Pakistan under Article 203-D(3) of the Constitution to take steps for amend the law so as to bring the same in conformity with the Injunctions of Islam. In case, this is not done by 30th April, 1991 the words "or imprisonment for life" in section 295- C, P.P.C. shall cease to have effect on .that date

.M.B.A./572/FSC Order accordingly

"Appendix-A/1"

IN THE SUPREM

PAKIS

Appellate ju)

Present

Mr. Just Mr. Ramday Mr. Jus

CRIMINAL PETIT

On appeal from the the Lahore High Court Laho No.1815 of 2001 and Murder)

Dr. Muhammad Amin

Ve

Muhammad Mehboob and an

.For the petitioner: Mr. M ASC

For the Respondent: Not re

Date of Hearing: 13.9.2

....

JU

KHALIL-UR

One Muhammad M FIR No.466 dated Khushab, of the com under sections 295- was tried by a
learn was found guilty

.ious remarks or offers ne of them

ssion we are of the view ent of life imprisonment -C, P.P.C. is repugnant to as given in Holy

Qur'an the said words be deleted

.dded to this section so as things when said about ffence with the same bove

be sent to the President icle 203-D(3) of the r amend the law so as to y with the Injunctions of

.one by 30th April, 1991 for life" in section 295- e effect on that date

"Appendix-A/1"

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

(Appellate jurisdiction)

Present

Mr. Justice Abdul Hameed Dogar Mr. Justiced Khalil-ur-Rehman Ramday Mr. Justice Nasir-ul-

Mulk

CRIMINAL PETITION No.774-of 2002

On appeal from the judgment dated 20.8.2002 of the Lahore High Court Lahore, passed in)

(Criminal Appeal No.1815 of 2001 and Murder Reference No.61-T of 2001

Dr. Muhammad Amin ...Petitioner

Versus

Muhammad Mehboob and another ...Respondents

.For the petitioner: Mr. Muhammad Ismail Qureshi, Sr. ASC

For the Respondent: Not represented

Date of Hearing: 13.9.2005

....

JUDGEMENT

KHALIL-UR-REHMAN RAMDAY, J. One Muhammad Mehboob was accused, through FIR No.466 dated 26.9.1999 of Police Station Khushab, of the commission of offences punishable under sections 295-A and 295-C of the PPC. He was tried by a learned Special Judge at Sargodh; was found guilty of the said charges and was

punished with death under section 295-C PPC while on the charge under section 295-A PPC he was ordered to suffer ten years R.I. On an appeal filed by him in the Lahore High Court bearing Criminal Appeal No.1815 of 2001, he was acquitted of the said charges through a judgment dated .20.8.2002

2. Muhammad Amin complainant is now before us

.through this petition and has been heard through Mr. Muhammad Ismail Qureshi, Sr. ASC

3. The learned Sr. ASC conceded at the very outset

that he was not aggrieved of the acquittal recorded by the learned High Court in favour of the accused- respondent but was aggrieved only of certain observations made in paras 27, 30 and 31 of the impugned judgment of the Lahore High Court. Adds that the final jurisdiction in the matters relating to the injunctions of Islam vested in the Federal Shariat Court subject of course to any decision in the matter of the Shariat Appellate Bench of this court and that the impugned observations traveled beyond the purview of the High Court on account of the provisions of Article of 203 GG of the Constitution and that he would be satisfied if it was observed that what has biding effect is the declaration made by the Federal Shariat Court on the subject and not the .impugned observations of the learned High Court

4. This is pricesly what stands declared by Article

203GG of the Constitution and it is observed that binding decision in the matter is one rendered, if .at all, by the learned Federal Shariat Court

5. It is a principle of law too well established by now

that the courts do not interfere with the matters of investigation of criminal cases which is the

l under section 295-C PPC while er section 295-A PPC he was n years R.I. On an appeal filed re
 .High Court bearing Criminal 2001, he was acquitted of the l a judgment dated 20.8.2002

.complainant is now before us m and has been heard through ail Qureshi, Sr. ASC

C conceded at the very outset ieved of the acquittal recorded Court in favour of the accused- s
 aggrieved only of certain n paras 27, 30 and 31 of the of the Lahore High Court. jurisdiction in
 the matters ctions of Islam vested in the t subject of course to any er of the Shariat Appellate t and
 that the impugned beyond the purview of the t of the provisions of Article titution and that he
 would be erved that what has binding made by the Federal Shariat t and not the impugned ned
 .High Court

stands declared by Article tion and it is observed that matter is one rendered, if at al Shariat
 .Court

oo well established by now terfere with the matters of nal cases which is the
 exclusive domain of the Officer incharge of a Police Station in terms of sections 156 and 157 of
 the Cr.P.C. Therefore, the directions issued by the learned High Court to the Inspector General of
 Police in Para 30 of the impugned judgment are not strictly tenable in law but as the same appear
 to be intended to cater for a better quality of investigation of cases and is likely to be a step to
 advance the cause of justice and not to impede the system, therefore, we do not consider it
 appropriate to interfere with the said directions. It may, however, be clarified that the purpose of
 investigation as defined by section 4 (1) of the Cr.P.C is merely to collect evidence for the

purposes of placing the same before a competent trial court and it is then this court which is qualified to determine the guilt or innocence of an accused person and further that the power of the police officer to investigate a case does not include the power to determine the guilt or .innocence of an accused person

Subject to what has been observed above, this .6

.petition is disposed of

Islamabad, the 13th September

Comments by the author: It is a unique judgment in the above titled case in the sense that the learned author judge had appeared in the Federal Shariat Court case titled Muhammad Ismail Qureshi Vs Pakistan as a counsel representing the respondent Provincial Government of Punjab while he was Advocate General of the Province. It is interesting to note that the issue in the above Federal Shariat Court judgment and the present judgment of the Supreme Court was pertaining to .the punishment for unpardonable offence of the blasphemy of the Holy Prophet (PBUM)

"Appendix-B"

United Kingdom Ruling

BEFORE THE HOUSE OF LORDS

In Lemon Vs Whitehouse (Appellate Jurisdiction)

In appeal by Lemon, the judgment of the Trial Court was upheld. The observation of appellate judge Lord Scarman, who is well-known as liberal Judge in socialist and democratic country is very important and relevant to Law of Blasphemy in Pakistan. (Author's Note)

-: The relevant paragraph of judgment reads as under

I do not subscribe to the view that the common law offense of blasphemous libel serves no useful purpose in the law. On the contrary, I think there is a case for legislation extending it to protect the religious beliefs and feelings of non-Christians. The offences designed to safeguard the internal tranquility of the kingdom. In an increasingly pluralist society such as that of modern Britain it is necessary not only to respect the differing religious beliefs, feelings and practices of all but also to protect them from scurrility, vilification, ridicule, and contempt. Gay News Case

AC516

Reference: Richard Webster, Brief History of Blasphemy, pp. 64-65 (New York, The Orwell Press, 1990)

Author's Note: In Pakistan, the penalty for contempt of Prophets of the scriptures is the same as prescribed for the blasphemy of Holy Prophet of Islam according to Ismail Qureshy Blasphemy .case ruling

"Appendix-C"

Blasphemy Rulings

EUROPEAN C

In Re: Nigel Wingrov Judgment date

The Ju most importa Human Right declared a so It shows how maintaining hand Pakista amend its
ju attempt to m state. (Autho

Abst judgment o Europe is b of the case a

Mr. a video film for the film life and w century nu experience

Th youthful a begins wi stabbing spreading clothing. represent upon rea

HOUSE OF LORDS

(jurisdiction

n

Whitehouse

the judgment of the Trial ation of appellate judge Lord as liberal Judge in socialist y important ,

and relevant to (Author's Note)

-:ment reads as under

e view that the common law erves no useful purpose in think there is a case for ct the religious

beliefs and The offences designed to ity of the kingdom. In an h as that of modern Britain ect the

differing religious all but also to protect them cule, and contempt. Gay

,bster, Brief History of Work, The Orwell Press

.the penalty for contempt e same as prescribed for of Islam according to ling ,

”Appendix-C“

Blasphemy Rulings

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

In Re: Nigel Wingrove Vs. The United Kingdom Judgment dated 25 November 1996

The Judgment entitled above is one of the most important judgments of European Court of Human Rights, whereby the law of Blasphemy was declared a social necessity for a democratic country. It shows how Europe is conservative and jealous in maintaining its own orthodox laws. On the other hand Pakistan's ruling class is being obliged to amend its just and equitable laws of Islam in an attempt to make it a secular and so called modern state. (Author's note)

Abstract of the detailed and exhaustive judgment of the apex court of Human Rights of Europe is - :being reproduced. The brief facts and law of the case are as follows

Mr. Nigel Wingrove directed the making of a video film entitled "Visions of Ecstasy". The idea for the film alleged to have been derived from the life and writings of St. Teresa of Avila, a 16th .century nun and founder of many convents, who' experienced ecstatic visions of Jesus Christ

The action of the film centers upon a youthful actress intended to represent St. Teresa. It begins with the nun, dressed in a black habit, stabbing her own hand with a large nail and spreading her blood over her naked breasts and clothing. In the meanwhile, a second female said to represent St.

Tresa's psyche, slowly crawls and upon reaching St. Treasa's person, the psyche

exchanges passionate kisses with her. Thereafter one sees the body of the Christ fastened to the Cross. St. Tresa first kisses the stigmata of his feet before moving up his body and licking the gaping wound. All the time moving in a motion reflecting intense erotic arousal, kisses his lips. For a moment, it appears that Christ responds to her kisses. The film "Vision of Ecstasy" was submitted to the British Board of Film Classification (hereinafter referred to as "the Board") for permission to sell it to the viewers. But the Board rejected his application on the ground that the film comes within the mischief of provisions of criminal law of blasphemy as tested in 'Gay News' case. It observed: 'the video film depicts the mingling of religious ecstasy and sexual passion of St. Teresa without explaining its historical background.' Its presentation is bounded to give rise to outrage the feelings of Christian Community due to unacceptable treatment of a sacred subject. Mr. Wingrove went up to the appeal committee on the ground that the Board was wrong to conclude that the film infringes the criminal law of blasphemy. It is just an artistic and imaginative interpretation of 'ecstasy' of 16th century nun St. Teresa. The Board's stand before the appeal committee was that the film is "soft core pornography". The appellate committee accepted the contentions of the Board and held that the film is blasphemous, hence the appeal was dismissed. Mr. Wingrove filed a petition to the House of Lords which was pleased to refuse to grant the leave for judicial review against the judgment of the Board. The House of Lords considered the arguments in regard to the leave for judicial review and held that it would be a futile exercise as it has already held in an exhaustive

e kisses with her. Thereafter of the Christ fastened to the kisses the stigmata of his feet s body and licking the gaping moving in a motion reflecting , kisses his lips. For a moment, t responds to her kisses. The stasy" was submitted to the lm Classification (hereinafter oard") for permission to sell it ut the Board rejected his und that the film comes within visions of criminal law of d in 'Gay News' case. It film depicts the mingling of sexual passion of St. Teresa s historical background.' Its ed to give rise to outrage the ian Community due to nt of a sacred subject. Mr. the appeal committee on the was wrong to conclude that criminal law of blasphemy. It imaginative interpretation of y nun St. Teresa. The Board's committee was that the film ny". The appellate committee us of the Board and held that ous, hence the appeal was ove filed a petition to the n was pleased to refuse to udicial review against the ard. The House of Lords uts in regard to the

leave for ld that it would be a futile ady held in an exhaustive judgment of "Lemons' appeal case" that the mental element in the offence of blasphemy (mens rea) did not depend upon the factum that the accused committed the offence having an intent to blasphemy. It is said in the judgment that it was sufficient for the prosecution to prove that the publication had been intentional and that matter published was blasphemous. In a judicial Review of the Chief Magistrate's case who refused to issue summons against Salman Rushdie and the publishers of his "The Satanic verses", Lord Watkins observed: "We have no doubt that as the law now stands does not extend to religions other than Christianity like Islam, we think it right to say that, were it open to extend to cover religions other than Christianity we should refrain from doing

.so. (All England law Reports 306 (318)

Lord Diplock was sitting as member of House of Lords in the above case. It is pertinent to note that he was counsel in Maulvi Tamizuddin case on behalf of bureaucrat Governor General Ghulam Muhammad, who had dissolved the first National Assembly of Pakistan to sustain his despotic rule in newly established democracy. Lord Diplock was of the same view in Wingrove case as that of :Lord Watkin. Diplock J observed

In this case it would take just the same instance if it were asked to grant a certificate to a video " film which, for instance, was contemptuous of Mohamad or Buddha". (i.e. contempt of prophet of Islam or Buddah is no offence)

Lord Scarman, known as a liberal Judge in almost all socialist and democratic countries of the world, has said in his judgment that Law of Blasphemy is an essential law in order to protect the

national security and territorial integrity of a country and it should be extended to other religions and citizens of multidenominational society like Britain. He observed that “it is unnecessary to speculate whether an outraged Christian would feel provoked by the words and illustration to commit a breach of peace, the true test is whether the words are calculated to outrage and insult
 ”.the Christian feelings

Mr. Wingrove was an obstinate person, so he went up in appeal to the European Court of Human Rights as a last resort invoking Article 10 of its convention (constitution) which reads as under
 Article 10 (1): Every one has the right to freedom of expression. The right shall include freedom to hold opinions and to receive and impart information and ideas without interference by public authority and regardless of frontiers. Paragraph (2) of Article 10 of the convention in so far as
 - : relevant, reads as under

The exercise of these freedoms, since it carries with (2) •
 its duties and responsibilities, may be subject to such conditions and restrictions as are prescribed by law and are necessary in a democratic country for protection of morals and protection of the reputation or rights of others or for maintaining the authority and impartiality of the judiciary or it
 .is deemed to be a social necessity

The petitioner, Mr. Wingrove in his petition prayed to the court to determine that such an interference by the Board entails a violation of the convention and to examine whether such an interference was "necessary in a democratic society". The main ground was that it could not be

.expected to fors Board

The cou (U.K) which wa the Britain. It c and contended provocative and would sufficien an
attack on re insulting and o certificate for th only acted with Human Rights different count and
evidence a petitioner Mr. Britain. The C freedom of essential foun article 10 of th It is necessary
."final ruling o the convention circumstances whether the in social need

The co recognizes th freedom carri Amongst them may legitimat expression tha offensive to o the
English la Christian faith

d territorial integrity of a be extended to other religions denominational society like that "it is unnecessary to outraged Christian would feel s and illustration to commit a ue test is whether the words age and insult the Christian was an obstinate person, so to the European Court of resort invoking Article 10 of tion) which :reads as under

Every one has the right to 1. The right shall include ns and to receive and impart s without - :interference by regardless of frontiers. e 10 of the convention in so under eedoms, since it carries with bilities, may be subject to rictions as are prescribed by n a democratic country for protection of the reputation r maintaining the authority judiciary or it is deemed to Mr. Wingrove in his petition o determine that such an d entails a violation of the amine whether such an essay in a democratic nd was that it could not be .expected to forsee the result of speculations of the Board

The court summoned the United Kingdom (U.K) which was represented by the Government of the Britain. It contested the claim of the petitioner and contended that the video film was clearly a provocative and indecent veneration. Its distribution would sufficiently cause offence as it amounted to an attack on religious belief of Christians which is insulting and offensive. Therefore refusing to grant certificate for the video film, the national authorities only acted within their margin of appreciation. The Human Rights Court consisting of nine Judges from different countries of Europe examined the record and evidence and heard the arguments of both the

petitioner Mr. Wingrove and the Government of Britain. The Court in its judgment observed:

"The freedom of expression constitute one of the essential foundations of democratic society, as article 10 of the convention expressly recognizes it. It is necessary for this European Court to give a final ruling on the restriction's compatibility with the convention and it will do so by assessing the circumstances of a particular case, inter alia, whether the interference corresponded to a
."pressing social need

The court finally held: "Article 10 expressly recognizes the freedom of expression but that freedom carries with it duties and responsibilities. Amongst them, in the context of religious belief, it may legitimately be included a duty to avoid an expression that is in regard to objects of veneration offensive to others and is profanatory. It is true that the English law of blasphemy only
extends to the Christian faith. However, it is not for the European

Court of Human Rights to rule "in abstracto" as to the compatibility of the domestic law with the convention. The much emphasized point was that the English law of Blasphemy does not treat on equal footing the different religions practiced in the United Kingdom. So far as Salman Rushdie's case is concerned, the English law of Blasphemy does not protect other beliefs and it is not point .in issue before this European Court of Human Rights

In view of the above circumstances and foregoing background the court finally held that the national authorities of the United Kingdom were entitled to take the impugned measure to ban the blasphemous video film before its sale in the market. This was justified as being "social necessity" in a democratic country within the meaning of paragraph 2 of Article 10. There has therefore been no violation of Article 10 of the convention (constitution). Hence the petition of Mr. Nigel Wingrove is declared to be not sustainable in the eye of law of the European Court of Human Rights. It is significant to note that the honorable European Court of Human Rights refused to entertain the petition of Muslim citizens of England against Rushdie inter alia on the grounds that they are not conversant with implications of Islamic Law of Blasphemy and they cannot deliver .judgments with respect to abstract matters

ts to rule "in abstracto" as to the domestic law with the n emphasized point was that blasphemy does not treat on rent religions practiced in the ar as Salman Rushdies case is n law of Blasphemy .does not and it is not point in issue Court of Humans Right

e above circumstances and the court finally held that the f the United Kingdom were mpugned measure to ban the lm before its sale in the ustified as being "social ocratic country within the 2 of Article 10. There has lation of Article 10 of the n). Hence the petition of Mr. ared to be not sustainable in European Court of Human to note that the honorable Human Rights refused to Muslim citizens of England ia on the grounds that they implications of Islamic Law t cannot .deliver judgments matters

"Appendix-D"

JUDGEMENT OF SUPREME COURT OF

USA

Inre: State Vs Mockus

.The operative part of elaborate judgment

The first requested instruction relates to religious freedom, as vouchsafed by articles 1 and 3 of" the constitution of this state, wherein it is provided that the right to worship Almighty God according to the dictates of one's own conscience shall not be restrained, nor shall one be hurt,

molested, nor restrained because of his religious professions or sentiments, provided he does not disturb the public peace nor obstruct others in their religious worship. The second requested instruction relates to freedom of speech, as vouchsafed by articles 1 and 4 of the same constitution, wherein it is provided that every citizen may freely speak, write and publish his sentiments on any subject being responsible for the abuse of this liberty. In the present case these two requests correlate; the respondent claiming freedom of speech regarding his religious profession or sentiments. We do not understand that upon the occasion in question the respondent claims that he was worshipping Almighty God according to the dictates of his conscience, or that the state by this proceeding is attempting to hurt, molest, or restrain him for such worship. These two constitutional rights, within constitutional limits, are not to be violated, destroyed, or denied. The rights are always vigorously claimed, but the limitations are not always carefully scrutinized or respected. In a charge which for clearness of thought, beauty of diction, accuracy of law, and impartiality of statement is seldom equated, the learned justice who presided at the trial well said.

The great degrees of liberty which we enjoy in this country, the degree of

personal liberty which every man and woman enjoys, is limited by a like degree of liberty in every other person, and it is the duty of men, and the duty of women, in their conduct, in the exercise of the liberty which they enjoy, to consider that every other man and woman has the right to exercise the same degree of liberty that when one person enters into society --- and society is the state in which personal liberty exists --- each gives up something of that liberty in order that the other may enjoy the same degree of liberty. It is a conception that perhaps some people find difficult to understand, but it is the conception of liberty which we enjoy. "The difficult task imposed in most instances is to ascertain, determine, and declare in concrete form, what those limitations are and where they mark the line beyond which one may not cross with safety either to himself or to society. In this state the constitutional limitations of religious freedom are non disturbance of the public peace and non-obstruction of other in their religious worship, while the constitutional limitation of free speech is only responsibility for the liberty. These are broad, far reaching .limitations, and they travel *pari passu* with liberty in whatever paths she may desire to travel

It is farthest from our thought to claim superiority for any religious sect, society or denomination, or even to admit that there exists any distinct, avowed connection between church and state in these united states or in any individual state, but as distinguished from the religions of confucius. Gautama, Muhammad, or even Abraham, it may be truly said that, by reason of the number, influence, and station of its devotees within our territorial boundaries, the religion of Christ is the prevailing religion of this country and of this state. With equal truth may it be said that from the

dawn of civilization, the religion of a country is a most important factor in determining its form of government, and that stability of government in no small measure

depends upon the reverence and maintains towards its prevalent religion

Within the limits of an expected that all the tenets of it be expounded, or even enumerated will be enough to say that acknowledgment of the existence and power of God, as related to human life. This religion teaches and religion teaches reverence towards Scripture. Even as we are writing this is about to assume the duties of station of President of these United States unbroken custom of more than that his official

vow may be made reverently says, "So help me God equal reverence, salutes the Holy

Congress and state Legislatures with prayer addressed to the God of all Judicial tribunals, anxious to do the whole truth and nothing but are to give testimony in the country an oath which recognizes deity there is acknowledgement of branch of government. Lest any recognition of God in the future should be overlooked we point Constitution: "We the people of justice, insure tranquility, provide and promote our common welfare, our posterity, the blessings of all grateful hearts the goodness of Universe in affording us an opportunity of the design: and imploring His accomplishment to ordain an

very man and woman enjoys, is of liberty in every other person, , and the duty of women, in their of the liberty which they enjoy, to man and woman has the right to e of liberty that when one person nd society is the state in which - each gives up something of that ther may enjoy the same degree of on that perhaps some people find out it is the conception of liberty difficult task imposed in most ons are and where they mark the determine, and declare in concrete ay not cross with safety either to In this state the constitutional eedom are non disturbance of the truction of other in their religious tutional limitation of free speech the liberty. These are broad, far they travel pari .passu with liberty ' desire to travel

our thought to claim superiority ciety or denomination, or even to ny distinct, avowed connection in these united states or in any stinguished from the religions of ammad, or even Abraham, it may on of the number, influence, and in our territorial boundaries, the revailing religion of this country al truth may it be said that from e religion of a country is a most mining its from of government, vernment in no small measure

.depends upon the reverence and respect which a nation maintains towards its prevalent religion

Within the limits of an opinion it would not be expected that all the tenets of the Christian religion could be expounded, or even enumerated, but for our purpose it will be enough to say that this religion teaches acknowledgment of the existence, presence, knowledge and power of God, as related to human beings in all their walks of life. This religion teaches dependence upon God: this religion teaches reverence toward God and respect for Holy Scripture. Even as we are writing these words the man who is about to assume the duties of the high and responsible station of

President of these United States, following the unbroken custom of more than a century, and to the end that his official vow may be more impressive and binding, reverently says, "So help me God"

.and then pausing with equal reverence, salutes the Holy Scripture by a kiss

Congress and state Legislature open their sessions with prayer addressed to the God of the Christian religion. Judicial tribunals, anxious to discover and apply the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, require those who are to give testimony in the court of justice to be sworn by an oath which recognizes deity. Thus it will be seen that there is acknowledgement of God in each coordinate branch of government. Lest any argument in support of the recognition of God in the fundamental law of our state should be overlooked we point to the very preamble of our Constitution: "We the people of Maine, in order to establish justice, insure tranquility, provide for our mutual defence, promote our common welfare, and secure to ourselves and our posterity the blessings of liberty, acknowledging with grateful hearts the goodness of the sovereign Rule of the Universe in affording us an opportunity so favourable to the design: and imploring His aid and direction in its accomplishment to ordain and establish the following

constitution". In view of all these things, shall we say that any word or deed which would 1
expose the God of the Christian religion, or the Holy Scriptures. "To contempt and ridicule". Or
which would rob official oaths of any of their sanctity, thus undermining the foundations of their
binding force, would be protected by a constitutional religious freedom whose constitutional
".limitation is non- disturbance of the public peace? We register a most emphatic negative

Comments of the author: It is significant that inspite of separtion of Church from the state, the
secularized countries of the west keep the flame of Christianity alive without being apologetic.
This open secret is apparent on the very face of the pronouncements of the apex courts of the
Great Britain and the United state, Which we have quoted above. In strict senso the American law
.of blasphemy is nearer to the conservative religion or orthodox Christianity than that of Islam

(Corpus Juris Secundum Vol.XI page 359-360)

"Appendix-E"

IN THE HIGH CO

Judic

In Re: Cr.A. No.105/56

JUDGMENT

Hearing: 15.7.29 By Messers Moh By D Ram Lal

Ilam Din, son o 19/20 years of age, and Lahore City, has been co of one Rajpal on the 6th 302 of the Indian Penal He has appealed, and section 374 of the Code

The case for the purchased a knife from of the 6th April, procee about 2 p.m and attacke in the outer verandah witnessed by Kidar Nath employees of the decea time, the former sitting the latter standing on room arranging books o threw books at the appe out. He was pursued by 2 & 3) joined outside by Nand (P.W.5). The ap belong to Vidya Rattan

these things, shall we say that could expose the God of the Holy Scriptures. "To contempt would rob official oaths of any of ming the foundations of their protected by a constitutional institutional limitation is non-peace? We register a most thor: It is significant that hurch from the state, the e west keep the flame of being apologetic. This open y face of the pronouncements at Britain and the United state, . In strict senso the American o .the conservative religion or t of Islam

(XI page 359-360

"Appendix-E"

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT LAHORE

Judicial Department

In Re: Cr.A. No.105/562/1929

JUDGMENT

Hearing: 15.7.29 By Messers Mohd Ali Jinnah and Farrukh Hussain By D Ram Lal Ilam Din, son of Talia-Mand, a Tarkhan of some 19/20 years of age, and a resident of Mohallah Sirianwla in Lahore City, has been convicted of having caused the death of one Rajpal on the 6th of April,

1929, and, under section 302 of the Indian Penal Code, has been sentenced to death. He has .appealed, and the case is also before us under section 374 of the Code of Criminal Procedure

The case for the prosecution is that the appellant purchased a knife from Atma Ram (P.W.8) on the morning of the 6th April, proceeded to the shop of the deceased at about 2 p.m and attacked him as he was sitting on the gaddi in the outer verandah writing letters. The assault was witnessed by Kidar Nath (P.W.2) and Bhagat Ram (P.W.3), employees of the deceased who were in the shop at the time, the former sitting at work in the inner verandah and the latter standing on a ladder in the outer verandah or room arranging books on the shelves. They raised an alarm, threw books at the appellant who dropped his knife and ran out. He was pursued by Kidar Nath and Bhagat Ram (P.W 2 & 3) joined outside by Nanak Chand (P.W.4) and Parma Nand (P.W.5).

The appellant turned into a wood yard belong to Vidya Rattan, who had seen the pursuit from his

office door and who hastened into the wood yard and seized the appellant being assisted by the pursuers who were on his heels. The appellant is then stated to have repeatedly and loudly proclaimed that he was neither a thief nor a dacoit but had "taken revenge for the Prophet". Ilam Din was then taken to the deceased's shop, the Police were notified and took over the appellant .and the investigation

A very brief report was made by Kidar Nath who said nothing of the assertion made by Ilam Din .when he was captured, and did not mention the name of his fellow servant

On the following day as a result of a statement made by Ilam Din to the Police the shop of Atma Ram was discovered, and on the 9th this Atma Ram picked out the appellant at an identification parade held under the supervision of a Magistrate as the man to whom he had sold the knife found .in Rajpal's shop

Mr. Jinnah has attacked the prosecution story on various grounds. He urged that Kidar Nath was not a reliable witness because (1) he was an employee of the deceased and, therefore, "interested", (2) he had not stated in the First Information Report (a) that Bhagat Ram was with him, and (b) that the appellant had stated that he had avenged the Prophet. As to Bhagat Ram it was contended he, as an employee, was interested, and as to the rest that there were variations in some of the .details

Objection was taken to the admissibility of the statements to the Police which led to the discovery of Atma Ram, and Atma Ram's identification of Ilam Din and his testimony regarding the sale of the knife to Ilam Din were characterized as untrue and improbable.

While I consider the statements to the police by the appellant which led to the discovery of Atma Ram's shop

were rightly admitted at eliminate them from consi

That Atma Ram p the identical pattern as tha is beyond serious doubt came to this shop on the m for the knife in question asked the witness Ilam Din returned an hou knife. In these circumsta the witness being able to The identification parade the 9th April in the Poli Lala Mulk .Raj, Magis account of what occurre a genuine one

In his statemen Jawahir Lal Inspector Atma Ram before the that not a single qu suggestive of such .an the Magistrate is positi complaint to him

In these circu reason to doubt the ve of Atma Ram. It is tru lacking in detail. Hi name as one of those and capture is to my mention Ilam Din's Prophet" would be r ample evidence to su attributed to the a commenced and b collaboration on the l

ed into the wood yard and assisted by the pursuers who Iltan is then stated to have said that he was neither a thief nor avenge for the Prophet". Iltan closed his shop, the Police were Iltan and the investigation.

as made by Kidar Nath who made by Iltan Din when he mentioned the name of his fellow as a result of a statement the shop of Atma Ram was so Atma Ram picked out the man in the parade held under the man to whom he had shop.

and the prosecution story on that Kidar Nath was not a case was an employee of the shop", (2) he had not stated (a) that Bhagat Ram was Iltan had stated that he had bought Ram it was contended, and as to the rest that the details

the admissibility of the evidence to the discovery of Atma Ram of Iltan Din and his knife to Iltan Din were available

statements to the police by the owner of Atma Ram's shop

were rightly admitted at the trial I am quite prepared to eliminate them from consideration

That Atma Ram possessed a number of knives of the identical pattern as that which was used on the deceased is beyond serious doubt. His story is that the appellant came to this shop on the morning of the 6th April, bargained for the knife in question, agreed to give Re.1/- for it and asked the witness till he returned with the money. Iltan Din returned an hour later, paid the rupee and took the knife. In these circumstances there is nothing improbable in the witness being able to identify the purchaser of the knife. The identification parade was carried out at about

5 p.m, on the 9th April in the Police Lines under the supervision of Lala Mulk Raj, Magistrate 1st Class (P.W.12), and his account of what occurred shows that the identification was a genuine .one

In his statement at the trial the appellant accused Jawahir Lal Inspector (P.W.20) of having shown him to Atma Ram before the parade took place. It is significant that not a single question was put to the Inspector suggestive of such an occurrence having taken place and the Magistrate is .positive that Ilam Din never made such a complaint to him

In these circumstances I am unable to see any reason to doubt the veracity and accuracy of the testimony of Atma Ram. It is true that Kidar Nath's report is brief and lacking in detail. His failure to mention Bhagat Ram's name as one of those present and concerned in the pursuit and capture is to my mind of no importance. His failure to mention Ilam Din's statement that he had "revenged the Prophet" would be material but for the fact that there is ample evidence to support the fact that this statement was attributed to the appellant as soon as the enquiry commenced and .before there could have been any collaboration on the point

A reference to the statement of Vidya Rattan (P.W.6) will suffice as an illustration. This witness is certainly not in any way "interested" (except on the broad ground that he is a Hindu). That he afforded very material assistance in capturing the appellant is evident and has not been challenged. He says that the appellant when seized by him said: "Let me go" "I have done nothing" but "taken revenge for the Prophet". In cross-examination he says: "I do not remember the actual words used by the accused, but what I have stated above is the gist of what he said". The record shows that he was being cross examined on the statement made by him to the police (a copy of which had been given to the accused's counsel) and from a note by the Sessions Judge it is clear that this witness .did attribute this statement to the appellant from the outset

All the witnesses are agreed in making this statement and in the circumstances there is nothing improbable or strange in the appellant having made the assertion. That Rajpal was killed because of his having written "Rangila Rasul" is abundantly clear. The appellant was a stranger to him and had no other motive for the assault. I would, therefore, hold that this part of the story given by the .witnesses is correct

Again, I am unable to see that there is any reason to doubt the story of Kidar Nath and Bhagat Ram. They have sworn that they pursued Rajpal's assailant from the shop to the wood yard and were practically on his heels the whole time—never losing sight of him for a moment. In this they are supported by Nanak Chand and Parma Nand while Wazir Chand (P.W.7) has stated that he saw no one in the road other than Ilam Din and his pursuers. There can be no doubt as to the identity of the appellant with the assailant of the deceased. Reference was made to certain blood

marks on the appellant's clothes. I can see no reason for thinking that the learned Sessions Judge
is wrong in assuming that

statement of Vidya Rattan is that. This witness is cited" (except on the broad he afforded very material and is evident and has not appellant when seized by done nothing" but "taken s-examination he says: "I ds used by the "accused, e gist of what "he said". g cross examined on the .ce (a copy of which had d) and from a note by the witness did attribute this outset

greed in making this nces there is nothing llant having made the because of his having ly clear.

The appellant other motive for the this part of the story

there is any reason to agat Ram. They have ilant from the shop to n his heels the whole moment.

In this they Parma Nand while he saw no one in the ers. There can be no with the assailant of

certain blood marks reason for thinking ng in assuming that

these blood marks are due to bleeding from the deceased for the medical evidence shows that

Rajpal tried to ward off the blows aimed at him, but the point seems to be of no real importance in

face of the overwhelming evidence against the appellant. Nor does it seem material when, where

and how the tip of the knife was broken — the piece that has broken off and is missing is too small

.to be of any moment

I have no hesitation in agreeing with the learned Sessions Judge in holding that Ilam Din's guilt

.has been established

Mr. Jinnah finally contended that the sentence of death was not called for and as extenuating

circumstances, that the appellant is only 19 or 20 years of age and that his act was prompted by

feelings of veneration for the Founder of his religion and anger at one who had scurrilously
.attacked him

As was pointed out in Amir v. Crown (No.954 of 1926) "the mere fact that the murder is 19 or
20 years of age, is a wholly insufficient reason for not imposing the appropriate sentence provided
."by law

The fact that Ilam Din is 19 or 20 years of age is not, therefore, a sufficient reason for not
imposing the extreme penalty and I am unable to see that the other reasons advanced by Mr.
Jinnah can be regarded as affording any excuse for a deliberate and cold-blooded murder of this
.type

.I would, therefore, dismiss the appeal and confirm the sentence of death

Note: The record of the above judgement has not been kept intact. Several words and lines are
missing. We have skipped over the facts stated in the judgement as the same have been narrated
.by the prosecution

**RELEVANT PORTION FROM ARTICLE AGAINST
THE BLASPHEMY LAW AND THE AUTHOR IN
READER DIGEST AND SUNDAY TIMES
MAGAZINE TITLED "PAKISTAN'S WAR ON
"CHRISTIANS**

An article appeared in Reader's Digest of January 2000 at page 146 to 151 by Cathy Scott-Clark and Andrian Levy. This article also appeared in Sunday Times Magazine under the provocative caption "Pakistan's war on Christians". This is the most prejudicial article based on incorrect facts narrated therein. We are reproducing one of the relevant portions of the lengthy article which

- :reads as under

A High Court Lawyer, Ishmaeel Quereishi, drafted a new blasphemy law passed by the Senate in 1992, it stated, "Whoever, by any imputation, innuendo or insinuation, directly or indirectly defiles
".the sacred name of the Holy Prophet Muhammad, shall be punished with death

Dozens were arrested, most of them Christians. Since only "innuendo" was required to accuse and convict. Four months after the law was enacted, the first Christian was on death row. On October 14, 1996, trainee architect Ayub Masih, a Catholic who studied in Karachi, came home to find his village of Arifwala gripped by a dispute. A Muslim family had tried to seize land from his parents. Ayub attended meeting and was beaten by the villagers, who took him to police station, ".where he was charged under Quereishi's law and jailed

The Authors Note: The writers of the article have deliberately omitted to state that the accused was acquitted

of the charge by the did not establish the Islamic Law of evide ignorant of the law and blasphemy.

This law was the life, honour and prop Muslim or non-Muslim. N this law after its commenc

The afores nor he made any complai handed over to the police

TICLE AGAINST

HE AUTHOR IN

DAY TIMES

AN'S WAR ON

s Digest of January t-Clark and Andrian in Sunday Times "Pakistan's war on al article based on

reproducing one of cle which reads as

Quereishi, drafted Senate in 1992, it on, innuendo or he sacred name of e punished with

them Christians. cuse and convict. he first Christian trainee architect n Karachi, came d by a

dispute. A rom his parents. by the villagers, he was charged

the article have d was acquitted

of the charge by the Court as the ingredients of the offence did not establish the case against him.

In view of the strict Islamic Law of evidence writers of article are fairly ignorant of the law and

the due process of law of blasphemy. This law was introduced in Pakistan to protect the life,

honour and proproty of the accused who may be Muslim or non-Muslim. No one has been

.convicted under this law after its commencement in the year 1992

The aforesaid accused was neither beaten, nor he made any complaint about it to the Court. He
.was handed over to the police for prosecution according to law

"Appendix-G"

HARVARD LAW SCHOOL

ISLAMIC LEGAL STUDIES PROGRAM

Director FRANK E. VOGEL

Assistant Director PERI BEARMAN

Pound 501

Cambridge, MA 02138

496-3911 (617)

FAX (617) 496-2707

E-Mail: ilsp@law.harvard.edu

Mr. Muhammad Ismail Qureshi C/o Mr. Mahmud Alam Kureishy 563 Jehanzeb Block Allama

Iqbal Town, Lahore 18 Pakistan

March 21, 2000

, Dear Mr. Qureshi

On behalf of the Islamic Legal Studies Program at Harvard Law School, I would like to extend to you my sincere appreciation for your kindness in assisting one of our students, Mr. Adeel Mangi, .with his research project on the Pakistani blasphemy law during his January visit to Pakistan

Your assistance was extremely important for the successful conclusion of this project, part of which has already been presented in a lecture given by Mr. Mangi upon his return. It is very encouraging for us to know that students going to Pakistan with a grant from the Program for research purposes will be able to rely on forthcoming individuals like yourself, willing to share .their knowledge and time to assist budding young scholars and practitioners

.Thank you very much again

,Sincerely yours

Peri Bearman

ABOUT TH

Born in Hyderabad (India) o •

Graduated from Osmania U •

honors in Islmiyat. Migrated

President Lahore High Cour •

Senior Advocate of Supre •

.year 1978

Elected as Life President o •

.Jurists in 2002 and Conferences

Presided over Session of In •

in London as Co-Chairman

Introduced Law of contem •

in 1991 in Pakista (PBUH)

Invited as Amicus Curia •

Shariat Court and High Co Islamic law and Human Ri

Attended O.I.C. Conferen •

.High Court Bar Associat 1996

Member of the panel •

.Council

Visiting Professor of •

.Islamabad

Associate Member of W •

.(WAMY)

Lectured on Seerah of •

guest speaker from all International Seerah Con

Chicago University Scho •

School researcher Barris author for further resear

Visited UN Human Rig •

.Conference of Kashmir

W SCHOOL UDIES PROGRAM

Pound 501

Cambridge, MA 02138

496-3911 (617)

FAX (617) 496-2202

E-Mail: ilsp@law.harvard.edu

t Harvard Law School, I would like to ndness in assisting one of our students, - Pakistani

blasphemy law during his

uccessful conclusion of this project, re given by Mr. Mangi upon his return. going to Pakistan

with a grant from the on forthcoming individuals like to assist budding young scholars and

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

• Born in Hyderabad (India) on 28-12-1928 •

• Graduated from Osmania University in the year 1948 with honors in Islmiyat. Migrated to •

• Pakistan from India in 1948

• President Lahore High Court Bar Association 1974 •

• Senior Advocate of Supreme Court of Pakistan since the year 1978 •

Elected as Life President of World Association of Muslim Jurists in 2002 and attended •
.International Jurists Conferences

Presided over Session of International Conference of U.M.O in London as Co-Chairman in •
.1988

Introduced Law of contempt of the Holy Prophet of Islam (PBUH) in 1991 in Pakistan through •
.judicial process

Invited as Amicus Curia by the Supreme Court, Federal Shariat Court and High Courts in cases •
.of Public importance, Islamic law and Human Rights

Attended O.I.C. Conference as observer on behalf of Lahore High Court Bar Association as the •
.Head of delegation in 1996

.Member of the panel of Scholars of Islamic Ideology Council •

.Visiting Professor of International Islamic University, Islamabad •

.Associate Member of World Assembly of Muslim Youth (WAMY) •

Lectured on Seerah of the Noble Prophet (pbuh) as only guest speaker from all over the Globe •
.to address the International Seerah Conference at Hong Kong in 1998

Chicago University Scholar Nasir A. Khan and Harvard Law School researcher Barrister Adeel •
.Mangi were referred to the author for further research in Blasphemy Law in 2000

.Visited UN Human Rights Commission on behalf of Hurriyat Conference of Kashmir •

PUBLISHED WORK

-

SUBJECT YEAR PUBLISHED

Islamic Law of Pre-emption (In 1972 •

(English

Islamic Law of Evolution (Urdu) 1978 •

Rule of Law in Islam (English) 1979 •

Human Rights in Islam. 1981 •

Interest Free Social Order of Islam 1990 •

(English)

Namoos-e-Rasool and Qanoon- 1994 •

.Tauheen-e-Risalat, Published First Edition. Second Edition 2000, 3rd Edition 2006

The Dignity of the Prophet (PBUH) •

.and the Law of Blasphemy in Islam and the West

.in Islam & the West (Underprint) •

.Several booklets on Islamic topics

GE

A

Abdullah (Father of the prophe

Abdullah Al Mashhad 186

Abdullah Ibn Sarh 169

Abdullah Quilliam 52

Abdullah Umr Nasef 186

Abdel Bin Baz 172, 185

Abdel Qaiyum Shaheed 191

Abder Rehman-I 109

Abder Rehman-II 114

Abder Rehman-III 116

Abraham 5,6,195

Abraham Progeny of 5

-Abu Bakr 77, 101, 159, 165

174 ,171

Abu Baradha 171

Abu Daud 172

Abu Hanifah 159, 163

Abu Laheb 144

Abu Muhammad Zaid 162

Abu Nai'la 183, 166

Abu Sufyan 63

Abu Talib 63

Abul Hasan Nadvi 186

Abul Hasan Qabisi 162

Abul Lyth 123

Abul Qasim Al Zahrawi 11

Adam 76 Afghanistan 2, 1

Africa 77

Ahmed bin Hanbal 162

Aishah (Ummul Mominee

Akbar (King) 125

Al Azhar 21, 109, 184

Alexandria 21

Al Hakm 110, 113

Ali 63, 165 Al Nasir 152

Alvaro 47, 109, 113

Amena (Mother of the Pro

Amer Chema Shaheed 14

America 2

American Bill of Rights

,American democracy 78

ED WORK

YEAR PUBLISHED ption (In 1972

ion (Urdu) 1978

English) 1979

1981 .

der of Islam 1990

Qanoon- 1994 lished First 2000, 3rd

phet (PBUH) my in Islam and

.(nderprint

.ics

257

GENERAL INDEX

A

Abdullah (Father of the prophet) 14

Abdullah Al Mashhad 186

Abdullah Ibn Sarh 169

Abdullah Quilliam 52

Abdullah Umr Nasef 186

Abdel Bin Baz 172, 185

Abdel Qaiyum Shaheed 191

Abder Rehman-I 109

Abder Rehman-II 114

Abder Rehman-III 116

Abraham 5,6,195

Abraham Progeny of 5

,Abu Bakr 77, 101, 159, 165-6, 169

174 ,171

Abu Baradha 171

Abu Daud 172

Abu Hanifah 159, 163

Abu Laheb 144

Abu Muhammad Zaid 162

Abu Nai'la 183, 166

Abu Sufyan 63

Abu Talib 63

Abul Hasan Nadvi 186

Abul Hasan Qabisi 162

Abul Lyth 123

Abul Qasim Al Zahrawi 111

Adam 76

- ,Afghanistan 2, 121, 127

Africa 77

Ahmed bin Hanbal 162

Aishah (Ummul Momineen) 62

Akbar (King) 125

Al Azhar 21, 109, 184

Alexandria 21

Al Hakm 110, 113

Ali 63, 165

Al Nasir 152

Alusi 182

Alvaro 47, 109, 113

Amena (Mother of the Prophet) 149

Amer Chema Shaheed 149

America 2

American Bill of Rights

American democracy 78, 103

American New Cons Government 98

American Revolution 72

Amr Bin Al As 175

Andalusia 73, 107, 110

Andalusia Centre of learning 107

Andalusia Civilization, Culture-III

Andalusia Rulers 109, 110, 114

Thinkers and Philosophers of Muslim

Spain 108, 112

Appstle of God 75

Arabic Language 50

Arafat 76

Aristotle 71, 108

Aristotelian philosophy 72

Arnold J. Toynbee 39, 40, 108

Asbiyah (Social Cohesion) 108

Asia 107

Ayesha Bridgt Honey 52

B

Baitul Mal 77

Balkan 3

Becca (Makkah) 9

Belgrade 3

Bertrand Russell 39

Bible 5

Old Testament 5 to 9 - New

Testament 10 - Prophecies of 5, 11-13

Bilal 78

Birbal 125

Blasphemy defined 86 - In Bible 66, In

Islam 65, 193 - In Britain 65 - In

- America 65-93 - Law 67

Unpardonable Crime 160

Blasphemer 96, 169

Briffault 145

British Government 67, 91

British Rule 193

Bolshevick Revolution 87

Bosnia 81

Bosworth Smith R 40

Bukhara 78

Burke Edmund 129, 132

Byzantine Empire 109

Byzantine Emperors 44, 174

Byzantium 120

C

Caesar 24-44

Capitalistic Civilization 140

Carlyle Thomas 3, 17, 18, 37

Catholic Church 74, 85

Charlemagne 109

Charles Prince 73

Charter of Human Rights 77

Christ 10

Christians 86

Christians Faith 92

Christian Theology 87

Christian Missionaries 62

Christianity and Islam 22

Code of Nepolen 21

Comforter 11

Common Law 68

Communism 104

Communist Government 87

Common Wealth 133

Confucius 95

Constitutions Pakistan, India 135

Constitutions France, Germany 133

Constitutions USA 78, 134

Constitutions of European Court of

Human Rights 91, 247

Cornilius AR 37

Crusade 2, 99, 105 - Neo Crusaders

D

Dark ages 3

Da Contract Social 72

Dalep Singh J 170

Daniel Pipes 195

Danish Cartoonist 144

Danish Newspaper 130

Denmark 148

Deicy A.R. 93

De Lacy O' Leary 25

Democratic Ideals 72

Denis Lemon 89

Deuteronomy 5, 6, 47, 86

Dhimmi 167

Diana 143

Divine Law 65

Duncan Greenless 42

E

Edward Montent 41

Edward Mortimer 129

Egypt 101

Encyclopedia Britanica 10, 72

Equitable Law 92

Eulogius 47, 109, 113, 119

Europe 2, 71, 72

European Civilization 73

European Courts 91

,European Court of Human Rights 91

247

European Kingdoms 86

F

Farewell Sermon 73, 76

Federal Shariat Court 1-65

Fiery Law 7

Flora 115

Founder of League of Nations 24

France 21, 86, 108, 145

French Revolution 72, 80

Freedom 72, 129

Freedom of Expression 92, 129

Freedom of Press 93-4, Speech 94

Fundamental Rights 66, 78

G

Gandhi 25, 136

Gautuma 95

General Amenesty 63

Geneva Convention 102

George Bernard Shaw 27-40

George W. Bush 105

Human Life 82

Human Nature 35

Human Psychology 108

Humanitarian Treatment 44

Huntington Samuel 49

Hyde Park 134

I

Ibn Abbas 166, 171

Ibne Arabi Muhiddudin 113

Ibne Attab 161

Ibne Hazm 113

Ibne Hatim Talileeli 161

Ibne Hisham 10

Ibne Khuldun 108

Ibne Rushd (Averroes) 112

Ibne Sa'd 172

Ibne Taymiyah 163, 164-5

Ibrahim Al-Farazi 161

Ikrimah 176

Ilmuddin Shaheed 187

Imam Jafar Sadiq 181

Imam Raza 180

India 121 - Indian Penal Code 22

Inquisition 103

,Iqbal Muhammad 111, 131, 144, 170

193 ,189

Iran 125, 185 - Iranian 184

Iraq 3 - Isaac 195 - Ishmael 5, 195

Islam 34, 36

Impact of Islam 37 - Concerning

- Civilization 39 - Education 40

Meditation 42 - Penal Laws 66 - Social

Law and Spritual Laws 55 - Spread of

Islamic Disciplines of - 45

Philosophy 74 - Islam and

Christianity 196 - Israel 75 - Italy 145

Islamophobia 2

J

Jamal Badawi 12

James A. Michener 17

Jahillia 76

Jefferson 78

Jeha Jesu Jesu Jerus Jewi Joha Juda Juda Judi Justi Jylla

Ka'b Kare Kash Ken Kha Kha Kho King King King Kita Kofi K.S Kut

Lam Lan Lati Leb Leg Len Leo Lev Lib Loc Lor Lor Lor Lot

Lacy O' Leary 25

nocratic Ideals 72

nis Lemon 89

uteronomy 5, 6, 47, 86

mmi 167

na 143

ine Law 65

ncan Greenless 42

E

ward Montent 41

ward Mortimer 129

pt 101

yclopedia Britanica 10, 72

itable Law 92

ogius 47, 109, 113, 119

ope 2, 71, 72

opean Civilization 73

opean Courts 91

,opean Court of Human Rights 91

opean Kingdoms 86

F

well Sermon 73, 76

eral Shariat Court 1-65

y Law 7

a 115

nder of League of Nations 24

ce 21, 86, 108, 145

ch Revolution 72, 80

dom 72, 129

dom of Expression 92, 129

dom of Press 93-4, Speech 94

amental Rights 66, 78

G

dhi 25, 136

uma 95

ral Amenesty 63

va Convention 102

ge Bernard Shaw 27-40

ge W. Bush 105

Human Life 82

Human Nature 35

Human Psychology 108

Humanitarian Treatment 44

Huntington Samuel 49

Hyde Park 134

I

Ibn Abbas 166, 171

Ibne Arabi Muhiddudin 113

Ibne Attab 161

Ibne Hazm 113

Ibne Hatim Talileeli 161

Ibne Hisham 10

Ibne Khuldun 108

Ibne Rushd (Averroes) 112

Ibne Sa'd 172

Ibne Taymiyah 163, 164-5

Ibrahim Al-Farazi 161

Ikrimah 176

Ilmuddin Shaheed 187

Imam Jafar Sadiq 181

Imam Raza 180

India 121 - Indian Penal Code 22

Inquisition 103

,Iqbal Muhammad 111, 131, 144, 170

193 ,189

Iran 125, 185 - Iranian 184

Iraq 3 - Isaac 195 - Ishmael 5, 195

Islam 34, 36

Impact of Islam 37 - Concerning

- Civilization 39 - Education 40

Meditation 42 - Penal Laws 66 - Social

Law and Spritual Laws 55 - Spread of

Islamic Disciplines of - 45

Philosophy 74 - Islam and

Christianity 196 - Israel 75 - Italy 145

Islamophobia 2

J

Jamal Badawi 12

James A. Michener 17

Jahillia 76

Jefferson 78

259

Jehad 25, 100, 101

Jesus 8 - Life 48

Jesus Christ 48, 69, 86, 7, 89

Jerusalem 9, 100 - Jews 7

Jewish Religion 98

Johanna Ctshejivisca 52

Judaism 22, 45, 96

Judaism, Christianity and Islam 22

Judiciary 70

Justinian 85

Jyllands Posten 145, 149

K

Ka'b Bin Ashraf 166, 172

Karen Armstrong 27, 48, 120

Kashmir 81

Ken Livingston 196

Khalid bin Walid 165

Khamane, E-Ayatallah 185

Khomeini, Ruhullah 184

King George 192

Kingdom of Heavens 20, 64

King of Sicily 71

Kitabul Maghazi 171

Kofi Annan 146

K.S Ramakrishna Rao 26

Kuturkampf 138

L

Lamartine 30

Lane Poole, Stanley 25

Latin Kingdom 100

Lebanon 3

Legacy of Islam 47

Lenin 105

Leo Stracuss 98

Leviticus 86

Liberation of mankind 64

Locke John 72, 129, 131

Loravicia Vagleri 50

Lord John Van Zyal Steyn 102

Lord Scarman 89

Lotrap Staddard A.M. 39

M

Machiavelli 98

Machiavellian Views 72

Madinah 20, 44

Mithaqal-Madinah 44, 122, 166

Magna Carta 71, 80

Magian 35

Miarj 14

Makkah 4, 6, 20, 62, 69

Makkah Academy 184

Malik Imam 160

Manazir Ahsan Gilani 9

Mankind 82

Marcel Pozat 51

Martyr 120 - Martyr Cult 120

Martyrdom 192

Mary Magdalene 130

Maududi, Syed Abul A'la 63

Maurice Bucaille 12, 54

Meredith Townsend 26

Messenger of God 4

Messiah 8, 10

Mesopatama 100

Mestaphysical Belief 130

Methodists 45

Micheal H. Hart 100

Mocus 96

Montgomery Watt 37, 45

Moroco 121

Mosaic Law 85

Mughal Empire 67

Muhammad (Prophet of Islam) 4

Muhammad in the Bible 4, 7, 10

,Muhammad in Pazand, in Vedas

Puranas Upanishads 14 - in the

Qur'an 6

-Muhammad in the eyes of Non

Muslim Luminaries of the world 17to

31

as throughly democratic 23 -

as the Hero as a prophet 18 -

as Hero of Humanity 23 -

as Founder of League of Nations 24 -

as the great Educator 26 -

as perfect model for human life 26 -

as the greatest leader of all time 28 -

Muhammad Asad (Leopold Weiss) 53

Muhammad Ali Jinnah 188

Muhammad Marmaduke Pickthall 34

Muhammadirn 9

Mulla Abdel Qadir Badayuni 125

Mulla Baqir Majlisi 181

Mulla Umr 104

Munhamanna 10

Muntakheb-ul-Tawareekh 125

Murderer Tree 67

Muslama 166

N

Nabi-ul-Khatim 9

Nalain-e-Mubark 59

Napolean Bonaparte 21 to 23

Najjar B.S. 127

Nasa'i 171 - Nasir Bin Harith 182

Nathu Ram 191

Naqtional Assembly of Pakistan 65

Nietzsche 98

Nisar Fatima 65

Nelson Mandela 77

New Conservatism 98

New Testament 4

Nor-ud-Din Zangi, Sultan 122

Nous Sommes Lesvrais Musulmans

21

O

Objective reality 140

Old Testament (Torah) 4, 8, 10

Original Sin 45

Orthodox Law 92

Osmania University 9

Oxford University 48, 73, 112

P

Pakistan Penal Code 60

Palestine 81, 101

Palestinians 10

Paraclete, Pariclytos, Perac Letius 10

Paran (Faran) 5

Paris - Parisians 112

Paula Fredriken Aurelio 7

Pazand 14

Peace 82, 84

Peaceful Coexistence 196

Persia 174 - Pharaoh 6

Plato 108

Poland 147 - Pontinus Pilate 7

Pope 24 - Pope Benedict 151

Pope Gregory VII 152

Pope John Paul II 152, 196

President of America 105

Privy Council 188

Pronography 92, 94

Psalms 9 - Puranas 14

Q

Qadhi Abdul Ghani 123

Qayrvan 161

Qisas 66

Qudrat-ul-Lah Shehab 142

Qur'an 47

original manuscript 55 -

Qur'anic connotation 34

spiritual and social law 55 -

Teachings 49 -

Qurtubah 111

R

Rabeta Alam-e-Islami 186

Raj Pal 170, 187

Ramchandrajji 127

Razi - Fakhriddin 163

Readers Digest Jan./2000 p.194

Reginald 99

Republic 71

Robert L. Gulick 51

Roger Bacon 108

Romans 48, 107 - Roman Law 67, 85

Rousseau 72, 74, 78, 131

Roy M.N 42

Rushdie Salman 129, 138, 186

Russ

Sa'd Salal Sama Samu Satan Saud Scan Scot Scrip Secu Secu Sehr Serb Shak Shar Shar - Pu - Int

Shia Shib Shu Sibe Sidi Sina Sla Sla Sol Sov Spa Spa Sta Sta Sta Sta St. Stc Su - o Su - A - A -

A

the greatest leader of all time 28

hammad Asad (Leopold Weiss) 53

hammad Ali Jinnah 188

hammad Marmaduke Pickthall 34

hammadim 9

lla Abdel Qadir Badayuni 125

lla Baqir Majlisi 181

lla Umr 104

nhamanna 10

ntakheb-ul-Tawareekh 125

rderer Tree 67

slama 166

N

pi-ul-Khatim 9

lain-e-Mubark 59

polean Bonaparte 21 to 23

jjar B.S. 127

sa'i 171 - Nasir Bin Harith 182

thu Ram 191

qtional Assembly of Pakistan 65

etzsche 98

sar Fatima 65

lson Mendela 77

w Conservatism 98

w Testament 4

r-ud-Din Zangi, Sultan 122

ous Sommes Lesvrais Musulmans

O

ojective reality 140

d Testament (Torah) 4, 8, 10

riginal Sin 45

rthodox Law 92

smania University 9

xford University 48, 73, 112

P

akistan Penal Code 60

alestine 81, 101

alestinians 10

araclete, Pariclytos, Perac Letius 10

Paran (Faran) 5

Paris - Parisians 112

Paula Fredriken Aurelio 7

Pazand 14

Peace 82, 84

Peaceful Coexistence 196

Persia 174 - Pharaoh 6

Plato 108

Poland 147 - Pontinus Pilate 7

Pope 24 - Pope Benedict 151

Pope Gregory VII 152

Pope John Paul II 152, 196

President of America 105

Privy Council 188

Pronography 92, 94

Psalms 9 - Puranas 14

Q

Qadhi Abdul Ghani 123

Qayrvan 161

Qisas 66

Qudrat-ul-Lah Shehab 142

Qur'an 47

original manuscript 55 -

Qur'anic connotation 34

spiritual and social law 55 -

Teachings 49 -

Qurtubah 111

Mosque 111 -

R

Rabeta Alam-e-Islami 186

Raj Pal 170, 187

Ramchandrajji 127

Razi - Fakhrid Din 163

Readers Digest Jan./2000 p.194

Reginald 99

Republic 71

Robert L. Gulick 51

Roger Bacon 108

Romans 48, 107 - Roman Law 67, 85

Rousseau 72, 74, 78, 131

Roy M.N 42

Rushdie Salman 129, 138, 186

Russia 87 261

S

Sa'di 72

Salahuddin 79, 99

Samarkand 121

Samuel Johnson 23

Satanic Verses 91

Saudi Arabia 184

Scandinavian Countries 84

Scotland 85, 87

Scriptures 4

Secular readers 36

Secular states 80, 140

Sehnoon 159

Serbia 81

Shakespeare 148

Shams-ud-Din Al Tamash 78

Shariah 67

Punishment 67 -

Interdiction 69 -

Shia Aqaid 181

Shibli Nomani 163

Shub-e-Abi Talib 62

Siberia 87

Sidney Fisher 51

Sinai 6

Slavery 79 - Slave dynasty 78

Slave Kings 78, 79

Soloman King 9

Soviet Union 104

Spain 107

Spainiyards 110

Stalin 87

Stanely Lane Poole 79, 114

State of emergency 80

Statistical data of religions 45

St. John 10 - St. Mark 86

Stoddard A.M.L 41

Supreme Court of Pakistan 66

of U.S.A 134 -

Surah Al Anbiya 36

Al A'raf 74 -

Al Ikhlas 34 -

Al Room 35 -

Al Najam 6 -

Sustainer of the Universe 35

Syria 2 - Syrian 100

T

Tabrani 176 - Taif 62

Taj Mahal 111

Taliban 104-5

Talmudic Law 86

Tawheed (monotheism) 34

Terrorism 152

Times of India 156

Timur 109

Tolstoy 4

Tor Andrae 103

Torah 55, 75

Trotsky 87

Turkey 121

U

Umar 58, 77-8, 169

Umar Bin Abdel Aziz 165

Unitarian doctrine 36

United Kingdom 91, 96

United Nations 79

United Nation's Charter 79

United Nation's Declaration of

Human's Rights 79

Upanishads 14

Unique Revolution 40

Usama 101

USSR 2

Uthman 169

V

Vedas 14

Visigoths 107

Vitican 152

Voltaire Francois 20, 48, 72, 129

W

Wahd-tul-Wajud 113

Wahidd-ud-Din Khan 129

Wali-ul-Lah, Shah 163

War Crimes 102

Washington Irving 54

Wehshi 63

West Ostlicher Divan 23

Western World 137

William Packard 53

World Association of Muslim Jurists

World Religions 38

World War 11

Y

Yathrab 62 Yvonne Ridley 104

Z

Zafar Ali Khan 190

Zakariyah Khan 127

Zionists 102

Zoroaster 14

Zoroastrianism 113

Wahd-tul-Wajud Wahidd-ud-Din K Wali-ul-Lah, Shah War Crimes 102 Washington Irving

Wehshi 63 West Ostlicher Div Western World 137 William Packard 53 World Association 140

World Religions 38 World War 11

Yathrab 62 Yvonne Ridley 10

Zafar Ali Khan 1

Zakariyah Khan

Zionists 102

Zoroaster 14

Zoroastrianism

Wahd-tul-Wajud 113

Wahidd-ud-Din Khan 129

Wali-ul-Lah, Shah 163

War Crimes 102

Washington Irving 54

Wehshi 63

West Ostlicher Divan 23

Western World 137

William Packard 53

World Association of Muslim Jurists

140

World Religions 38

World War 11

Y

Yathrab 62 Yuonne Ridley 104

Z

Zafar Ali Khan 190

Zakariyah Khan 127

Zionists 102

Zoroaster 14

Zoroastrianism 113

263

W

Wahd-tul-Wajud 113

Wahidd-ud-Din Khan 129

Wali-ul-Lah, Shah 163

War Crimes 102

Washington Irving 54

Wehshi 63

West Ostlicher Divan 23

Western World 137

William Packard 53

World Association of Muslim Jurists

140

World Religions 38

World War 11

Y

Yathrab 62 Yvonne Ridley 104

Z

Zafar Ali Khan 190

Zakariyah Khan 127

Zionists 102

Zoroaster 14

Zoroastrianism 113

TABLE OF BLASPHEMY CASES REFERRED IN THE BOOK

Chief Metropolitan Magistrate Vs Choudhry 92, 236 •

Dr. Muhammad Amin Vs Muhammad Mehboob 233 •

Ilamdin Vs State 247 •

Lemon Vs White House 90, 236 •

Lingens Vs Austria 147 •

Muhammad Ismail Qureshy Vs Pakistan 97, 198 •

Otto Preminger Vs Austria 147 •

Raj Pal Vs Emperor 247 •

Skup Vs Poland 40 •

State Vs Mocus 94, 243 •

Tamizuddin Khan Vs Governor General 90 •

Thorgeirson Vs Island 147 •

Vidal Vs Girad 94 •

Muhammad the Messenger of God and the law of Blasphemy in Islam and the West" is a valuable addition on the subject. The book is likely to inspire great interest amongst the researchers, religious scholars, social scientist, and the legal community. The author Mr. Muhammad Ismail Qureshi through well documented and researched work has countered the biased opinion in the west about the Islamic practices and the laws and the Prophet of Islam. The author has quoted in his book the opinion of well known western leaders, philosophers, historians, critics and writers about the Prophet of Islam and the impact of Islam and Islamic civilizations ,upon the western societies

Justice Muhammad Saiduzzaman Siddiqui Former Chief Justice of Pakistan

The present book will also contribute in removing misgivings and misconceptions of the western society about Islam, prophet of Islam (PBUH) and the blasphemy law. The work is well timed in the global perspective of clash of civilizations by true understanding of Islam and the objectives, .which it upholds and would thus promote peace in the world

.Justice (r) Khalil-ur-Rehman Khan Ex. Rector Islamic International University, Islamabad

Mr. Ismail Qureshy has been doing his best to dispel western misgivings and to explain the true Islamic position to his readers. He has authored a number of articles and publications on various aspects of the law of blasphemy, in addition to many speeches and presentations on international fora. Now he has condensed his findings in the present book which has been prepared in English. The book is well researched and is quite comprehensive. It deals with the problem of blasphemy

from different perspectives. He not only, throws light on Islamic position with its rationale but .also compares the Islamic position with different laws of similar nature in the western world

Dr. Mahmood Ahmed Former Minister of Religious Affairs, Pakistan